



## HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY PANCHKULA

Website: [www.haryanarera.gov.in](http://www.haryanarera.gov.in)

### COMPLAINT NO. 1964 OF 2019

Vinod Kumar Gupta

....COMPLAINANT(S)

VERSUS

M/S Parsvnath Developers Ltd.

....RESPONDENT(S)

**CORAM:** **Rajan Gupta**  
**Dilbag Singh Sihag**

**Chairman**  
**Member**

**Date of Hearing:** 10.03.2022

**Hearing:** 21<sup>st</sup>

**Present: -** None for the complainant

Ms. Rupali S . Verma, counsel for the respondent through  
video conference

### **ORDER (DILBAG SINGH SIHAG - MEMBER)**

1. Petition in question has been filed for execution of orders dated 27.02.2019 passed in complaint no. 300 of 2018 titled Vinod Kumar Gupta versus Parsvnath Developers Ltd. whereby respondent was directed to refund an amount of ₹20,48,000/- along with interest at the rate 18% p.a. from the

dates of bouncing of each cheque till payment of the amount to the complainant.

2. Learned counsel for the respondent stated that a payment of ₹29,78,695/- has already been made to the complainant till date apart from deposition of a sum of ₹1,03,105/- as TDS in the account of the complainant. In nutshell, a sum of ₹30,82,105/- has been refunded to the complainant. She further stated that entire liability of respondent towards complainant including additional interest accrued during the pendency of the present execution stands discharged and execution petition thus deserves to be dismissed as satisfied.

3. During hearing of the matter, this case was announced to be disposed of on the presumption that entire decreed amount stands paid to the complainant along with additional interest accrued during the pendency of the present complaint as complainant does not have any objection to the same for the reason that complainant did not appear in last two hearings and is not present even today.

However, on perusing the record, it is revealed that vide order dated 15.12.2021, Authority has got calculated the amount payable to the complainant which worked out to ₹31,82,646/-. The respondent has admittedly paid to the complainant an amount of ₹30,82,105/-, meaning thereby a sum of ₹1,00,541/- still remains payable. Accordingly, Authority decides to fix this case for rehearing with a direction to the respondent to

make further payment of ₹1,00,541/- to the complainant before next date of hearing.

4. Adjourned to 07.07.2022.



RAJAN GUPTA  
[CHAIRMAN]



DILBAG SINGH SIHAG  
[MEMBER]

