

HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY PANCHKULA

Website: www.haryanarera.gov.in

1. COMPLAINT NO. 766 OF 2019

Pravin Goel

....COMPLAINANT(S)

VERSUS

M/S Parsvnath Developers Ltd.

....RESPONDENT(S)

2. COMPLAINT NO. 3042 OF 2019

Sunita Devi

....COMPLAINANT(S)

VERSUS

M/S Parsvnath Developers Ltd.

....RESPONDENT(S)

CORAM:

Anil Kumar Panwar

Member Member

Dilbag Singh Sihag

Date of Hearing: 08.09.2021

Hearing:

14th (in complaint no. 766 of 2019 - rehearing)

10th (in complaint no. 3042 of 2019 - rehearing)

Present: -

Mr. Tejinderbir Singh, counsel for the complainant

through video conference

(in complaint no. 766 of 2019)

None for the complainant

(in complaint no. 3042 of 2019)

Ms. Rupali S. Verma, counsel for the respondent through

video conference

(in both the complaints)



ORDER (ANIL KUMAR PANWAR - MEMBER)

- 1. The respondent through the above captioned complaints is seeking recall of order dated 04.03.2021 vide which complainants were granted the same relief which this Authority had granted in complaint case no. 723 of 2019 titled Nishant Bansal versus M/s Parsvnath Developers Ltd. of the same project.
- 2. The only ground pleaded for review is that cases disposed of vide order sought to be recalled did not have similarity with Nishant Bansal's case. Relief set up by complainants in Nishant Bansal's case was for possession and respondent resisted the grant of such relief averring that complainants were not allottees because no specific property was ever allotted to them. Rejecting the said plea of respondent, the Authority held the complainants to be allottees and grated them relief of possession. The present cases fall on better footing because respondent's own plea herein is that unit bearing specific numbers viz. unit no. B-3144 and B-3115 were allotted to the complainants of present cases. So, the very basis for seeking recall of order dated 04.03.2021 is untenable and the applications deserve dismissal.
 - 3. That apart, the order sought to be recalled had already attained finality because of respondent's own conduct in not filing appeal in time. So, the prayer to recall order dated 04.03.2021 is otherwise also not maintainable.
 - 4. In view of above, applications dated 16.06.2021 are dismissed.



5. <u>Disposed of accordingly.</u> Files be consigned to record room and

order be uploaded on the website of the Authority.

ANIL KUMAR PANWAR [MEMBER]

DILBAG SINGH SIHAG [MEMBER]