

HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY GURUGRAM हरियाणा भू—संपदा विनियामक प्राधिकरण, गुरुग्राम

New PWD Rest House, Civil Lines, Gurugram, Haryana नया पी.डब्ल्यू.डी. विश्राम गृह, सिविल लाईंस, गुरुग्राम, हरियाणा				
PROCEEDINGS OF THE DAY				
Day and Date	Tuesday and 26.02.2019			
Complaint No.	641/2018 Case Titled As Mr. Gurshrnjit Singh V/S M/S Apex Buildwell Pvt. Ltd.			
Complainant	Mr. Gurshrnjit Singh			
Represented through	Shri Ashutosh Kumar Advocate for the complainant			
Respondent	M/S Apex Buildwell Pvt. Ltd.			
Respondent Represented through	Shri Sandeep Choudhary Advocate for the respondent.			
Last date of hearing	22.1.2019			
Proceeding Recorded by	Naresh Kumari & S. L. Chanana			

Proceedings

Project is not registered with the authority.

Since the project is not registered, as such, notice under section 59 of the Real Estate (Regulation & Development) Act, 2016, for violation of section 3(1) of the Act be issued to the respondent. Registration branch is directed to do the needful.

Arguments heard.

Averments made by the counsel for the respondent shall be adjudged at the time of registering of the project.

Report of Local Commissioner dated 21.01.2019 has been received and placed on record. The relevant portion of LC report is as under:-



HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY GURUGRAM हरियाणा भू—संपदा विनियामक प्राधिकरण, गुरुग्राम

New PWD Rest House, Civil Lines, Gurugram, Haryana नया पी.डब्ल्यू.डी. विश्राम गृह, सिविल लाईंस, गुरुग्राम, हरियाणा

"Since the estimated cost and an expenditure incurred figures are available for the complete project i.e. for tower in Pocket -A and Pocket-B. The overall progress of the project has been assessed on the basis of expenditure and actual work done at site on 16.01.2019. Keeping in view the above facts and figures, it is reported that the work has been completed with respect to financially is 68.12% whereas the work has been completed physical of towers in Pocket-A is about 80% and tower in Pocket-B is 50% approximately. Hence, the overall completion of the project physically is about 62.88%."

As per averments made by the counsel for the respondent, the project shall be completed within a period of 4 months from the date of renewal of licence by DTCP Haryana. The authority expects that the matter will be expedited for renewal of the licence by the office of DTCP at the earliest. A letter in this regard may be written to DTCP Haryana by registration branch.

A plea has been taken by the counsel for the respondent that the licence could not be rewened, as such, the pace of project has been slowed down. On the previous date of hearing i.e. 22.1.2019, DTP was directed to appear in person, but he has failed to appear before the authority, as such a penalty of Rs.5,000/- is imposed upon DTP on account of non-compliance of directions of the authority.

As per clause 3 (a) of the Builder Buyer Agreement dated 10.6.2017 for unit No.111, 1st floor, tower Jasmin, in project "Our Homes" Sector 37-C, Gurugram, possession was to be handed over to the complainant within a period of 36 months or from the date of consent to establish i.e. 2.12.2013 + 6 months grace period which comes out to be 2.6.2017. However, the respondent has not delivered the unit in time. It was a time



<u>New PWD Rest House, Civil Lines, Gurugram, Haryana</u> معتا *بالتقويمي* معتا *بالتقويمي*. *(المجم)* معتاد المعنية المحمد المحمد معتاد معتاد المحمد الم

The arrears of interest accrued so far shall be paid to the complainant within 90 days from the date of this order and thereafter monthly payment of interest till offer of possession shall be paid before 10th of subsequent month.

Complaint stands disposed of. Detailed order will follow. File be consigned to the registry.

Samir Kumar (Member) 26.2.2019 Subhash Chander Kush (Member)



BEFORE THE HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY, GURUGRAM

Versus

Complaint no.:641 of 2018First date of hearing:13.12.2018Date of decision:26.02.2019

Mr. Gurshanjit Singh, R/o. House no. 262, Sector 10 A, Gurugram, Haryana.

Complainant

M/s Apex Buildwell Pvt. Ltd. Regd. Office: 14A/36, WEA, Karol Bagh, New Delhi-110005.

CORAM:

Dr. K.K. Khandelwal Shri Samir Kumar Shri Subhash Chander Kush Respondent

Chairman Member Member

APPEARANCE:

Shri Ashutosh Kumar Shri Sandeep Choudhary Advocate for the complainant Advocate for the respondent

ORDER



1.

A complaint dated 01.08.2018 was filed under section 31 of the Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Act, 2016 read with rule 28 of the Haryana Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Rules, 2017 by the complainant Mr. Gurshanjit Singh against the promoter M/s Apex Buildwell Pvt. Ltd. on account of violation of the clause 3(a) of the apartment



buyer's agreement executed on 01.03.2013 in respect of apartment number 111, 1st floor, block/tower 'Jasmine' in the project 'Our Homes' for not handing over possession on the due date i.e. 2nd June 2017 which is an obligation under section 11(4)(a) of the Act ibid.

- 2. Since, the apartment buyers agreement was executed on 10.06.2017 i.e. prior to the coming into force of the Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Act, 2016 and the penal proceedings cannot be initiated retrospectively for contravention of any legal provision. Hence, keeping in view the facts of the case and submissions made by both the parties, the authority has decided to treat this complaint as an application to issue directions for compliance of obligations by the promoters under section 34(f) of the Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Act, 2016
- 3. The particulars of the complaint case are as under: -



Nature of the project- affordable group housing DTCP License no.- 13 of 2012 dated 22.02.2012

License valid/renewed up to- License expired on 22.02.2016. Renewal fees submitted but not renewed

1.	Name and location of the	"Our Homes", Sector
	project	37-C, Gurugram
2.	RERA registered/ not registered.	Not registered
3.	Apartment/unit no.	111 on 1 st floor
		block/tower 'Jasmine'
4.	Apartment measuring	48 sq. mtr.



r	De alrin a data	00.06.2017
5.	Booking date	09.06.2017
6.	Date of execution of apartment	10.06.2017
	buyer's agreement	
7.	Payment plan	Time linked payment
		plan
8.	Total consideration as alleged	Rs.16,00,000/-
	by the complainant	
9.	Total amount paid by the	Rs. 1,60,000/-
	complainant till date as alleged	
	by the complainant	
10.	Percentage of consideration	10%
	amount	
11.	Date of delivery of possession	02.06.2017
	as per clause 3(a) of apartment	
	buyer's agreement	
	(36 months + 6 months grace	
	period from the date of	6
	commencement of construction	E
	upon receipt of all approvals)	151
12.	Consent to establish granted	02.12.2013
	on B	2
13.	Delay in handing over	Approximately 1 year 8
	possession till date	months 24 days
14.	Penalty as per clause 3(c)(iv) of	
	the agreement	month of the carpet
		area of the said flat.
HAKFKA		

ALORITY HARDER Chairman Member Member Member Member 4.

The details provided above have been checked on the basis of record available in the case file which has been provided by the complainant and the respondent. An apartment buyer's agreement is available on record for the aforesaid apartment according to which the possession of the same was to be delivered by 02.06.2017. Neither the respondent has delivered the possession of the said unit as on date to the



purchaser nor they have paid any compensation @ Rs.10/per sq. ft. per month of the carpet area of the said flat for the period of such delay as per clause 3(c)(iv) of apartment buyer's agreement dated 10.06.2017 duly executed between the parties. Therefore, the promoter has not fulfilled his committed liability as on date.

5. Taking cognizance of the complaint, the authority issued notice to the respondent for filing reply and appearance. Accordingly the parties appeared on 13.12.2018 and 22.01.2019. The reply filed on behalf of the respondent has been perused. The respondent has supplied the details and status of the project along with the reply. A rejoinder has been filed wherein the facts have been reasserted by the complainant.

FACTS OF THE COMPLAINT:

TY . HA

6. Briefly stated the complainant relying on the advertisement, the complainant had applied in an affordable housing project under 'Government of Haryana Affordable Housing Scheme' and was allotted the said apartment having a carpet area of 48 sq. mtrs. approx. with an exclusive right to use of the apartment together with the proportionate undivided, unidentified, impartial interest in the land underneath with



the right to use the common areas and facilities in the said housing complex vide apartment buyer's agreement dated 10.06.2017.

- That the basic sale price of the apartment was of Rs 1,60,000 payable by the apartment allottee as per the payment plan.
- 8. That as per the apartment buyer's agreement the respondent had promised the complainant to handover the physical possession of the unit within a period of 36 months with a grace period of 6 months period from the commencement of receipt of all approvals construction upon and the respondent failed to develop the said project within the said period. The complainant submitted that he has been visiting the project site and it has been noted that the construction of the project is at very slow pace and there is no possibility in near future of its completion. Therefore, the respondent company has cheated the complainant committing criminal offence of breach of trust and other offences.



- 9. The buyers filed a complaint before CM window which was forwarded to DTP office.
- 10. Further, the complainant has stated that the quality of the construction done by the promoter is of low quality. The sand of the internal walls plaster came out when the complainant



touched it, which shows that the intention of the respondent is only to collect money and spend as low as possible on the construction. Since the respondent has not delivered the possession of the apartment, the complainant has been suffering economic loss along with other sufferings. Hence, the complainant has filed the current complaint.

ISSUES RAISED BY THE COMPLAINANT:

- 11. The following issues have been raised by the complainant:
 - i. Whether the respondent delayed in handing over the possession of the unit to the complainant?
 - ii. Whether the quality of construction/building material is of low quality due to which by touching the wall plaster its sand comes in hand?
 - iii. Whether the complainant is entitled to interest for the unreasonable delay in handing over the possession?

RELIEF SOUGHT BY THE COMPLAINANT:



- 12. The complainant is seeking the following reliefs:
 - a. Interest charged by the builder @ 18% p.a. on delayed payment therefore respondent should pay as per below details:



b. Respondent should pay same interest 18% p.a. which he charged from consumer as per rolling interest @ 18% per annum for the delay which has to calculated as and when the thirty-six months was completed and thereafter the grace period was exhausted. Further, the calculation shall be done on the total amount paid at the above-mentioned interest rate till the date of order pendente -lite;

The complainant vide amendment to the complaint stated that he reserves his right to seek compensation as mentioned in the complaint and requested the authority that necessary directions be issued to the promoter to fulfil his obligations

RESPONDENT'S REPLY:

13. The respondent admitted the fact that the project is situated in sector 37-C, Gurugram, therefore, the Hon'ble authority has territorial jurisdiction to try the present complainant. The respondent company has contended in its reply that the complainant has sought compensation and the same has to be adjudged by the adjudicating officer under section 71 of the Act and hence the authority does not have jurisdiction to hear the matter. That the complainant does not have any real cause of action to





pursue the present complaint and the complainant has filed the present complaint only to harass the respondent builder and gain wrongfully. Further, the respondent has contended that the complainant is estopped from filing the present complaint as the complainant himself defaulted in making payments in timely manner which is *sine qua non* of the performance of the obligations by the respondent. This default has led multiple problems to the respondent company and extra costs being incurred by the respondent.

- 14. However, the respondent submitted that the construction of the said project is in full swing. That the respondent company is very much committed to develop the real estate project and as on date the status of construction is as under:
 - a) Civil structure Complete
 - b) Internal plaster : Complete
 - c) White wash : Under Process
 - d) Floorings : Under process 68% complete

e) Electric fittings : Under process 70% complete The respondent has scheduled to deliver the possession of the first phase of the project in December 2018 which comprises of 432 flats in 10 towers and complete delivery of





2nd phase by March 2019 comprising of 16 towers having 704 flats.

15. The respondent further admitted that they are behind schedule of completion, but the respondent is not responsible for the delay as the delay occurred is due to extraneous circumstances beyond their control. Further, the respondent could get the consent to establish only on 02.12.2013 due to which construction could not be started. That the license bearing no. 13 of 2012 expired on 22.02.2016. However the company filed an application for renewal of license on 11.02.2016 but due to policy issues, the license could not get renewed till date and further due to non-renewal of the license, the application for registration with the HRERA, Panchkula could not be allowed and the application of the respondent was rejected as a result of which the bankers are not allowing smooth finances and the respondent company suffered but the company is not letting such issues come in their way of delivering possession.



16. The respondent submitted that the complete real estate industry is under pressure of delivery and the availability of skilled manpower and material is at its all-time low and thereby, the respondent company does not gain anything by delaying the project and is rather committed to deliver the



project in the best standards of quality and performance. The respondent has further contended that the parties are bound by the terms and conditions of the contract and that as per clause 3(a) of the apartment buyer's agreement, the respondent shall handover the possession of the apartment within 36 months with a grace period of 6 months from the date of commencement of construction of the complex upon the receipt of all project related approvals including sanction of building plan/revised building plan and other approvals.

17. The respondent submitted that clause 3(b) of apartment buyer's agreement enumerates certain situations in which the date of possession shall get extended which states that the completion of the said low cost/affordable group housing project including the apartment is delayed by reason of nonavailability of steel and cement or other building materials or water supply or electric power or slow down, strike or lockout or civil commotion or by reason of war or enemy action or terrorist action or earthquake or any act of God or due to circumstance beyond the power and control of the developer.







manner and the delay occasioned had been beyond the control of the respondent and due to multifarious reasons and given the agreed terms between the parties, the complainant has no cause of action to file the present complaint as the delay so occasioned is very much due to the factors so contemplated.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES:

- 19. After considering the facts submitted by the complainant, reply by the respondent and perusal of record on file, the issue wise findings of the authority are as under:
 - i. With respect to the **first issue** raised by the complainant, as per clause 3(a) of apartment buyer's agreement, the possession of the said flat is to be handed over within 36 months from the date of commencement of construction (with a grace period of 6 months) upon receipt of all project related approvals. In the present case, the consent to establish was granted to the respondent on 2.12.2013. Therefore, the due date of handing over possession will be computed from 2.12.2013. The clause regarding the possession of the said unit is reproduced below:







...the Developer proposes to handover the possession of the said flat within a period of thirty-six (36) Months with grace period of 6 Months, from the date of commencement of construction upon receipt of all project related approvals including sanction of building plan/ revised plan and approvals of all concerned including authorities the fire service department, civil aviation department, traffic department, pollution control department etc. as may be required for commencing, carrying on and completing the said complex subject to force majeure, restraints or restriction from any court/authorities...."

Accordingly, the due date of possession was 02.06.2017 and the possession has been delayed by one year eight months twenty four days till date. The delay compensation payable by the respondent @ Rs.10/per sq. ft. per month of the carpet area of the said apartment as per clause 3(c)(iv) of apartment buyer's agreement is held to be very nominal and unjust. The terms of the agreement have been drafted mischievously by the respondent and are completely one sided as also held in para 181 of Neelkamal Realtors Suburban Pvt. Ltd. Vs. UOI and ors. (W.P 2737 of 2017), wherein the Bombay HC bench held that:





"...Agreements entered into with individual purchasers were invariably one sided, standardformat agreements prepared bv the builders/developers which and were overwhelmingly in their favour with unjust clauses on delayed delivery, time for conveyance to the society. obligations to obtain occupation/completion certificate etc. Individual purchasers had no scope or power to negotiate and had to accept these one-sided agreements."

- With respect to the second issue, the complainant has provided no proof but made only assertion with respect to sub-standard quality of construction in the complaint. Thus, this issue is not maintainable.
- iii. With respect to the **third issue** raised by the complainant, as the promoter has failed to fulfil his obligation under section 11(4)(a), the promoter is liable under section 18(1) proviso to pay interest to the complainant, at the prescribed rate, for every month of delay till the handing over of possession.



FINDINGS OF THE AUTHORITY:

20. Jurisdiction of the authority- The authority has complete jurisdiction to decide the complaint in regard to non-compliance of obligations by the promoter as held in *Simmi Sikka V/s M/s EMAAR MGF Land Ltd*. leaving aside compensation which is to be decided by the adjudicating



officer if pursued by the complainant at a later stage. As per notification no. 1/92/2017-1TCP dated 14.12.2017 issued by Department of Town and Country Planning, the jurisdiction of Real Estate Regulatory Authority, Gurugram shall be entire Gurugram District. In the present case, the project in question is situated within the planning area of Gurugram district, therefore this authority has complete territorial jurisdiction to deal with the present complaint.

- 21. The complainant made a submission before the authority under section 34 (f) to ensure compliance/obligations cast upon the promoter as mentioned above. The complainant requested that necessary directions be issued by the authority under section 37 of the Act ibid to the promoter to comply with the provisions and fulfil obligation.
- 22. Report of local commissioner dated 21.01.2019 has been received and placed on record. The relevant portion of the LC report is as under:



"Since the estimated cost and expenditure incurred figures are available for the complete project i.e. for tower in pocket A and pocket B. the overall progress of the project has been assessed on the basis of expenditure and actual work done at site on 16.01.2019. Keeping in view the above facts and figures, it is reported that the work is financially 68.12% complete whereas the physical work of the towers in pocket A is about 80% complete and tower in pocket B is approximately 50%



complete. Hence the overall completion of the project is physically 62.88% complete."

- 23. As per averments made by the counsel for the respondent the project shall be completed within a period of 4 months from the date of renewal of license by DTCP, Haryana. The authority expects that the matter will be expedited for renewal of the license by the office of DTCP at the earliest. A letter in this regard may be written to DTCP, Haryana by registration branch.
- 24. A plea has been taken by the counsel for the respondent that the license could not be renewed, as such, the pace of project has been slowed down. On the previous date of hearing i.e. 22.01.2019, DTP was directed to appear in person, but he has failed to appear before this authority, as such a penalty of Rs. 5,000/- is imposed upon DTP on account of non compliance of directions of the authority.



25. As per clause 3(a) of builder buyer agreement dated 10.06.2017 for unit no.111, 1st floor, block/tower 'Jasmine' in project "Our Homes", Sector 37 C, Gurugram, possession was to be handed over to the complainant within a period of 36 months or from the date of consent to establish i.e. 02.12.2013 + 6 months grace period which comes out to be 02.06.2017. However the respondent has not delivered the



unit in time. It was a time linked plan. Complainant has already paid Rs. 1,60,000/- to the respondent against a total sale consideration of Rs 16,00,000/-. As such complainant is entitled to delayed possession charges at prescribed rate of interest i.e. 10.75% p.a. w.e.f. 02.06.2017 as per the provisions of section 18(1) of the Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Act, 2016 till offer of possession.

DECISION AND DIRECTIONS OF THE AUTHORITY:

- 26. After taking into consideration all the material facts as adduced and produced by both the parties, the authority exercising powers vested in it under section 37 of the Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Act, 2016 hereby issues the following directions to the respondent in the interest of justice and fair play:
 - (i) The respondent is duty bound to pay the interest at the prescribed rate i.e. 10.75% for every month of delay from the due date of possession i.e. 02.06.2017 as per the provisions of section 18(1) of the Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Act, 2016 till offer of possession.
 - (ii) The respondent is directed to pay interest accrued from 02.06.2017 to 26.02.2019 on account of delay





in handing over of possession to the complainant within 90 days from the date of decision and subsequent interest to be paid by the 10th of every succeeding month.

- 27. As the project is registerable and has not been registered by the promoter, the authority has decided to take suo-moto cognizance for not getting the project registered and for that separate proceeding will be initiated against the respondent under section 59 of the Act ibid. A copy of this order be endorsed to registration branch for further action in the matter.
- 28. The order is pronounced.
- 29. Case file be consigned to the registry.

(Samir Kumar) Member (Subhash Chander Kush) Member



Date:26.02.2019

Judgement Uploaded on 12.03.2019