

BEFORE RAJENDER KUMAR, ADJUDICATING OFFICER, HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY

GURUGRAM

Complaint no.	:	765 of 2019
Date of decision	:	16.09.2021

INDERDEEP SINGH ARNEJA R/O : L 49 D, First Floor, Block L, Saket, New Delhi - 110017

Complainant

Versus

M/S ANSAL PROPERTIES AND INFRASTRUCTURES LTD. ADDRESS: 115, Ansal Bhawan, 16 Kasturba Gandhi Marg, New Delhi-110001

Respondent

APPEARANCE: For Complainant: For Respondent:

Nilotpal Shyam, (Adv) Deepanshu Jain (Adv)

deb

A.O, 16-9,2021

Page 1 of 7



ORDER

- This is a complaint filed by Inderdeep Singh Arneja (also called as buyer) under section 31 of The Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Act, 2016 (in short, the Act) read with rule 29 of The Haryana Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Rules,2017 (in short, the Rules) against respondent/developer.
- 2. As per complainant, he purchased a unit in respondent's project The Fernhill, situated at sector-91, Gurugram. The said unit was originally booked by Vimal Jetha on 26.04.2011 and he (original allottee) had made payment of Rs 4,00,000 as booking amount. The respondent allotted a unit No. B-0801 admeasuring 1618 sq. ft. for a total consideration of Rs 54,53,435 including BSP, PLC, EDC and etc. The subject unit was transferred in the name of complainant vide transfer letter dated 20.09.2012. A buyer's agreement was executed on 10.07.2013.
- 3. As per the Clause 5.1 of buyer's agreement, the possession of the said premisses was to be delivered by the developer to the allottee within 48 months from the date of execution of buyer's agreement or from date of commencement of construction of the particular Tower/block, subject to sanction of building plan whichever is later, with grace period of 6 months. The respondent failed to complete the construction work and consequently failed to deliver the same till date.

Kul A.O. Page 2 of 7 16-5-21



.

*

- 4. As per the payment plan opted by the complainant, he made timely payment of Rs 46,75,359 i.e 85 % of entire agreed consideration along with miscellaneous and additional charges etc, but to his utter dismay structure of construction work is not complete, the possession of the apartment has not been offered as agreed in buyer's agreement.
- 5. The complainant has availed home loan facility from HDFC and has executed a tripartite agreement dated 15.02.2016 whereby a loan of Rs 20,00,000 was sanctioned towards said unit.
- 6. Contending that the respondent has breached the fundamental term of the contract, by inordinately delaying the delivery of the possession, the complainant had purchased the unit in 2012 and even in 2019, the project was nowhere near completion, the complainant has sought refund of entire amount of Rs 46,75,359 paid by him till now, along with prescribed rate of interest.
- 7. The particulars of the project, in tabular form are reproduced as under:

S.No.	Heads	Information	
PROJ	ECT DETAILS		
1.	Project name and location	" T he Fernhill ", Sector 91, Gurugram,	
2.	Project area	14.412 acres	1

Page 3 of 7

A.0 .

15-9-21



OUN		complaint No. 703 01 2019
3.	Nature of the project	Residential Group Housing Colony
4.	DTCP license no. and validity	 48 of 2010 dated 21.06.2010 valid up to 20.06.2016
5.	Name of licensee	SRP Builders.
6.	RERA Registered/notregistered	d Registered vide no. 392 of 2017 (Phase-I) 389 of 217 (Phase-II)
UNIT	DETAILS	
1.	Unit no.	B-0801
2.	Unit measuring	1618 sq. ft.
3.	Date of Booking (Original Allotte) 26.04.2011
4.	Date of Transfer in name of complainant	20.09.2012 (Annexure C-1)
5.	Date of Buyer's Agreement	10.07.2013 (Annexure C-3)
6.	Clause 5.1 of buyer's agreement, the possession of the said premisses was to be delivered by the developer to the allottee within 48 months from the date of execution of buyer's agreement or from date of commencement of construction of the particular Tower/block subject to sanction of building	(commencement of construction : 14.08.2014)

dal. A.O., 16-9-21 Page 4 of 7



	plan whichever is later, with grace period of 6 months.	
7.	Delay in handing over of possession till date	3 years 01 month
AYM	ENT DETAILS	
8.	Total sale consideration	Rs 53,7 7,600
0	Amount paid by the	Rs 46,75,359
7.	complainants	

- 11. As per records notice of complaint was served upon respondent on 07.03.2019. On 12.10.2020, Mr. Gagan Sharma, advocate appeared on behalf of respondent. Respondent was directed to file written reply along with documents consisting of sanctioned plan of the project, statement of account of complainant, environment clearance certificate, copy of BBA and latest status report of project duly verified by a responsible person, connected with construction work by way of an affidavit. Service of notice and also the fact that Mr. Gagan Sharma was authorised to appear on behalf of respondent, is not denied by the counsel of latter.
- 12.The respondent failed to file either reply or document/information stated above. Vide order dated

Page 5 of 7 A.0, 16-5-21



10.02.2021, the respondent was ordered to be proceeded exparte.

- 13. The respondent has filed an application on 12.07.2021 for setting aside the ex-parte order dated 10.02.2021. Respondent has taken a plea that due to covid 19 pandemic, state government had imposed restriction on vehicular movement throughout the state, due to which the counsel for respondent could not appear. Respondent did not file any reply even along with said application. No document has been filed in compliance of order dated 12.10.2020. On 10.02.2021, this forum held proceedings. Even counsel for complainant came on that date to join proceedings. There was no lockdown at that time. No reason to recall said order. Application in hands is hereby dismissed.
- 14. In the absence of any reply by the respondent contradicting plea taken by the complainant, claim of latter is presumed to be true. As per complainant, the respondent is bound by agreement and to handover possession of the unit at the most till 14.08.2018. The project is nowhere near completion. The respondent has thus failed to deliver possession in agreed time, without any explanation. The same is thus liable to refund amount received from complainant, along with interest etc.

Aub. A.O. 16-9-21Page 6 of 7



15. The complaint in hands is allowed and respondent is directed to refund the amount paid by the complainant i.e Rs 46,75,359 within 90 days from date of this order along with interest @ 9.30 % p.a. from the dates of receipt till its realisation. The respondent is also burder ed with cost of litigation of Rs 50,000/- to be paid to the complainant.

File be consigned to registry.

16.09.2021

Nu1

(RAJENDER KUMAR) Adjudicating Officer Haryana Real Estate Regulatory Authority Gurugram

Judgement uploaded on 25.09.2021.