COMPLAINT NO. 1060 of 2020

HRERA, Panchkula ....COMPLAINANT(S)

VERSUS

Trishul Dream Homes .....RESPONDENT(S)

CORAM: Anil Kumar Panwar Member

Dilbag Singh Sihag Member

Date of Hearing: 25.08.2021

Hearing: 6th

Present: Sh. Vivek Sethi, Counsel for respondent through Video Conferencing

ORDER (Anil Kumar Panwar -Member)

1. Respondent-promoter in this case was granted the registration vide No. 358 of 2017dated 17.11.2017 in respect of his project named "Trishul Dream Homes Ltd." and the said registration was valid upto 24.06.2019. Learned Chief Town Planner has apprised the Authority that a total amount of Rs. 10,73,969/- was payable for registration of the project but the respondent-promoter had deposited less amount of Rs.6,08,581/-. Less deficit fee has not been paid by the promoter

till date, therefore, the registration granted to the respondent-promoter is liable to be cancelled on this count alone.

2. The original registration granted had lapsed on 24.06.2019 and the respondent-promoter failed to complete the project or even to seek extension of the said registration. For the purpose of seeking first extension of registration, respondent-promoter was liable to pay a sum of Rs.5,36,985/- to the Authority as per the provisions of the RERA Act. Looking to the fact that no application for extension of registration has been filed and the first extension was permissible only till 24.06.2020, the promoter became liable even to seek second extension in respect of his project on payment of Rs.5,36,985/-.

3. In the back drop of above circumstances, respondent-promoter can be given one further extension of his original registration only after payment of a total amount of Rs.16,82,551/-. Learned counsel Shri Vivek Sethi who appeared on behalf of respondent-promoter has apprised the Authority that they had deposited an amount of Rs.4,65,388/- and seeks three weeks' time to file an application for extension of registration and also for paying the deficit amount of Rs.12,17,163/-. It has been made clear to the respondent-promoter that the Authority will be constrained to initiate penal action under Section 59 of the RERA Act, in case, the promoter fails to move the necessary application for extension of registration or to deposit the deficit fee of Rs. 12,17,163/- by the next date of hearing.

4. Case is adjourned to 20.09.2021.

.....

ANIL KUMAR PANWAR
[MEMBER]

.....

DILBAG SINGH SIHAG
[MEMBER]

Haryana Real Estate Regulatory Authority • Panchkula •
सत्यमेव जयते