

BEFORE RAJENDER KUMAR, ADJUDICATING OFFICER, HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY **GURUGRAM**

Complaint no.	:	577 of 2020	
Date of decision	:	08.09.2021	

RITU SHARMA AND VISHAL KIRTI SHARMA R/O: Flat No. 4, Building 7D, Jasmine Street, Vatika City, Sector-49, Gurugram Haryana-122018

Complainants

Versus

- 1. M/S ANSAL PROPERTIES AND INFRASTRUCTURES LTD. ADDRESS: 115, Ansal Bhawan, 16 Kasturba Gandhi Marg, New Delhi-110001
- 2. SAMYAK PROPERTIES PVT. LTD. ADDRESS: 111, 1ST Floor, Antariksh Bhawan, 22 KG Marg New Delhi-110001.

Respondents

APPEARANCE:

For Complainant: For Respondent:

Ms. Shivali (Adv) None

duby A.O. 8-9,21

Page 1 of 6



ORDER

- 1. This is complaint filed by Ritu Sharma and Vishal Kirti Sharma (also called as buyers) under section 31 of The Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Act, 2016 (in short, the Act) read with rule 29 of The Haryana Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Rules, 2017 (in short, the Rules) against respondents/promoters.
- 2. As per complainants, on 12.11.2011, they jointly booked a villa in respondent's project The Fernhill, situated at sector-91, Gurugram and they made payment of Rs 10,00,000 as booking amount. The respondent allotted a unit No. 0705-GH-016 admeasuring 5030 sq. ft. for a total consideration of Rs 1,93,93,920 including BSP, PLC, EDC and etc. A buyer's agreement was executed on 10.05.2014.
- 3. As per the Clause 5.1 of buyer's agreement, the possession of the said premisses was to be delivered by the developer to the allottee within 48 months from the date of execution of buyer's agreement or from date of commencement of construction of the particular Tower/block, subject to sanction of building plan whichever is later, with grace period of 6 months. The respondent failed to complete the construction work and consequently failed to deliver the same till date.
- 4. As per the payment plan opted by the complainants, they made timely payment of Rs 1,33,70,945/- i.e 70 % of entire agreed consideration along with miscellaneous and

drd_ A.O. 8-9-21

.

Page 2 of 6



additional charges etc, but to their utter dismay, the possession of the apartment has not been offered as agreed in buyer's agreement.

- 5. As per the local commissioner report i.e Annexure-6, there is no substantial progress at the project site and project is not complete. The construction work is going on at very low pace.
- 6. Contending that the respondent has breached the fundamental term of the contract, by inordinately delaying the delivery of the possession, the booking of the unit was made in the year 2011 and even in 2020, the project was nowhere near completion, the complainants have sought refund of entire amount of Rs 1,33,70,945 paid by them till now, along with interest @ 24 %, compensation of Rs 50,00,000, and Rs 1,00,000 as litigation charges.
- 7. The particulars of the project, in tabular form are reproduced as under:

S.No.	Heads	Information
PROJECT DETAILS		
1.	Project name and location	" The Fernhill ", Sector 91, Gurugram,
2.	Project area	14.412 acres
3.	Nature of the project	Residential Group Housing Colony

A.01 8-9-21 Page 3 of 6



Sont		
4. 5.	DTCP license no. and validity status Name of licensee	48 of 2010 dated 21.06.2010 valid up to 20.06.2016 SRP Builders.
5.	RERA Registered/ not registered	Registered vide no. 392 of 2017 (Phase-I) 389 of 217 (Phase-II)
UNIT	DETAILS	
1.	Unit no.	0705-GH-016
2.	Unit measuring	5030 sq. ft.
3.	Date of Booking	12.11.2011
4.	Date of Buyer's Agreement	10.05.2014 (Annexure-A-1
5.	Clause 5.1 of buyer's agreement, the possession of the said premisses was to be delivered by the developer to the allottee within 48 months from the date of execution of buyer's agreement or from date of commencement of construction of the particular Tower/block subject to sanction of building plan whichever is later, with grace period of 6 months.	14.02.2019 (commencement of construction: 14.08.2014, a per statement of account annexed with complaint)
6.	Delay in handing over of possession till date	2 years 07 months

XN A.O, 8-9-21

Page 4 of 6



7.	Total sale consideration	Rs 1,93,93,920
8.	Amount paid by the complainant	Rs 1,33,70,945
9.	Payment Plan	Construction Linked Plan

- 10.As per records notice of complaint was issued to respondents in compliance of order of authority dated 02.03.2020, through speed post as well as through e-mail. On 12.10.2020, Mr. Gagan Sharma, advocate appeared on behalf of respondents and stated that reply is not ready. Respondents were directed to file written reply along with documents consisting of sanctioned plan of the project, statement of account of complainant, environment clearance certificate, copy of BBA and latest status report of project duly verified by a responsible person, connected with construction work by way of an affidavit.
- 11. The respondents failed to file reply or document/information stated above. Vide order dated 10.02.2021, the respondents were ordered to be proceeded ex-parte.
- 12. In the absence of any reply by the respondents contradicting plea taken by the complainants, claim of latters is presumed to be true. As per complainants, the respondents were bound by agreement to handover possession of the unit at the most till 14.02.2019 and project is nowhere near completion. The

ADI

Page 5 of 6



respondents have thus failed to deliver possession in agreed time, without any explanation. The same are thus liable to refund amount received from complainants, along with interest etc.

13. The complaint in hands is allowed and respondents are directed to refund the amount paid by the complainants i.e Rs 1,33,70,945 within 90 days from date of this order along with interest @ 9.30 % p.a. The same are also burdened with cost of Rs 50,000/- to be paid to the complainants.

08.09.2021

(RAJENDER KUMAR) Adjudicating Officer Haryana Real Estate Regulatory Authority Gurugram

Judgement uploaded on 11.09.2021