HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY GURUGRAM

GURUGRAM

New PWD Rest House, Civil Lines, Gurugram, Haryana

नया पी.डब्ल्यू.डी. विश्राम

गृह, सिविल लाईस, गुरुग्राम, हरियाणा

BEFORE S.C. GOYAL, ADJUDICATING OFFICER, HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY GURUGRAM

Complaint No. : 964/2019 Date of Decision : 02.03.2021

Mrs Jyoti Babbar & Mr Sunny Sehgal H.No.E-17, 3rd Floor, Mansarovar Garden, New Delhi-110015

Complainants

V/s

M/s Supertech Limited 1114, 11th Floor, Hemkunt Chambers, 89, Nehru Place, New Delhi

Respondent

Complaint under Section 31 of the Real Estate(Regulation and Development) Act, 2016

Argued by:

For Complainants: For Respondent:

2-2-2021

Shri Sanjay Gaba, Advocate Shri Bhrigu Dhami, Advocate

ORDER

This is a complaint under Section 31 of the Real Estate(Regulation and Development) Act, 2016 (hereinafter referred to Act of 2016) read with rule 29 of the Haryana Real Estate(Regulation and Development) Rules, 2017 (hereinafter referred as the Rules of 2017) filed by Mrs Jyoti Babbar and Shri

1

Sunny Sehgal seeking refund of Rs.56,91,473/- deposited with the respondent for booking a flat bearing No.T2/402, 4th Floor, measuring 1200 sq. ft. in its project known as 'SUPERTECH HILL TOWN', situated in Sector 2, Sohna, (Gurugram) for a sum of Rs.63,53,200/- besides taxes etc on account of violation of obligations of the respondent/promoter under section 11(4) of the Real Estate(Regulation & Development) Act, 2016. Before taking up the case of the complainants, the reproduction of the following details is must and which are as under:

	Project related de	tails
I.	Name of the project	"Supertech HillTown" Sector 2, Sohna, Gurugram
II.	Location of the project	-do-
III.	Nature of the project	Residential
Unit	related details	
IV.	Unit No. / Plot No.	T2/402, 4 th floor
V.	Tower No. / Block No.	
VI	Size of the unit (super area)	Measuring 1200 sq ft
VII	Size of the unit (carpet area)	-DO-
VIII	Ratio of carpet area and super area	-DO-
IX	Category of the unit/ plot	Residential
Х	Date of booking(original)	19.03.2015
XI	Date of Allotment(original)	
XII	Date of execution of BBA (copy of BBA enclosed)	19.03.2015
XIII	Due date of possession as per BBA	April, 2018

5

	Delay in handing over possession till date	More than two years
XV	Penalty to be paid by the respondent in case of delay of handing over possession as per the said BBA	As per clause 25 of Buyer Builder Agreement @ Rs.5/- per sq feet per month of the area of the unit
Payı	ment details	
XVI	Total sale consideration	Rs. 63,53,200/-
XVII		e Rs.56,91,473/-

2. Brief facts of the case can be detailed as under.

A project known by the name of 'Supertech Hilltown' situated in Sector 2, Sohna, Gurugram was to be developed by the respondent. The complainants coming to know about the same expressed an interest and booked 2 BHK measuring 1200 sq.ft. on 31.01.2015 for a total sum of Rs.63,53,200/- under the subvention scheme and paid different amounts. An allotment letter in this regard vide P/4 dt 19.03.2015 was issued. It is the case of the complainants that a Tripartite Agreement dated 20.03.2015 Annexure P/5 was also entered into between the parties and which led to sanctioning a sum of Rs.50,00,000/-. A Memorandum of Understanding dated 01.04.2015 Annexure P/7 was also executed between the parties and the same was approved for 36 months i.e. 13.04.2015 to 30.04.2018. It is also the case of the complainants that they paid different amounts to the respondent-builder and it also paid some pre-EMIs in their loan account with the banker. However, despite the expiry of the due date, neither the respondent paid pre-EMIs of the loan nor completed the project and which She complainants from its withdrawal and seeking refund. So, on these 2-1-7021

3

broad averments, they filed a complaint seeking refund of the amount deposited with the respondent-builder besides interest and compensation.

3. But the case of the respondent-builder as set up in the written reply dated 23.02.2021 is that though the complainants booked a unit with it under the subvention scheme but it was denied that there was any intentional delay in completing the project. It is due to force majeure events beyond the control of the respondent-builder that the construction of the project could not be completed. It was pleaded that every effort is being made to complete the project and offer possession of the allotted unit to the complainants. Moreover, the project is registered with the Harera Authority, Gurugram and time for completing the project has been extended to 30.06.2021 vide Annexure R/3. Lastly, it was pleaded that the complaint filed by the complainants is not maintainable as the matter is sub-judice before the Hon'ble Apex Court of the land.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for both the parties and have also perused the case file.

5. Some of the admitted facts of the case are that vide Letter of allotment Annexure P/4, the complainants were allotted a unit No.T2/402 by the respondent-builder in its project known as Supertech Hill Town, Sector 2, Sohna, Gurugram for a total sum of Rs.63,53,200/-.The allotment of that unit was made under the subvention scheme as is evident from the documents Annexure P/6 and P/7 respectively. Under that scheme, the complainants were sanctioned a housing loan of Rs.50,00,000/- by Indiabulls Housing Finance Limited and the same was paid to the respondent-builder on behalf of the complainants. It was agreed upon in pursuant to Memorandum of Understanding dated 01.04.2015 that pre-EMIs of the above mentioned amount would be paid by the respondent-builder to the financer in the account of the complainants. A Builder Buyer Agreement dated 19.03.2015 Annexure P/4 was executed between the parties. A perusal of the same shows that as per clause 25, the possession of the allotted unit was to be delivered to the complainants/allottees by the respondent-builder by December, 2018 with an extended grace period of six months i.e. by June, 2019. It is also a fact that in pursuant to Tripartite Agreement entered into between the parties, the respondent-builder agreed to pay the pre-EMIs and that fact is confirmed from statement of accounts Annexure P/19. Admittedly, neither the respondent-builder completed the project by the due date nor offered possession of the allotted unit to the complainants and which led to their withdrawal from the project and seek refund of the amount deposited with it. The main plea advanced on behalf of the respondent is that though the allotment of the unit in question was made under the subvention scheme and it also deposited different amounts in the account of the complainants but the project could not be completed due to various reasons such as shortage of labour, demonetization, restraint orders passed by different authorities and which should be taken into consideration. Moreover, the time for completion of the project has been extended by the Harera Gurugram by 30.06.2021 and every effort would be made to complete the project within that time. But the plea advanced in this regard on behalf of the respondent-builder is devoid of merit. It is evident from perusal of clause 25 of allotment letter P/4 that possession of the allotted unit would be delivered to the allottees by December, 2018 with a grace period of six months i.e. by June, 2019. Then, there is clause 33 with regard to cancellation of booking/allotment and which provides as under:

CANCELLATION OF BOOKING/ALLOTMENT

2 3/2021

That in case the allottee(s), any time desires for cancellation of the 33. provisional allotment due to any reason whatsoever, then in such case earnest money i.e. 15% of the total cost/price of the Floor/Apartment Shice

shall be forfeited and the balance shall be refunded without any interest. The refund shall be made only after the sale of the said allotted Floor/Apartment to any intending third party. The Allottee(s) shall submit the required documents i.e. affidavit, application etc for such cancellation and taking refund.

It is evident from perusal of above mentioned terms and conditions 6. of the allotment letter that the possession of the allotted unit was to be offered to the complainants by June, 2019. It is a fact that even up to now, the respondent-builder has failed to complete the project and offer possession of the allotted unit to the complainants. Then, an option has been given to the allottees with regard to cancellation of booking/allotment and withdrawal from the project. Since, the respondent-builder failed to complete the project and offer possession of the allotted unit to the complainants, so as per terms and conditions of allotment and particularly mentioned at clause 33, the complainants exercised that option and withdrew from the project. So, in such a situation, the respondent-builder is bound to return the amount deposited by the complainants with it. The plea of the respondent that due to force majeure factors, it was unable to complete the project and hand over its possession to the allottees is devoid of merit. In case of DLF Universal Ltd & Anr Vs Capital Greens Flat Buyers Association etc. Civil Appeal No. 3864-3889 of 2020 decided on 14.12.2020, it was observed by the Hon'ble Apex Court of the land that delay in approval of building plans and issuance of stop work orders as a result of fatal accident during the course of construction being force majeure conditions cannot be taken into consideration in achieving timely completion of contractual obligations. Even, there was an exit offer given to the flat buyers on two occasions and which also resulted in delay in completing the project. So all these circumstances were not considered sufficient for invoking force majeure conditions and which resulted in her

2.3.2021

payment of delayed possession charges to the allottees by the builder. Moreover, the unit in question was booked by the complainants under subvention scheme and they paid almost the total amount to the respondent. In case of Ireo Grace Real Tech Pvt Ltd. Vs Abhishek Khanna & Others, Civil Appeal No. 5785 of 2019 decided on 11.01.2021, the Hon'ble Apex Court allowed the refund of the amount deposited by the allottees with the developer besides interest at the rate of 9% p.a. when it was proved that there was delay in handing over the possession of the allotted unit. Even now, there is nothing on record to show that status and extent of the construction of the allotted unit and its likely time of completion. Neither any quarterly return filed with the Hon'ble Authority has been placed on record nor there is any other evidence to suggest that the project is near completion and its likely handing over to the allottees in June, 2021. So, taking into consideration all these facts, it is evident that when the complainants withdrew from the project as per terms and conditions of allotment, then they are not obligated to take possession of the allotted unit and are entitled to seek refund of the amount deposited with the respondent-builder from each date of payment till the payment of the whole amount besides interest a@ 9.30% p.a. and compensation to the tune of Rs.\$0,000/-.

7. Thus, in view of the discussion above, the complaint filed by the complainants is hereby ordered to be accepted. Consequently, the following directions are hereby ordered to be issued:

 I. The respondent is directed to refund a sum of Rs.56,91,473/besides interest @ 9.30% p.a. from the date of each payment till the payment of the whole amount to the complainants;

7

Sticce

2.1.2021

- II. The amount of Pre-EMIs paid by the respondent-builder in the loan account of the complainants would be deducted while calculating total amount due towards them;
- III. The loan amount received by the complainants against the allotted unit and paid to the respondent-builder would be a charge payable to the M/s Indiabulls Housing Finance Ltd.-financer and the same would be paid prior to paying the deposited amount to the complainants.
- IV. There would be a charge on the allotted unit bearing no.T2/402, 4th floor, measuring 1200 sq ft and situated in the project known as "Supertech HillTown" Sector 2, Sohna, Gurugram till whole of the amount detailed above is paid by the respondent-builder to the financer as well as the complainants. It is further debarred from creating third party rights and selling that unit without paying the amount due.
- 8. File be consigned to the Registry.

02.03.2021

(S.C. Goyal) Adjudicating Officer, Haryana Real Estate Regulatory Authority Gurugram

Judgement Uploaded on 24.03.2021