

BEFORE THE HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY, GURUGRAM

Complaint no.:587 of 2020First date of hearing :12.03.2020Date of decision:21.10.2020

 Mr. Rajinder Singh S/o Late Harbhajan Singh
Manpreet Kaur Both R/o: - Parsvnath Greenville, B-12 GF, Sector-48, Opp. Omax Gurgaon Mall, Gurugram- 122018

Complainants

Respondent

Versus

M/s Sepset Properties Pvt. Ltd. Regd. office: 11/F, Paras Twin Towers, Tower-B, Golf Course Road, Sector 54, Gurugram-122002, Haryana

CORAM: Shri K.K. Khandelwal Shri Samir Kumar Shri Subhash Chander Kush

APPEARANCE: Sh. Manish Yadav Sh. Jasdeep Singh Dhillon Chairman Member

Member

Advocate for the complainants Advocate for the respondent

ORDER

EREG

 The present complaint dated 04.02.2020 has been filed by the complainants/allottees under section 31 of the Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Act, 2016 (in short, the Act) read with rule 28 of the Haryana Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Rules, 2017 (in short, the Rules) for violation of



section 11(4)(a) of the Act wherein it is inter alia prescribed that the promoter shall be responsible for all obligations, responsibilities and functions as provided under the provisions of the Act or the rules and regulations made there under or to the allottee as per the agreement for sale executed inter se them.

2. The particulars of the project, the details of sale consideration, the amount paid by the complainants, date of proposed handing over the possession, delay period, if any, have been detailed in the following tabular form:

S.No.	Heads and the second se	Information
1.	Project name and location	"Paras Dews", Sector 106, Dwarka expressway, Gurugram
2.	Project area	13.762 acres
3.	Nature of the project	Residential group housing colony
4.	DTCP license no. and validity status	61 of 2012 dated 13.06.2012 valid Upto 12.06.2020
5.	Name of licensee	M/s Sepset Properties Pvt. Ltd.
6.	RERA Registered/ not registered	Registered vide no. 118 of 2017 dated 28.08.2017
7.	RERA registration valid up to	31.07.2021
8.	Allotment letter	10.01.2013 [Page 20 of complaint]
9.	Date of execution of builder buyer agreement	15.05.2013



Complaint No. 587 of 2020

		[Page 23 of complaint]
10.	Unit no.	08, 17 th floor, tower B
		[Page 26 of complaint]
11.	Unit measuring	1760 sq. ft.
		[super area]
12.	Payment plan	Construction linked payment plan
		[Page 56 of complaint]
13.	Total sale consideration of the	Rs.1,13,06,400/-
	subject unit as per payment plan annexure- C	[Page 56 of complainant]
14.	Total amount paid by the	Rs. 1,17,23,269/-
	complainants as per customer statement dated 03.12.2019	[page 67 & 68 of complaint]
15.	Due date of delivery of possession as per clause 3.1 – 42 months + 6 months' grace period from the date of execution of agreement or date of obtaining all licenses or approvals for commencement of construction, whichever is later [Page 35 of complaint]	06.09.2013 (the due date has been calculated from the receipt of environment clearance i.e. 06.09.2013)
16.	Date of offer of possession	24.01.2019
10.	A ATARAAA	[Page 60 of complaint]
17.	Delay in handing over possession till offer of possession i.e. 24.01.2019	1 year 4 months and 18 days

3. As per clause 3.1 of the apartment buyer agreement dated 15.05.2013, the possession was to be handed over within a period of 42 months along with an additional grace period of



six months from the date of execution of builder buyer agreement or date of obtaining all licenses or approvals for commencement of construction, whichever is later, subject to force majeure, the Accordingly, the due date of possession has been calculated from the receipt of environment clearance i.e. 06.09.2013 which comes out to be 06.09.2017. Clause 3.1 of the apartment buyer agreement is reproduced below:

"3. Possession:

- 4. The complainants submitted that the possession of the subject apartment has been offered by the respondent to the complainants on 24.01.2019. he was raised protest regarding the same and event sent emails and letters to the respondent to comply with the term of the BBA, but the respondent paid no heed to the genuine and legitimate demands and queries of complainant.
- 5. The complainants submitted that the respondent has utterly failed in fulfilling their obligation to deliver the unit within the stipulated time as per the apartment buyer agreement and



failed to offer possession in terms of section 11(4) (a) and 18 of the Act read with Rules.

Hence, this complaint for the inter alia reliefs, detailed above.

- (i) to direct the respondent to make payment of Delay Penalty as prescribed under RERA w.e.f. May 2017 from up to the date of actual delivery of possession of the apartment;
- (ii) to direct the respondent to deliver the possession of the apartment complete in all respects;
- (iii) to direct the respondent to give GST credit input to the complainant as per norms of CBDT;
- (iv) to direct the respondent not to charge Holding charges and maintenance charges without even delivering the actual physical possession of the apartment;
- 6. On the date of hearing, the Authority explained to the respondent/promoter about the contravention as alleged to have been committed in relation to section 11(4)(a) of the Act to plead guilty or not to plead guilty.
- 7. The authority issued notice of the complaint to the respondent by speed post and also on given email address at (sukghpreetsingh@Parasbuildtech.com). The delivery report



of which shows that the same was delivered. However, despite service of notice, the respondent has preferred not to file the reply to the complaint within the stipulated period. However, the promoter/respondent company advocate have marked attendance on 21.10.2020. This is a clear evidence that the service was completed.

- 8. Copies of all the relevant documents have been filed and placed on the record. Their authenticity is not in dispute. Hence, the complaint can be decided on the basis of these undisputed documents and submission made by the parties.
- 9. The Authority on the basis of information, explanation, other submissions made, and the documents filed by the complainant is of considered view that there is no need of further hearing in the complaint.
- 10. Arguments heard.
- 11. The authority has complete jurisdiction to decide the complaint regarding non-compliance of obligations by the promoter as held in *Simmi Sikka v/s M/s EMAAR MGF* Land Ltd. leaving aside compensation which is to be decided by the adjudicating officer if pursued by the complainants at a later stage.



- 12. On consideration of the documents, and submissions made by both the parties regarding contravention of provisions of the Act, the Authority is satisfied that the respondent is in contravention of the provisions of the Act. By virtue of clause 3.1 of the apartment buyer agreement executed between the parties on 15.05.2013, possession of the booked unit was to be delivered within a period of 42 months plus 6 months' grace period from the date of execution of agreement or date of obtaining all licenses or approvals for commencement of construction, whichever is later, subject to force majeure, accordingly the due date of possession has been calculated from the receipt of environment clearance i.e. 06.09.2013 which comes out to be 06.09.2017. The possession of the subject unit has been offered to the complainants on 24.01.2019.
- 13. Accordingly, it is the failure of the promoter to fulfil his obligations, responsibilities as per the apartment buyer agreement dated 15.05.2013 to hand over the possession within the stipulated period. Accordingly, the non-compliance of the mandate contained in section 11(4)(a) read with section 18(1) of the Act on the part of the respondent is established. As such the complainant is entitled to delayed possession at



the prescribed rate of interest @ 9.30% p.a. w.e.f. 06.09.2017 till offer of possession i.e. 24.01.2019 as per provisions of section 18(1) of the Act read with rule 15 of the Rules.

- Hence, the authority hereby passes the following order and issue directions under section 34(f) of the Act:
 - The respondent is directed to pay the interest at the prescribed rate i.e. 9.30% per annum for every month of delay on the amount paid by the complainants from due date of possession i.e. 06.09.2017 till 15.03.2019 (the receipt of occupation certificate i.e. 15.01.2019 + 2 months)
 - ii. The arrears of interest accrued so far shall be paid to the complainant within 90 days from the date of this order and thereafter monthly payment of interest till offer of possession shall be paid before 10th of each subsequent month.
 - iii. The complainant is directed to pay outstanding dues, if any, after adjustment of interest for the delayed period.
 - iv. The respondent shall not charge anything from the complainant which is not part of the apartment buyer's agreement.



- v. Interest on the due payments from the complainant shall be charged at the prescribed rate @ 9.30% by the promoter which is the same as is being granted to the complainant in case of delayed possession charges.
- vi. The respondent is directed not to charge holding charges till 15.03.2019 and if chargeable them only after 15.03.2019.

15. Complaint stands disposed of.

16. File be consigned to registry.

(Samir Kumar) Member

(Subhash Chander Kush) Member

(Dr. K.K. Khandelwal) Chairman Haryana Real Estate Regulatory Authority, Gurugram Dated: 21.10.2020 Judgement Uploaded on 01.12.2020.

RUGRA