

PROCEEDINGS OF THE DAY

Day and Date	Thursday and 06.12.2018
Complaint No.	525/2018 Case Titled As Mr. Navneet Kumar Bajaj V/S M/S Adel Landmarks Limited & Anr
Complainant	Mr. Navneet Kumar Bajaj
Represented through	S/Shri Abhay Jain and Kamal Sharma, Advocates for the complainant.
Respondent	M/S Adel Landmarks Limited & Anr
Respondent Represented through	Shri Shubhankar Sehgal, Advocate proxy counsel for Ms. Tarini Bhargava, Advocate for the respondent.
Last date of hearing	11.9.2018
Proceeding Recorded by	Naresh Kumari & S.L.Chanana

Proceedings

Counsel for the complainant has produced an addendum application w.r.t. to his complaint which is taken on record. On the other hand counsel for respondent has produced an order No.CP.No.IB-1083 (PB) /2018 in the matter of **Edelweiss Asset Reconstruction Company Limited vs. Adel Landmarks Ltd.** vide which Hon'ble President Shri M.M Kumar and Ms. Ina Malhotra Hon'ble Member (Judicial) have passed order dated 5.12.2018. The operative part of para nos.18 and 19 are reproduced as under:-

"Para no.18: We also declare moratorium in terms of Section 14 of the Code. It is made clear that the provisions of moratorium are not to apply to transactions which might be notified by the Central

Government and a surety in a contract of guarantee to a corporate debtor. Additionally, the supply of essential goods or services to the Corporate Debtor as may be specified is not to be terminated or suspended or interrupted during the moratorium period. These would include supply of water, electricity and similar other supplies of goods or services as provided by Regulation 32 of IBBI (Insolvency Resolution Process for Corporate Persons) Regulations, 2016.

Para No.19: The office is directed to communicate a copy of the order to the Financial Creditor, the Corporate Debtor and the Interim Resolution Professional at the earliest but not later than seven days from today. A copy of this order be also sent to the ROC for updating the Master Data. ROC shall send compliance report to the Registrar, NCLT”.

In view of the decision taken by the National Company Law Tribunal Special Bench, New Delhi since there is moratorium on further proceedings, as such the case is adjourned sine die. The complainant may pursue their case before the NCLT by joining their proceedings therein.

Complaint stands disposed of. File be consigned to the registry.

Samir Kumar
(Member)
6.12.2018

Subhash Chander Kush
(Member)