



Complaint no. 07,23,90,170 of 2020
& 2758, ,2782,2784,2786,2796, 2812,
2813,2847 ,3119 of 2019

HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY PANCHKULA

Website: www.haryanarera.gov.in

Complaint No. 07/2020

Anil Kumar Tripathi & Himanshu TripathiCOMPLAINANT(S)

VERSUS

Jindal Realty Pvt. Ltd.RESPONDENT(S)

Complaint No. 23/2020

Baru Ram Sharma & Manu SharmaCOMPLAINANT(S)

VERSUS

Jindal Realty Pvt. Ltd.RESPONDENT(S)

Complaint No. 90/2020

Sadhna Goel & Sanjay Kumar GoelCOMPLAINANT(S)

VERSUS

Jindal Realty Pvt. Ltd.RESPONDENT(S)

Complaint No. 170/2020

Charanjit SinghCOMPLAINANT(S)

VERSUS

Jindal Realty Pvt. Ltd.RESPONDENT(S)

Complaint No. 2758/2019

Parminder Kumar Bhagat & Naveesh Bhagat ...COMPLAINANT(S)

VERSUS

Jindal Realty Pvt. Ltd.RESPONDENT(S)

Complaint No. 2782/2019

Rohit Manik & Deepti ManikCOMPLAINANT(S)

VERSUS

Jindal Realty Pvt. Ltd.RESPONDENT(S)

Complaint No. 2784/2019

Ashish Kakkar & Asha KakkarCOMPLAINANT(S)

VERSUS

Jindal Realty Pvt. Ltd.RESPONDENT(S)

Complaint No. 2786/2019

Nand KishoreCOMPLAINANT(S)

VERSUS

Jindal Realty Pvt. Ltd.RESPONDENT(S)

Complaint No. 2796/2019

Vaishali Kaushik & Anup KaushikCOMPLAINANT(S)

VERSUS

Jindal Realty Pvt. Ltd.RESPONDENT(S)

Complaint No. 2812/2019

Parveen KumarCOMPLAINANT(S)

VERSUS

Jindal Realty Pvt. Ltd.RESPONDENT(S)

Complaint No. 2813/2019

Col Navin Chandra SharmaCOMPLAINANT(S)



VERSUS

Jindal Realty Pvt. Ltd.

....RESPONDENT(S)

Complaint No. 2847/2019

Geeta Arora

....COMPLAINANT(S)

VERSUS

Jindal Realty Pvt. Ltd.

....RESPONDENT(S)

Complaint No. 3119/2019

Om Parkash Sharma & Santosh Sharma

....COMPLAINANT(S)

VERSUS

Jindal Realty Pvt. Ltd.

....RESPONDENT(S)

CORAM: Rajan Gupta

Chairman

Anil Kumar Panwar

Member

Date of Hearing: 18.08.2020

Hearing: 2nd

Present: - Sh. Satish Mishra, Counsel for the complainant.

None for the respondent.

ORDER (RAJAN GUPTA-CHAIRMAN)

On the last date of hearing it was observed that the complainants involved in present complaint have also filed complaint before the Adjudicating Officer of this Authority claiming the same relief as prayed in these complaints. Therefore, the matters were adjourned for today for arguments on the issue of maintainability of present complaints.

2. Today, Ld. counsel for complainant states that in these complaints he is seeking relief of possession/ refund alongwith delay compensation and interest from the Authority. Further he states that complaints were filed before the Authority as well as before the Adjudicating Officer for the reason that there was not much clarity as to which forum has the jurisdiction to deal with the relief of refund or possession alongwith delay compensation and interest. He admits the fact that the complaints filed before the Adjudicating Officer are prior in time in respect of present complaints.

3. Arguments heard and relevant record perused. From the joint reading of the relief sought by complainant in both sets of complaints it is revealed that the complainant is mainly seeking adjudication upon the issues of delay compensation, refund of paid amount, increase/decrease in size of booked unit, tax liability in from of GST and VAT, club charges and interest on delayed payments. The only difference is pertaining to compensation part, the issue of compensation for mental harassment and agony is being addressed before the



