



HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY PANCHKULA

Website: www.haryanarera.gov.in

Complaint No. 1593 of 2025

Babli sharma

....COMPLAINANT

VERSUS

M/s CHD Developers Ltd.

....RESPONDENT

Date of Hearing: 21.09.2026

Hearing: 3rd

Present: None for the complainant.

None for the respondent.

ORDER : (NADIM AKHTAR-MEMBER)

1. As per orders dated 02.02.2026 and 06.07.2026, complainant was directed to clarify the relief as per section 18 of RERA Act of 2016. The complainant was further directed either to collect the dasti notice from the registry and serve upon the respondent or to furnish an alternate address for servicing. As per office report, the clarification regarding the reliefs sought has not been filed by the complainant till date. Further, the dasti notice was collected by ld.counsel for the complainant on 07.07.2026, however, no service report has been filed by the complainant till date.

2. Today, none appeared on behalf of both the parties.
3. Authority granted various opportunities to the complainant to comply with the above directions of the Authority. A period of 340 days has elapsed since the filing of the complaint, and service upon the respondent could not be effected due to the failure on part of the complainant to make necessary efforts either to furnish the correct address of the respondent or to effect service through dasti notice.
4. Authority observes that the Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Act, 2016, is a beneficial legislation aimed at providing speedy and efficacious redressal to grievances of allottees and other stakeholders. In furtherance of this objective, the proceedings before the Authority have been made summary in nature. Such expeditious adjudication is achievable only if the parties, especially the complainant proactively pursue his case in a time-bound manner. Despite the passage of considerable time and multiple opportunities granted by the Authority, the complainant has failed to comply with the said directions. This prolonged delay on the part of the complainant is unjustified and reflects a lack of due diligence and cooperation in the proceedings.
5. In light of the complainant's consistent failure to prosecute the matter, the Authority is left with no option but to **dismiss the complaint for non-prosecution.**



6. Complaint is accordingly **dismissed for non-prosecution** in view of above terms. File be consigned to the record room after uploading of the order on the website of the Authority.



.....
NADIM AKHTAR
[MEMBER]

