HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY PANCHKULA

Website: www.haryanarera.gov.in

COMPLAINT NO. 1752 of 2022

HRERA, Panchkula ....COMPLAINANT

VERSUS

Ansal Properties and Infrastructure Ltd. ...RESPONDENT

CORAM:	**Nadim Akhtar**	**Member**
	Dr. Geeta Rathee Singh	**Member**
	Chander Shekhar	**Member**

Date of Hearing: 26.08.2026

Hearing: 13th

Present: Adv. Surbhi Grover on behalf of the promoter.

ORDER:- Nadim Akhtar (Member)

This Suo-motu complaint was registered against the respondent for not uploading quarterly progress reports of project namely "Anand Lok" registered vide Registration No. HRERA-PKL-RWR-74-2018 dated 03.12.2018 valid upto 31.12.2020. A show cause notice dated 27.07.2022 was issued to the promoter for filing online QPRs upto 2nd quarter of the year 2022 within a period of 30 days failing which penal action shall be initiated.

2. On 29.10.2025, Adv. Sheena Dahiya appeared on behalf of the RP Sh. Navneet Kumar Gupta and sought some more time to comply with the orders of the Authority. The request was accepted.

3. On 28.01.2026, no one appeared on behalf of the respondent. After consideration, the Authority directed the respondent to comply with the orders

dated 28.05.2025. The orders should be sent on the present address submitted by the promoter as well as Resolution Professional and also sent through email. The same was sent through mail to IRP on 06.04.2026; and through registered post on 12.02.2026 which was delivered on 17.02.2026.

4. On 08.04.2026, upon examination of the official record, it was observed that the respondent has failed to comply with the orders of the Authority despite reasonable opportunity and sufficient time been afforded to the respondent to ensure compliance, orders remain unfulfilled.

5. It has come to the notice of the Authority that Hon'ble NCLAT vide its order dated 07.01.2026, has disposed of appeal nos. 500 of 2025, namely *Gagan Tandon and Ors. v. IL & FS Financial Services Ltd. & Ors.*, with the directions that the CIRP against the Corporate Debtor (CD), Ansal API is confined to CD's projects at Lucknow Mother City, Mother City extension at Lucknow, Golf plots at Lucknow and the assets of the CD in the state of Rajasthan and built up properties at Ajmer, Jodhpur and Jaipur in the State of Rajasthan. Further in its order in para no. 96, Hon'ble NCLAT directed that the CIRP need not extend to the projects which are situated in the states of Haryana and Punjab.

6. In view of above and continuous non-compliance of the orders of the Authority, and in exercise of the powers conferred under Section 35, read with Section 61 of the Act, Authority directed to issue a Show Cause Notice under Section 7(1) as to why the registration of the Project should not be revoked? Relevant provision of Section 7(1) is reproduced below for ready reference:

> *"7. (1) The Authority may, on receipt of a complaint or suo motu in this behalf or on the recommendation of the competent authority, revoke the registration granted under section 5, after being satisfied that—*

(a) the promoter makes default in doing anything required by or under this Act or the rules or the regulations made thereunder;
(b) the promoter violates any of the terms or conditions of the approval given by the competent authority;
(c) the promoter is involved in any kind of unfair practice or irregularities.

Explanation.—For the purposes of this clause, the term "unfair practice means" a practice which, for the purpose of promoting the sale or development of any real estate project adopts any unfair method or unfair or deceptive practice including any of the following practices, namely:—

(A) the practice of making any statement, whether in writing or by visible representation which,—
(i) falsely represents that the services are of a particular standard or grade;
(ii) represents that the promoter has approval or affiliation which such promoter does not have;
(iii) makes a false or misleading representation concerning the services;
(B) the promoter permits the publication of any advertisement or prospectus whether in any newspaper or otherwise of services that are not intended to be offered;

(d) the promoter indulges in any fraudulent practices."

The office is directed to send show cause notice at the address provided to the Authority at the time of grant of registration as well as directors via registered post and by email.

7. A show cause notice dated 05.06.2026 was issued to the promoter which was delivered vide email dated 05.06.2026 and sent via registered post on 05.06.2026 which was delivered on 11.06.2026. No reply has been received from the promoter.

8. On the last date of hearing, i.e., 15.07.2026, Adv. Surabhi Grover appearing on behalf of respondent submitted her vakalatnama in the registry of the

Authority and requested for one last opportunity to make compliances. Accepting her request, the Authority granted one last opportunity to the counsel to submit reply alongwith the series of events in the present matter as to when the insolvency proceedings started, when it ended and the timelines for depositing the penalty.

9. Today also, Adv. Surbhi Grover appeared and insisted for another short opportunity to make compliances however, since ample opportunities have already been granted therefore the Authority decides to grant one last and final opportunity to submit reply along with the series of events in the present matter as to when the insolvency proceedings started, when did it end and the timelines for depositing the penalty, failing which the recovery proceedings as per law shall be initiated.

10. Adjourned to **14.10.2026.**

Chander Shekhar Member	Dr. Geeta Rathee Singh Member	Nadim Akhtar Member