HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY PANCHKULA

Website: www.haryanarera.gov.in

COMPLAINT NO. 1984 of 2022

HRERA, Panchkula

...COMPLAINANT

VERSUS

Ansal Properties & Infrastructure Limited

....RESPONDENT

CORAM:	**Nadim Akhtar**	**Member**
	Dr. Geeta Rathee Singh	**Member**
	Chander Shekhar	**Member**

Date of Hearing: 14.10.2026
Hearing: 17th
Present: Adv. Surabhi Grover, on behalf of respondent.

Order: Nadim Akhtar (Member)

This suo-motu complaint was registered against the respondent for not uploading quarterly progress reports of project registered vide Registration No. HRERA-PKL-SNP-139-2019 dated 01.08.2019 valid upto 31.03.2021.

2. Thereafter, the matter was considered on various dates of which orders are available on the web portal of the Authority. Although QPRs were filed on the web portal upto 30.09.2024 on 16.01.2025 however, penalty of ₹87.20 Lakhs alongwith

the interest has not yet been deposited. On 15.07.2026, Adv. Surabhi Grover appearing on behalf of respondent submitted her vakalatnama in the registry of the Authority and requested for one last opportunity to make compliances. Accepting her request, the Authority granted one last opportunity to the counsel to submit reply alongwith the series of events in the present matter as to when the insolvency proceedings started, when did it end and the timelines for depositing the penalty.

3. On the last date of hearing i.e., 26.08.2026 also, Adv. Surbhi Grover appeared and insisted for another short opportunity to make compliances however, since ample opportunities have already been granted therefore the Authority granted one last and final opportunity to submit reply along with the series of events in the present matter as to when the insolvency proceedings started, when did it end and the timelines for depositing the penalty, failing which the recovery proceedings as per law shall be initiated.

4. Today also Adv. Surbhi Grover has appeared on behalf of respondent and informed that compliances have not been made. The Authority is of the view that adequate opportunities have already been granted to make compliances, therefore, the Authority decides to revoke the said registration granted to the respondent. The registration granted to the respondent be transferred to the list of defaulter/cancelled projects. Further, since adequate opportunities have already been granted to the respondent to deposit the penalty, the Authority in exercise of its mandate under Section 37 read with Section 34 (f) of the RERD Act, 2016 hereby directs the respondent to deposit the earlier imposed penalty of ₹87.20 Lakhs along with interest as per HRERA Rules, 2017. These orders be complied with by the respondent promoter within a period of 90 days of uploading of this order, failing which legal consequences shall follow. Since the registration in this case has been revoked therefore, the promoter shall not advertise, market, book,

sell or offer for sale, or invite persons to purchase in any manner any plot, apartment or building, as the case may be. Deputy Commissioner, Sonipat be informed accordingly.

5. **Disposed of.** File be consigned to record room after uploading of these orders

Chander Shekhar Member	Dr. Geeta Rathee Singh Member	Nadim Akhtar Member