



Complaint No. 2289/2019

## HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY PANCHKULA

Website: www.haryanarera.gov.in

### COMPLAINT NO. 2289 OF 2019

Dwarkadhis Projects Pvt. Ltd.

....COMPLAINANT(S)

VERSUS

Maneesh Walia

....RESPONDENT(S)

**CORAM:** Rajan Gupta  
Anil Kumar Panwar  
Dilbag Singh Sihag

Chairman  
Member  
Member

**Date of Hearing:** 12.03.2020

**Hearing:** 5<sup>th</sup>

**Present:** - Mr. Shubhankar Baweja, Counsel for the Complainant.  
Mr. Neeraj Gupta, Counsel for the Respondent.

### **ORDER (DILBAG SINGH SIHAG-MEMBER)**

1. The case of complainant- builder is that respondent-allottee had booked an apartment bearing no. P-093, 9<sup>th</sup> floor, Tower no. -P on 14.08.2014 measuring 1350 sq. feet in respondent's project named "CASA ROMANA", Sector-22, Dharuhera, Rewari, Haryana vide builder buyer agreement dated 27.09.2014. Total sale price of the apartment was Rs. 52,87,342/- against

which an amount of Rs. 45,95,444/- excluding other charges had already been paid till date under the construction linked plan. Respondent is defaulting in making payment of Rs. 4,40,582/- as per current milestone due to which the project got in stuck situation. Hence, the present complaint.

2. Learned counsel for the respondent submits that the complainant has already paid an amount to the tune of 85% of the total sale consideration in accordance to the construction linked plan and the remaining was to be paid at the time of possession. He further submits that the possession was to be handed over to the allottee on or before 27.06.2019 but after visiting the site he was shocked to see that construction of the towers are not completed. Therefore, he has stopped making payments as he has already paid more amount in accordance with the construction linked plan. He further averred that complainant-builder is harassing the respondent-allottee for extracting the money even the project is not completed.

3. Keeping in view of the above facts and written and verbal submissions of both the parties, Authority is of the view that since the respondent has already paid more than 85% of the total sale price under construction linked plan whereas complainant- builder has also admitted this fact, therefore, complaint does not have any merit and genuine ground to file; hence the same is dismissed as frivolous litigation. Further, to discourage builder for filing such frivolous complaint in future, complainant is also burdened with a cost of Rs. 5,000 payables to the respondent within 30 days.



**Disposed of as frivolous litigation.** Files be consigned to the record room after uploading of this order of website.



.....  
**RAJAN GUPTA**  
**[CHAIRMAN]**



.....  
**ANIL KUMAR PANWAR**  
**[MEMBER]**



.....  
**DILBAG SINGH SIHAG**  
**[MEMBER]**

