

**BEFORE THE HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY
AUTHORITY, GURUGRAM**

Complaint No. : 121-A of 2018
Date of first hearing : 08.05.2018
Date of Decision : 26.07.2018

Mr. Amitabh Singh,

...Complainant

Versus

M/s Raheja Developers Ltd, through its
directors, having registered office at: W4D,
204/5, Kehsav Kunj, Western Avenue, Sainik
Farms, New Delhi- 110062

...Respondent

CORAM:

Dr. K.K. Khandelwal
Shri Samir Kumar
Shri Subhash Chander Kush

Chairman
Member
Member

APPEARANCE:

None for the complainant
Shri Arun Kumar

-
Advocate for the respondent

**Settlement Order interse Mr. Amitabh Singh,- Complainant
and M/s Raheja Developers Ltd -Respondent**



1. A complaint dated 02.04.2018 was filed under section 31 of the Real Estate (Regulation & Development) Act, 2016 read with rule 28 of the Haryana Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Rules, 2017 by the complainant Mr. Amitabh Singh, against the Developer M/s Raheja Developers Ltd. claiming refund of the money paid by him along with interest.

2. The particulars of the complaint are as under: -

1.	Name and location of the project	"Vanya" in Sector- 99A Gurugram
2.	Nature of the project	Group housing colony
3.	DTCP License	64 of 2013 and 72 of 2014
4.	Unit no.	C-082, tower-C
5.	Payment Plan	Construction Linked Plan
6.	Total Consideration	Rs 84,77,528/-
7.	Paid Amount	Rs 7,27,564/-

3. As per the details provided above, the complainant, namely, Mr. Amitabh Singh has raised his contention that he had booked a unit unit no. C-082, tower-C, , in the project "Vanya" in Sector-99A, Gurgaon vide application dated 06.06.2017. . The complainant made payment of Rs. 7,27,564/- as booking amount. After the second demand, the cost of the flat after the GST was raised, which is beyond the budget of the complainant. Hence, the complainant wrote to respondent for cancellation of booking and requested for refund of booking amount, but the respondent did not pay any heed towards the reasonable demand and refused to refund the amount to the complainant.



4. Accordingly, notice was issued to the respondent. Shri Arun Kumar Dayma, advocate appeared on behalf of the respondent on 08.05.2018.
5. The case came up for hearing on 08.05.2018, 06.06.2018 and 26.07.2018. On 26.07.2018, the case was called out several times but none appeared on behalf of the complainant. The matter is dismissed in default.
6. The order is pronounced.
7. Case file be consigned to the registry.

(Samir Kumar)
Member

(Subhash Chander Kush)
Member

(Dr. K.K. Khandelwal)
Chairman

Haryana Real Estate Regulatory Authority, Gurugram

