

CM No. 1205 of 2026 in

Appeal No. 398 of 2023

..

Emaar India Limited v. Madho Singh Rawat and another

Present: Mr. Rohit Sangam, advocate for the applicant-appellant.

None for the respondents.

..

By way of present application, review of order dated 05.03.2026 is sought on the ground that at the time of final disposal of the case, counsel for the appellant inadvertently stated that she would limit her prayer for grant of grace period in terms of Clause 14(a) of the Builder Buyer's Agreement and passing of liability of HVAT to the appellant.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant-appellant submitted that the contention raised on behalf of the applicant-appellant regarding maintainability of the complaint filed after execution of the conveyance deed be recorded and a finding to that effect be given.

3. We have duly considered the prayer. The statement was made by the counsel in open court. After proper opportunity of hearing, the case was disposed of. Withdrawal of statement by a counsel cannot be a ground of review of the order. In fact, in the impugned order, grace period was granted to the appellant-applicant. There is no ground to re-argue the entire issue.

6. The application is dismissed.

Justice Rajan Gupta
Chairman
Haryana Real Estate Appellate Tribunal

Dinesh Singh Chauhan
Member (Technical)

06.07.2026
mk