



Execution No. 879 of 2025

HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY PANCHKULA

Website: www.haryanarera.gov.in

Execution No. 879 of 2025

In

Complaint No. 2317 of 2019

Anand Pal

....DECREE HOLDER

VERSUS

Ansal Properties & Infrastructure Ltd.

.....JUDGMENT DEBTOR

Coram: Sh. Chander Shekhar

Member

Date of Hearing: 03.07.2026

Hearing: 3rd

Present: None for the Decree Holder
Ms. Surabhi Grover, Advocate for the Judgment Debtor.

ORDER

The present execution petition was fixed with direction to the Resolution Professional to intimate the Authority about the latest proceedings before the Hon'ble NCLT.

2. Today, the counsel appearing on behalf of the judgment debtor stated that the decree holder has not appeared since filing of this execution and requested the Authority to dismiss this execution petition.

3. Further in view of the administrative directions issued by the Hon'ble Chairman pursuant to the order dated 20.05.2026 passed by the Hon'ble Haryana Real Estate Appellate Tribunal in Appeal No. 125 of 2025, it is evident that the exercise contemplated is merely an identification and categorisation of matters in which notices/orders were issued beyond the scope of delegation, so that appropriate orders, if required, may be passed by the concerned Bench in accordance with law.

4. In the present case, the said notice was issued. The directions given by the Hon'ble Chairman do not mandate automatic recall or invalidation of every notice issued in such matters. Accordingly, where only a notice had been issued and no coercive or adverse action has been taken pursuant thereto and no prejudice has been caused to either party, there is no legal necessity to recall such notice merely on account of the administrative observations. The notice, having served only the purpose of informing the parties and affording them an opportunity of hearing, does not affect any substantive rights and the proceedings may continue from the present stage in accordance with law. Furthermore, the question of recalling the notice does not arise, as no coercive action has been taken on the basis of the notice already issued and no prejudice has been caused to either party.

5. Since no one has appeared on behalf of the decree holder from the initiation of the proceedings, this execution is ordered to be **dismissed in default**

for want of prosecution with liberty to the decree holder to file afresh in accordance with law. File be consigned to the record room after uploading the order on the website of the Authority.


.....
(CHANDER SHEKHAR)
MEMBER

03.07.2026
Monika
(Law Associate)

