HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY PANCHKULA

Website: www.haryanarera.gov.in

COMPLAINT NO. (Suo-Motu) 2813 of 2022

HRERA, Panchkula ...COMPLAINANT

VERSUS

TDI Infracorp (India) Limited ....RESPONDENT

CORAM: **Parneet S Sachdev** **Chairman**
Dr. Geeta Rathee Singh **Member**
Chander Shekhar **Member**

Date of Hearing: 22.04.2026

Hearing: 13th

Present: None on behalf of respondent

ORDER (Parneet S Sachdev - Chairman)

Present suo-motu complaint was registered against the respondent promoter for neither completing the project within the timelines declared u/s 4(2)(l)(c) at the time of seeking registration nor applying for extension of registered project namely; "The Retreat " a residential plotted colony measuring 16.19 acres situated in Sector -89 Faridabad, Village Tikawali and Riwazpur, Faridabad which was registered vide Registration No. 39 of 2017 dated 10.08.2017 valid upto 10.08.2019.The first extension was granted upto February 2021.

2. The matter was listed before the Authority on 11.09.2024, 20.11.2024, 29.01.2025 and 09.04.2025, but the orders passed by the Authority have not

been complied by the promoter. On 16.07.2025, neither anyone appeared nor has any reply has been filed. Since, no reply to the show cause has been filed by the promoter, the Authority granted one last opportunity to comply with the orders of the Authority failing which proceedings to revoke the registration shall be initiated on the next date of hearing. Authority also directed the promoter to deposit penalty of ₹7 lacs along with ₹1 lac cost imposed vide orders dated 20.11.2024. It is imperative to observe that the orders of the Authority were not sent to the promoter, therefore, office is again directed to send a copy of this order via registered post and e-mail too. The copy of the order dated 16.07.2025 was sent to the promoter on 15.10.2025.

3. On the last date of hearing i.e., 29.10.2025, neither anyone appeared nor has any reply been filed. The Authority granted one last opportunity to comply with the orders failing which proceedings to revoke the registration shall be initiated on the next date of hearing. Authority also directs the promoter to deposit penalty of ₹7 lacs alongwith ₹1 lac cost imposed vide orders dated 20.11.2024.

4. On 28.01.2026, neither anyone appeared nor any reply has been filed by the Promoter. Since substantial period has elapsed without compliance of the directions of the Authority, and despite the imposition of penalty and cost, accordingly, this Authority decided to issue a show cause notice under Section 7(2) of The Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Act, 2016 (hereinafter

referred as RERD Act,2016) as to why the registration no. 39 of 2017 dated 10.08.2017 may not be revoked.

5. Today, Adv. Tarun Ranga appearing on behalf of respondent sought some time to comply with the directions of the Authority. Accepting the request of counsel, the Authority decides to adjourn the matter with a direction to file his Vakalatnama before the next date of hearing. Since, Vakalatnama of Adv. Tarun Ranga is not on record therefore, his attendance could not be marked for today. The respondent Promoter is directed to deposit penalty of ₹7 lacs alongwith ₹1 lac cost imposed vide orders dated 20.11.2024 and apply for extension of the project before the next date of hearing. Since, notice u/S-7(2) of RERD Act,2016 have not been sent/issued by the registry/office. It is again directed to issue show cause notice under Section 7(2) of RERD Act,2016.

6. **Adjourned to 01.07.2026.**

Chander Shekhar
Member

Dr. Geeta Rathee Singh
Member

Parneet S Sachdev
Chairman