



HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY PANCHKULA

Website: www.haryanarera.gov.in

COMPLAINT NO. (Suo-Motu) 1890 of 2022

HRERA, Panchkula

...COMPLAINANT

VERSUS

Ozone GSP Infratech Sarvome House

....RESPONDENT

CORAM: Parneet S Sachdev
Dr. Geeta Rathee Singh
Chander Shekhar

Chairman
Member
Member

Date of Hearing: ~~06.05~~.2026

Hearing: 16th

Present: Adv. Amrit Singh on behalf of respondent.

ORDER (Parneet S Sachdev-Chairman)

When the matter was heard by the Authority on 26.11.2025, neither anyone appeared on behalf of respondent nor any reply filed. The Authority observed that the present Suo Motu Complaint has been pending since year 2022. The respondent was afforded several opportunities uptill 27.08.2025, despite the same it failed to fulfil its obligation to deposit the penalty of ₹ 21.07/- lacs, interest amounting to ₹1,75,998/- and cost of ₹2 lac imposed by the Authority for not filing the QPRs, which is a clear repeated violation of the provisions of the RERA Act and Rules or Regulations made thereunder. There seems to be an intentional non-compliance on the part of the respondent, therefore, the Authority directed the office to issue a show cause notice to the respondent under Section 7 of the RERD Act, 2016.

W

2. As per the above directions of the Authority, show cause notice under Section 7 of the Act dated 05.02.2026 was issued to the respondent which was delivered through registered post on 17.02.2026

3. On 11.02.2025 and 25.02.2026, Adv. Manju Goyal appearing on behalf of respondent sought 2 weeks' time to file reply to the show cause notice dated 05.02.2026. Accepting to the request of the counsel, Authority granted last opportunity to file reply as per timelines given in show cause notice dated 05.02.2026 failing which recovery proceedings as per law shall be initiated.

4. Today also, Adv. Amrit Singh appearing on behalf of respondent states that they will be filing reply in the registry today itself. However, since no reply has been filed as per the timelines given in the notice, there seem to be an intentional non-compliance of the part of the promoter, therefore, the Authority decides to revoke the said registration granted to the respondent. The registration granted to the respondent be transferred to the list of defaulter/cancelled projects. Further, since adequate opportunity has already been granted to the respondent to deposit the penalty, the Authority in exercise of its mandate under Section 37 read with Section 34 (f) of the RERD Act, 2016 hereby directs the respondent to deposit the earlier imposed penalty of ₹ 21.07/- lacs alongwith interest amounting to ₹1,75,998/- and cost of ₹2 lac. These orders be complied with by the respondent promoter within a period of 90 days of uploading of this order, failing which legal consequences shall follow. Since the registration in this case has been revoked therefore, the promoter shall not advertise, market, book, sell or offer for sale, or invite persons to purchase in any manner any plot, apartment or building, as the case may be.

5. **Disposed of.** File be consigned to record room after uploading of these orders.



Chander Shekhar
Member



Dr. Geeta Rathee Singh
Member



Parneet S Sachdev
Chairman