



## BEFORE THE HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY, GURUGRAM

Complaint no. : 1469 of 2019
First date of hearing : 11.09.2019
Date of decision : 12.12.2019

1.M3M India Private Limited

Address: Unit No. SB/C/5L/Office/008,

M3M Urbana, Sector-67, Gurugram-122102;

Also, at: 6th Floor, M3M Tee Point,

Sector-65, Gurugram

2. Cogent Realtors Private Limited

Address:LGF,F-22, Sushant Shopping Arcade,

Sushant Lok, Phase-1, Gurugram-122002.

Complainants

Versus

Naresh Kumar Dagar

Address: R/o: 425, Vipal Trade Centre, Sohana Road

Sector-48, Gurgaon-122001

Respondent

CORAM:

Shri Samir Kumar Shri Subhash Chander Kush Member Member

APPEARANCE. Ms. Shriya Takkar

None Present

Advocate for the complainants
Advocate for the respondent

## ORDER


1. The present complaint has been filed by the complainants/promoter M3M India Private Limited and



Cogent Realtors Private Limited against the allottee Naresh Kumar Dagar under section 31 of the Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Act, 2016 (in short, the Act) read with Rule 28 of the Haryana Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Rules, 2017 (in short, the Rules) for violation of section 19(6) (7) and (10) of the Act.

2. The particulars of the project, the details of sale consideration, the amount paid by the respondent date of proposed handing over the possession, delay period, if any, have been detailed in the following tabular form: -

| S.No. | Heads                           |  |
|-------|---------------------------------|--|
| 1.    | Project name and location       | M3M Woodshire, Dwarka Expressway Sector<br>107, Gurugram |
| 2.    | Project area                    | 18.88125 acres   |
| 3.    | Nature of project               | Group Housing Colony                                     |
| 4.    | RERA registered/ not registered | Not Registered   |
| 5.    | License No. & validity status   | 33 of 2012 dated 12.04.2012 valid upto 11.04.2018        |
| 6.    | Name of licensee                | Cogent Realtors Pvt. Ltd.                                |
| 7.    | Unit no., Tower no.             | MWTW-B02/0903,9th floor, tower B2                        |
| 8.    | Carpet area                     | 1943sq. ft.  |
| 9.    | Date of execution of agreement  | (page no. 53 of the complaint)                           |
| 10.   | Payment plan                    | Construction linked payment plan                         |






| 11. | Total sale  | Rs.1,17,03,744/-  |
|-----|---|---|
|     | considerati<br>on   | (as per statement of accounts-cum-invoice, page no. 111 of the complaint)                     |
| 12. | Total amount paid by the complainant  | Rs. 1,00,50,922/- (as per statement of accounts-cum-invoice page no. 111 of the complaint)    |
| 13. | Due date of delivery of possession as per agreement   | 08.11.2016  |
|     |   | (the due date of possession is calculated from date of execution of agreement)                |
|     | (as per Clause 16.1, 36months from the date of commencement of construction or from the date of execution of agreement whichever is later plus 180 days grace period, |   |
| 14. | Date of offer of possession   | 28.04.2017 (annexure- F, page no. 109 of the complaint)                                       |
| 15. | Status of project (ongoing/complete)  | OC for tower B2 received on 20.04.2017  |
| 16. | Period of delay in<br>handing over<br>possession  |   |
| 17. | Specific relief sought  | <ul> <li>To direct the respondent to take the<br/>possession of the said apartment</li> </ul> |
|     |   | <ul> <li>To pay the balance consideration and<br/>delayed interest</li> </ul>                 |
|     |   | <ul> <li>To pay holding charges</li> </ul>  |
|     |   | <ul> <li>To pay outstanding maintenance dues<br/>of the maintenance agency</li> </ul>         |



- 3. The details provided above have been checked on the basis of the record available in the case file which have been provided by the complainants. An apartment buyer's agreement dated 8.05.2013 is available on record for the aforementioned apartment according to which the possession of the aforesaid unit was to be handed over on 8.11.2016 to the respondent. However, the respondent has failed to fulfil its contractual obligation by not taking the possession within stipulated period despite several reminders. Hence, this complaint for issuing above mentioned directions to the respondent.
- 4. Despite service of notice to the respondent by speed post as well as by email the respondent has failed to file its reply to the complaint. Hence the authority left no other option proceeded ex-parte proceeding against the respondent.
- 5. Arguments heard.
- 6. Facts are not in dispute. Documents are also not in dispute. Vide order dated 11.09.2019 respondent has already been proceeded ex-parte. Respondent had booked a flat with the complainants/promoter and deposited a sum of Rs. 1,00,50,922/- against a total sale consideration of Rs.1,17,03,744/- as per statement of accounts. As per clause 16.1 of the BBA dated 08.05.2013, the possession was to be





handed over within a period of 36 months from the date of commencement of construction or from the date of execution of BBA whichever is later plus 180 days grace period which comes out to be 08.11.2016. The occupation certificate of the project was received by the complainants/promoter on 20.04.2017 and offer of possession letter was issued to the respondent/allottee on 28.04.2017, copies of both the documents are placed on record.

7. However, as per section 19(6) (7) and (10) of the RERA Act, the respondent is under obligation w.r.t. making of timely payment with interest at the prescribed rate and to take over possession respectively. In the instant case, when the occupation certificate as well as offer of possession have already been made, all the pleas now being taken by the respondent/allottee are after thought. However, respondent is at liberty to knock the doors of superior courts/apex court. He is once again directed to make the requisite payment and to take over the possession as the provisions of Section 19 (6) (7) and (10) within a period of 30 days. As such, the complainants/promoter is entitled for delayed possession charges w.e.f. 08.11.2016 to 28.04.2017 at the prescribed rate of interest i.e. 10.20.% per annum. Respondent/allottee is directed to pay outstanding dues, if any, after adjustment



of interest awarded for the delayed possession period. Interest on the due payment from the respondent shall be charged at the prescribed rate of interest i.e. 10.20% p.a. by the complainants/promoter which is the same as is being granted to the complainants/promoter in case of delayed possession. Therefore, the complainants/promoter is liable to pay the delayed possession charges (DPC) for the said period to the respondent at the prescribed Rate of interest.

- 8. In view of the above discussion the authority pass an order under section 34(f) of the Act, and issue the following direction:
  - a) The respondent/allottee shall make the requisite payments and take the possession of the subject apartment as per the provisions of Section 19(6), (7) and (10) of the Act, within a period of 30 days.
  - b) The complainants/promoter shall pay the delayed possession charges (DPC) with effect from 08.11.2016 to 28.04.2017 at the prescribed rate of interest of 10.20% per annum to the respondent and shall adjust the said amount towards the final amount to be paid by the respondent.



- c) The respondent/allottee shall be charged interest at the prescribed rate of interest that is at the rate 10.20% per annum by the complainants/promoters.
- 9. Complaint stands disposed of.
- 10. File be consigned to the registry.

Subhash Chander Kush (Member) Date 12.12.2019 JUDGEMENT UPLOADED ON 14.03.2020 Samir Kumar (Member)