



Execution No. 1592 of 2025

HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY PANCHKULA

Website: www.haryanarera.gov.in

EXECUTION NO. 1592 OF 2025

IN

COMPLAINT NO. 632 OF 2023

Rashmi Attri

....DECREE HOLDER(S)

VERSUS

Piyush Buildwell India Limited

....JUDGMENT DEBTOR(S)

Coram:

Sh. Chander Shekhar

Member

Date of Hearing: 07.08.2026

Hearing:

3rd

Present:

Mr. Roop Singh, Counsel for the Decree Holder through VC.

None for the Judgement Debtor.

ORDER

Today, the case was fixed for filing of power of attorney and affidavit by the judgment debtor in compliance with the provisions of Order

Csh XXI Rule 41(2), CPC.

2. As per office report, the above said documents have not been filed till date.

3. Today, learned counsel for the decree holder has stated that the matter has been settled between the parties and the settlement deed has been sent to the Authority via e-mail. The same is taken on record. He has requested to dispose of the present execution petition as settled with liberty to restore the case in event of non compliance with terms of the settlement.

4. Further in view of the administrative directions issued by the Hon'ble Chairman pursuant to the order dated 20.05.2026 passed by the Hon'ble Haryana Real Estate Appellate Tribunal in Appeal No. 125 of 2025, it is evident that the exercise contemplated is merely an identification and categorisation of matters in which notices/orders were issued beyond the scope of delegation, so that appropriate orders, if required, may be passed by the concerned Bench in accordance with law.

5. In the present case, the said notice was issued. The directions given by the Hon'ble Chairman do not mandate automatic recall or invalidation of every notice issued in such matters. Accordingly, where only a notice had been issued and no coercive or adverse action has been taken pursuant thereto and no prejudice has been caused to either party, there is no legal necessity to recall such notice merely on account of the administrative observations. The notice, having served only the purpose of informing the parties and affording them an opportunity of hearing, does not affect any substantive rights and the

CSH

proceedings may continue from the present stage in accordance with law. As no objection was raised by either party and the matter has already been heard, the Bench grants ex post facto approval to the said notice and directs that it be treated as a notice issued by the Bench.

6. None has appeared on behalf of the judgment debtor today. However, in view of the settlement deed and the statement made by the learned counsel for the decree holder, the matter is allowed to be **disposed of as settled**, with liberty to the decree holder to file relevant application for revival of the petition, in accordance with law. Let the file be consigned to the record room after uploading the order on the website of the Authority.

07.08.2026
Raghav Jain
(Law Associate)


(CHANDER SHEKHAR)
MEMBER