

BEFORE THE HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY, GURUGRAM

 Complaint no.
 : 5181 of 2019

 First date of hearing
 : 17.12.2019

 Date of decision
 : 14.02.2020

Gulshan Kumar Gulati R/o 592, Kartarpur Model Colony, Yamuna Nagar, Haryana.

Complainant

Versus

M/s Emaar MGF Land Ltd. Regd. office: ECE House, 28 Kasturba Gandhi Marg, New Delhi-110001.

Respondent

CORAM: Shri Samir Kumar Shri Subhash Chander Kush

Member Member

APPEARANCE:

Shri Manish Yadav Shri Ishaan Dang

Advocate for the complainant Advocate for the respondent

ORDER

 The present complaint dated 25.11.2019 has been filed by the complainant/allottee in Form CRA under section 31 of the Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Act, 2016 (in short, the Act) read with rule 28 of the Haryana Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Rules, 2017 (in short, the Rules) for violation of section 11(4)(a) of the Act wherein it is inter alia prescribed that the promoter shall be responsible for all obligations, responsibilities and functions to the allottee as per the agreement for sale executed inter se them.



2. The particulars of the project, the details of sale consideration, the amount paid by the complainant, date of proposed handing over the possession, delay period, if any, have been detailed in the following tabular form:

S.No.	Heads	Information
1.	Project name and location	"Imperial Gardens", Sector 102, Gurugram.
2.	Project area	12 acres
3.	Nature of the project	Group housing colony
4.	DTCP license no. and validity status	107 of 2012 dated 15.10.2012 valid till 09.10.2020
5.	Name of licensee	Kamdhenu Projects Pvt. Ltd. and Emaar MGF Land Ltd.
6.	HRERA registered/ not registered	Registered in two phases i. 208 of 2017 dated 15.09.2017
		[Valid up to 31.12.2018 for 49637 sq. mtrs. and extension granted vide no.3/2018 which is extended up to 31.12.2019]
		 ii. 14 of 2019 dated 28.03.2019(Phase II) [Valid up to 17.10.2018 for 4.57 acres]
7.	Date of provisional allotment letter	28.02.2013 [Page 24 of reply to the complaint]
8.	Unit no.	IG-07-1501, 15 th floor, tower/building no. 7 [Page 22 of complaint]
9.	Unit measuring	2000 sq. ft.



10.	Date of execution of buyer's agreement	04.06.2013 [Page 19 of complaint]
11.	Payment plan	[Page 53 of complaint]
12.	Total consideration as per statement of account dated 06.12.2019.	Rs.1,55,40,763/-
13.	Total amount paid by the complainant as per statement of account dated 06.12.2019	Rs.1,49,67,354/- [Page 124 of reply to the complaint]
14.	Date of start of construction as per statement of account dated 06.12.2019	11.11.2013
15.	Due date of delivery of possession as per clause 14(a) of the said agreement i.e. 42 months from the date of start of construction (11.11.2013) plus grace period of 3 months for applying and obtaining the CC/OC in respect of the unit and/or the project.	11.08.2017
16.	[Page 37 of complaint] Date of offer of possession to the complainant	24.10.2019 [Page 181 of reply to the complaint]
17.	Delay in handing over possession till date of offer of possession i.e. 24.10.2019	2 years 2 months 13 days
18.	Status of the project	OC granted on 17.10.2019 (Page 179 of the reply to the complaint)
19.	Specific relief sought i. Direct the respondent deliver the possession a to pay interest at t prescribed rate on t amount paid by t complainant for t delayed period.	



	 ii. Direct the respondent not to charge holding charges and maintenance charges till possession is given. iii.Allow complainant to visit and inspect the booked apartment directing refund of extra amount taken.
--	--

3. As per clause 14(a) of the buyer's agreement dated 04.06.2013, the possession was to be handed over within a period of 42 months from the start of the construction (11.11.2013) plus grace period of 3 months for applying and obtaining the CC/OC in respect of the unit and/or the project which comes out to be 11.08.2017. Clause 14 of the buyer agreement is reproduced below:

"14. POSSESSION

(a) Time of handing over the possession

Subject to terms of this clause and barring force majeure conditions and subject to the allottee having complied with all the terms and conditions of this agreement, and not being in default under any of the provisions of this agreement and compliance with all provisions, formalities, documentation etc. as prescribed by the company, the company proposes to hand over the possession of the unit within 42 months from the date of start of construction; subject to timely compliance of the provisions of the agreement by the allottee. The allottee agrees and understands that the company shall be entitled to a grace period of 3 months after the expiry of the said 42 months, for applying and obtaining the completion certificate/occupation certificate in respect of the unit and the project ... "



- 4. The complainant submitted that despite receipt of more than 99% of the payments, the complainant was not delivered possession of the apartment and the respondent has been delaying delivery of possession of the apartment. Hence, this complaint for the aforementioned reliefs.
- 5. On the date of hearing, the Authority explained to the respondent/promoter about the contravention as alleged to have been committed in relation to section 11(4)(a) of the Act to plead guilty or not to plead guilty.
- 6. The respondent contests the complaint on the following grounds:
 - That the complaints pertaining to possession, interest, compensation etc. are to be decided by the Adjudicating Officer under section 71 of the Act read with rule 29 of the Rules and not by this hon'ble authority.
 - That right from the beginning, the complainant had been extremely irregular with regard to payment. Consequently, the respondent had to issue notices and reminders calling upon the complainant to pay the amounts as per the payment plan.
 - iii. That the construction of the tower in which the apartment in question is situated was commenced on 11.11.2013. The period of 42 months plus 3 months grace period expires on 11.08.2017. However, on account of delay and defaults by the complainant, the due date for delivery of possession stands extended in accordance with clause



14(b)(iv) of the buyer's agreement, till payment of all outstanding amounts to the satisfaction of the respondent. Furthermore, the respondent had completed construction of the apartment/tower by February 2019 and had applied for issuance of OC on 11.02.2019. The OC was issued by the competent authority on 17.10.2019. The respondent cannot be held liable in any manner for the time taken by the competent authority to process the application and issue the OC. Thus, the said period taken by the competent authority in issuing the OC as well as time taken by the statutory authorities in according approvals, permissions etc. necessarily have to be excluded while computing the time period for delivery of possession.

- iv. That upon receipt of OC, the respondent offered possession of the apartment in question to the complainant vide letter dated 24.10.2019. The respondent credited an amount of Rs.3,96,493/- as delayed compensation at the time of offer of possession.
- v. It is prayed that the complaint be dismissed.
- 7. Copies of all the relevant documents have been filed and placed on the record. Their authenticity is not in dispute. Hence, the complaint can be decided on the basis of these undisputed documents.



- 8. The Authority, on the basis of information and other submissions made and the documents filed by the complainant and the respondent, is of considered view that there is no need of further hearing in the complaint.
- 9. Arguments heard.
- 10. On consideration of the circumstances, the evidence and other record and submissions made by the complainant and based on the findings of the authority regarding contravention as per provisions of rule 28(2)(a), the Authority is satisfied that the respondent is in contravention of the provisions of the Act. By virtue of clause 14(a) of the buyer's agreement executed between the parties on 04.06.2013, possession of the booked unit was to be delivered within a period of 42 months plus 3 months grace period from the date of start of construction. The construction was started on 11.11.2013. The grace period of 3 months is allowed to the respondent due to exigencies beyond the control of the respondent. Therefore, the due date of handing over possession comes out to be 11.08.2017. Occupation Certificate has been received by the respondent on 17.10.2019 and the possession of the subject unit was offered to the complainant on 24.10.2019. Copies of the same have been placed on record. As such this project is to be treated as



on-going project and the provisions of the Act shall be applicable equally to the builder as well as allottee.

11. As far as contention of the respondent with respect to the exclusion of time taken by the competent authority in processing the application and issuance of occupation certificate is concerned, the respondent has applied for grant of occupation certificate on 11.02.2019 as mentioned in DTCP memo no. ZP-845/AD(RA)/2019/25815 dated 17.10.2019 whereby occupation certificate has been granted by the competent authority under the prevailing law. However, it is evident from the occupation certificate dated 17.10.2019 that an incomplete application for grant of OC was applied on 11.02.2019 as fire NOC from the competent authority was granted on 30.05.2019 which is subsequent to the filing application of occupation certificate. Also, the Chief Engineer-I has submitted his report with respect to the laying/completion of services in the project area on 25.07.2019. The District Town Planner, Gurugram and Senior Town Planner, Gurugram has submitted requisite report about this project on 06.09.2019 and 07.09.2019 respectively. As such, the application submitted on 11.02.2019 was incomplete in the eyes of law. As per sub code 4.10.4 of the Haryana Building Code, 2017, after receipt of application for grant of



occupation certificate, the competent authority shall communicate in writing within 60 days, its decision for grant/ refusal of such permission for occupation of the building in Form BR-VII. In the present case, the respondent has completed its application for occupation certificate only on 07.09.2019 and consequently the concerned authority has granted OC on 17.10.2019, therefore no delay in granting occupation certificate can be attributed to the concerned statutory authority.

- 12. Accordingly, it is the failure of the promoter to fulfil his obligations, responsibilities as per the buyer's agreement dated 04.06.2013 to hand over the possession within the stipulated period. Accordingly, the non-compliance of the mandate contained in section 11(4)(a) read with section 18(1) of the Act on the part of the respondent is established. As such the complainant is entitled to delayed possession at rate of the prescribed interest @ 10.20% p.a. w.e.f. 11.08.2017 to 24.10.2019 as per provisions of section 18(1) of the Act read with rule 15 of the Rules.
- 13. As the possession of the apartment has been offered by the respondent on 24.10.2019 after receipt of OC dated 17.10.2019, the complainant is directed to take possession of the allotted unit within a period of 1 month by paying



remaining payments, if any, along with prescribed rate of interest i.e. 10.20% per annum to the respondent failing which respondent shall be entitled to charge maintenance charges. The respondent shall not charge anything from the complainant which is not part of the buyer's agreement.

- Hence, the Authority hereby pass the following order and issue directions under section 34(f) of the Act:
 - i. The respondent is directed to pay the interest at the prescribed rate i.e. 10.20% per annum for every month of delay on the amount paid by the complainant from due date of possession i.e. 11.08.2017 till the offer of possession i.e. 24.10.2019. The arrears of interest accrued so far shall be paid to the complainant within 90 days from the date of this order.
 - ii. The complainant is directed to take possession of the allotted unit within a period of 1 month by paying remaining payments, if any, along with prescribed rate of interest i.e. 10.20% per annum to the respondent failing which respondent shall be entitled to charge maintenance charges.
 - iii. The complainant is directed to pay outstanding dues, if any, after adjustment of interest for the delayed period.



- iv. The respondent shall not charge anything from the complainant which is not part of the buyer's agreement.
- 15. Complaint stands disposed of.
- 16. File be consigned to registry.

(Samir Kumar) Member Haryana Real Estate Regulatory Authority, Gurugram Dated: 14.02.2020

Judgement uploaded on 02.03.2020