

Complaint No. 350 of 2018

# BEFORE THE HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY, GURUGRAM

Complaint No.350 of 2018Date of First Hearing25.07.2018Date of Decision06.09.2018

Mr. Pushpender Singh & Another, R/o 1-3/148, Sector 16, Rohini, New Delhi

...Complainant

### Versus

M/s Pranaam Megastructures Private Limited Office : 401,402, SunCity Arcade, Sector 54, Suncity, Gurugram ....Respondent

CORAM:

Dr. K.K. Khandelwal Shri Samir Kumar Shri Subhash Chander Kush

### **APPEARANCE:**

Ms Deepali and Mr Prateek, Advocates along with the complainant in person Chairman Member Member

Advocate for the complainant

Shri Ganesh Kamath, Advocate

Advocate for the respondent



Settlement Order interse Mr. Pushpender Singh – Complainant And M/s Pranaam Megastructures Private Limited-

Respondent

Page 1 of 4



- A complaint dated 29.05.2018 was filed under section 31 of the Real Estate (Regulation & Development) Act, 2016 read with rule 28 of the Haryana Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Rules, 2017 by the complainants Mr. Pushpender Singh & Another against the developer M/s Pranaam Megastructures Private Limited claiming refund of the money paid by them along with interest.
- 2. The particulars of the complaint are as under: -

1.	Name and location of the	'The Park' in Sector-5
	project	Sohna, Gurgaon
2.	Registered/unregistered	Not registered
3.	Booking amount paid by the buyer to the builder/promoter as per the agreement	Rs. 5,00,000/-
4.	Date of builder buyer agreement	09/06/2014
5.	Total consideration	Rs 59,96,025/-
6.	Total amount paid by the complainant upto dateRs. 5,00,000/-	



3. As per the details provided above, the complainant, namely, Mr. Pushpender Singh & Shweta have raised thier contention that they had applied for allotment of an apartment admeasuring approx. area 1575 sq. ft in the project "The

Page 2 of 4



Park" in Sector-5, Sohna, Gurgaon vide expression of interest dated 09.06.2014. The complainant made payment of Rs. 5,00,000/- as token money vide cheque no. 009858 dated 06.06.2014. Certain commercial disputes arose between both the parties pertaining to the said allotment, which led to the filling of complaint before this authority.

4. The case came up for hearing on 25.07.2018, 28.08.2018, 06.09.2018. On 06.09.2018, the learned counsel for the complainant informed the authority that they have arrived at settlement with the respondent and accordingly, the complainant does not intend to pursue the complaint.

Therefore, the counsel for the respondent had filled deed of settlement dated 31.08.2018 signed by both the complainants and the authorized signatory of the respondent company and the same has been placed on record for further reference and record.



5. Since both the parties have expressed their satisfaction over the amicable settlement. As such, their contentious issues stand resolved. The complaint dated 29.05.2018 is disposed of accordingly.

Page 3 of 4



Complaint No. 350 of 2018

- 6. The order is pronounced.
- 7. Case file be consigned to the registry.

**(Samir Kumar)** Member

**(Subhash Chander Kush)** Member

Change -

**(Dr. K.K. Khandelwal)** Chairman Haryana Real Estate Regulatory Authority, Gurugram

Dated : 06.09.2018



Page 4 of 4



### HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY GURUGRAM हरियाणा भू—संपदा विनियामक प्राधिकरण, गुरुग्राम

New PWD Rest House, Civil Lines, Gurugram, Haryana

नया पी.डब्ल्यू.डी. विश्राम गृह, सिविल लाईंस, गुरुग्राम, हरियाणा

PROCEEDINGS OF THE DAY			
Day and Date	Thursday and 06.09.2018		
Complaint No.	350/2018 Case titled as Mr. Pushpinder Singh V/S M/s Pranaam Megastructures Private Limited		
Complainant	Mr. Pushpinder Singh		
Represented through	None for the complainant.		
Respondent	M/s Pranaam Megastructures Private Limited		
Respondent Represented through	Shri Ganesh Kamath, Advocate for the respondent.		
Last date of hearing	25.7.2018		

# Proceedings

Both the complainants have filed separate application on affidavit that they have arrived at a compromise/settlement with the respondent and have no right and objection in connection with the present case.

The counsel for the respondent has appeared and filed Deed of Settlement which is signed by both the complainants and the authorized signatory of the respondent-company and the same has been placed on the record. In view of the Deed of Settlement and request to withdraw the complaint, the complaint stands dismissed as withdrawn. Order is pronounced. Detailed order will follow. File be consigned to the Registry.



## HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY GURUGRAM हरियाणा भू—संपदा विनियामक प्राधिकरण, गुरुग्राम

New PWD Rest House, Civil Lines, Gurugram, Haryana नया पी.डब्ल्यू.डी. विश्राम गृ

नया पी.डब्ल्यू.डी. विश्राम गृह, सिविल लाईंस, गुरुग्राम, हरियाणा

Samir Kumar (Member) Subhash Chander Kush (Member)

Dr. K.K. Khandelwal (Chairman) 6.9.2018