

# BEFORE THE HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY, GURUGRAM

:	1741 of 2019
:	28.11.2019
:	28.01.2020
	: .

 Mr. Vishal Sehgal
Mrs. Renu Sehgal
Both RR/o: Flat No.7218, Pocket 10, Sector B, Vasant Kunj, South West Delhi, Delhi 110070.

Complainants

#### Versus

M/s Emaar MGF Land Ltd. Address: 306-308, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, Square One, C-2, District Centre, Saket, New Delhi-110017.

Respondent

CORAM: Shri Samir Kumar Shri Subhash Chander Kush

Member Member

APPEARANCE: Shri Deepesh Raj

Shri Ishaan Dang Shri Ketan Luthra Proxy counsel for Shri Anshul Gupta, Advocate for the complainants Advocate for the respondent AR of the respondent company

### ORDER

 The present complaint dated 29.04.2019 has been filed by the complainants/allottees in Form CRA under section 31 of the Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Act, 2016 (in short, the Act) read with rule 28 of the Haryana Real Estate



(Regulation and Development) Rules, 2017 (in short, the Rules) for violation of section 11(4)(a) of the Act wherein it is inter alia prescribed that the promoter shall be responsible for all obligations, responsibilities and functions to the allottees as per the agreement for sale executed inter se them.

2. The particulars of the project, the details of sale consideration, the amount paid by the complainants, date of proposed handing over the possession, delay period, if any, have been detailed in the following tabular form:

S. No.	Heads	Information
1.	Project name and location	Emerald Floors Premier III at Emerald Estate, Sector 65, Gurugram.
2.	Project area	25.499 acres
3.	Nature of the project	Group housing colony
4.	DTCP license no. and validity status	06 of 2008 dated 17.01.2008 Valid/renewed up to 16.01.2020
5.	Name of licensee	Active Promoters Pvt. Ltd. and 2 others C/o Emaar MGF Land Ltd.
6.	HRERA registered/ not registered	Registered vide no. 104 of 2017 dated 24.08.2017 for 82768 sq. mtrs.
7.	HRERA registration valid up to	23.08.2022
8.	Date of provisional allotment letter	14.09.2011 [Annexure R2 at page 32 of reply]



9.	Unit no.	EFP-III-45-0101, 1 <sup>st</sup> floor, building no. 45
		[Page 29 of complaint]
10.	Unit measuring	1975 sq. ft.
11.	Date of execution of buyer's agreement	13.02.2012
		[Page 26 of complaint and page 35 of reply]
12.	Payment plan	Instalment payment plan [Page 70 of reply]
13.	Total consideration as per statement of account dated 29.04.2019 (page 110 of reply)	Rs.1,39,15,039/-
14.	Total amount paid by the complainants as per statement of account dated 29.04.2019 (page 111 of reply)	Rs.1,12,97,305/-
15.	Due date of delivery of possession as per clause 11(a) of the said agreement i.e. 24 months from the date of execution of buyer's agreement (13.02.2012) plus 3 months grace period [Page 52 of reply]	13.05.2014
16.	Date of offer of possession to the complainants	Not offered
17.	Delay in handing over possession till date of decision i.e. 28.01.2020	5 years 8 months 15 days

3. As per clause 11(a) of the agreement, the possession was to be handed over within a period of 24 months from the date of execution of buyer's agreement i.e. 13.02.2012 plus grace period of 3 months for applying and obtaining the CC/OC in



respect of the unit and/or the project which comes out to be 13.05.2014. Clause 11 of the buyer's agreement is reproduced below:

## "11. POSSESSION

## (a) Time of handing over the possession

Subject to terms of this clause and subject to the allottee(s) having complied with all the terms and conditions of this buyer's agreement, and not being in default under any of the provisions of this buyer's agreement and compliance with all provisions, formalities, documentation etc. as prescribed by the company, the company proposes to hand over the possession of the unit within 24 months from the date of execution of buyer's agreement. The allottee(s) agrees and understands that the company shall be entitled to a grace period of 3 months, for applying and obtaining the occupation certificate in respect of the unit and/or the project."

- 4. The complainants submitted that the hard-earned money of the complainant has been lying with the opposite party since 2011 and yet the complainants have not been able to get the possession of the unit in a liveable condition and complete in all respect. Hence, this complaint inter-alia for the following reliefs:
  - i. Direct the respondent to make valid offer of possession when the project is complete.
  - Direct the respondent to pay interest at the prescribed rate for every month of delay from due date of possession till the actual handing over the possession on amount paid by the complainants as per section 18 of the Act.



- 5. On the date of hearing, the Authority explained to the respondent/promoter about the contravention as alleged to have been committed in relation to section 11(4)(a) of the Act to plead guilty or not to plead guilty.
- The respondent contests the complaint on the following grounds:
  - The respondent submitted that complaints pertaining to refund, compensation and interest are to be decided by the Adjudicating Officer under Section 71 of the Act read with rule 29 of the Rules and not by this hon'ble authority.
  - ii. That clause 11(b)(iv) provides that in case of any default or delay by the allottees in payment as per schedule of payment incorporated in the buyer's agreement, the date of handing over of possession shall be extended accordingly. Since, the complainants have defaulted in timely remittance of payments as per schedule of payment, the date of delivery of possession is not liable to be determined in the manner sought to be done in the present case by the complainant.
  - iii. That as per clause 13 of the buyer's agreement, compensation for delay in delivery of possession shall be given to such allottees who are not in default of their



obligations envisaged under the agreement and who have not defaulted in payment of instalments as per the payment plan incorporated in the agreement.

### iv. The respondent submitted that-

'15. That without admitting or acknowledging in any manner the truth or legality of the allegations levelled by the complainants and without prejudice to the contentions of the respondent, it is submitted that the project has got delayed on account of following reasons which were/are beyond the power and control of the respondent.

## (i). Second staircase issue

The building plans for the apartment/tower in question was approved by the competent authority under the then applicable National Building Code (NBC) in terms of which buildings having height of 15 mtrs. or above but having area of less than 500 sq. mtrs. on each floor, were being approved by the competent authorities with a single staircase and construction was being carried out accordingly.

Subsequently, NBC was revised in the year 2016 and in terms of the same, all high-rise buildings (i.e. buildings having height of 15 mtrs. and above), irrespective of the area of each floor, are now required to have two staircases.

Furthermore, it was notified vide gazette published on 15.03.2017 that the provisions of NBC 2016 supersede those of NBC 2005.

The Fire Department is seeking to retrospectively apply the said provision and while processing the Fire NOC application has been insisting on two staircases in all high-rise buildings even in cases where the building plans stood approved with a provision for a single staircase and which have been constructed accordingly. The Fire Department has issued a provisional Fire NOC with the requirement that the second staircase would be constructed by the developer within one year from the date of issuance of provisional Fire NOC...

Eventually, so as not to cause any further delay in the project and so as to avoid jeopardising the safety of the occupants of the buildings in question including the building in which the apartment in question is situated, the respondent has taken





a decision to go ahead and construct the second staircase. It is expected that the construction of the second staircase will be completed in a year's time. Thereafter, upon issuance of the OC and subject to force majeure conditions, possession of the apartment shall be offered to the complainants.'

- 7. Copies of all the relevant documents have been filed and placed on the record. Their authenticity is not in dispute. Hence, the complaint can be decided on the basis of these undisputed documents.
- 8. The Authority, on the basis of information and other submissions made and the documents filed by the complainants and the respondent, is of considered view that there is no need of further hearing in the complaint.
- 9. Arguments heard.
- 10. The Act is to protect the rights of the stake-holders i.e. the promoter, allottee and the real estate agent as provided under the Act and also to balance their interest as per its provisions. The Authority is empowered to not only monitor the projects but also to ensure their timely compliance and in case where the projects are held up or stopped to take steps so that these are completed in time and interests of allottees are protected.
- 11. On consideration of the circumstances, the documents and other record and submissions made by the parties and based on the findings of the authority regarding contravention as per



provisions of rule 28(2)(a), the Authority is satisfied that the respondent is in contravention of the provisions of the Act. By virtue of clause 11(a) of the buyer's agreement executed between the parties on 13.02.2012, possession of the booked unit was to be delivered within a period of 24 months plus 3 months grace period from the date of execution of buyer's agreement (i.e. 13.02.2012). The grace period of 3 months is allowed to the respondent due to exigencies beyond the control of the respondent. Therefore, the due date of handing over possession comes out to be 13.05.2014. As such this project is to be treated as on-going project and the provisions of the Act shall be applicable equally to the builder as well as allottee. Accordingly, it is the failure of the promoter to fulfil his obligations, responsibilities as per the buyer's agreement dated 13.02.2012 to hand over the possession within the stipulated period. Accordingly, the non-compliance of the mandate contained in section 11(4)(a) read with section 18(1)of the Act on the part of the respondent is established. In this case, the respondent has not offered the possession of the unit to the complainants. As such the complainants are entitled to delayed possession interest at rate of the prescribed @ 10.20% p.a. w.e.f. 13.05.2014 till the offer of possession as per



provisions of section 18(1) of the Act read with rule 15 of the Rules. The complainants have already paid Rs.1,12,97,305/- against the total sale consideration of Rs.1,39,15,039/- as per statement of account dated 29.042019 at page 111 of reply.

- Hence, the Authority hereby pass the following order and issue directions under section 34(f) of the Act:
  - i. The respondent is directed to pay the interest at the prescribed rate i.e. 10.20% per annum for every month of delay on the amount paid by the complainants from due date of possession i.e. 13.05.2014 till the offer of possession.
  - ii. The arrears of interest accrued so far shall be paid to the complainants within 90 days from the date of this order and thereafter monthly payment of interest till offer of possession shall be paid before 10<sup>th</sup> of each subsequent month.
  - iii. The complainants are directed to pay outstanding dues, if any, after adjustment of interest for the delayed period.
  - iv. The respondent shall not charge anything from the complainants which is not part of the buyer's agreement.
  - v. Interest on the due payments from the complainants shall be charged at the prescribed rate @10.20% by the



promoter which is the same as is being granted to the complainants in case of delayed possession charges.

- 13. Complaint stands disposed of.
- 14. File be consigned to registry.

(Samir Kumar) Member Haryana Real Estate Regulatory Authority, Gurugram Dated: 28.01.2020

Judgement uploaded on 20.02.2020

アロ

ATE RE UGRA