

**BEFORE THE HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY
AUTHORITY, GURUGRAM**

Complaint no. : 6281 of 2024
Date of complaint : 09.10.2024
Date of decision : 27.01.2026

Mona,
R/o: - H.No. 240A, Gali No. 10B, Rajendra prak
Extension, Nangloi, West Delhi, Delhi.

Complainant

Versus

M/s Godrej Real View Developers Private Limited
Regd. Office At: 3rd Floor, UM House, Plot no. 35,
Sector-44, Gurugram-122002.

Respondent

CORAM:

Shri Arun Kumar
Shri Phool Singh Saini

**Chairman
Member**

APPEARANCE:

Shri Mukul Kaushik (Advocate)
Shri Rohan Malik (Advocate)

**Complainant
Respondent**

ORDER

1. The present complaint has been filed by the complainant/allottees under section 31 of the Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Act, 2016 (in short, the Act) read with rule 28 of the Haryana Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Rules, 2017 (in short, the Rules) for violation of section 11(4)(a) of the Act wherein it is *inter alia* prescribed that the promoter shall be responsible for all obligations,

responsibilities and functions under the provisions of the Act or the Rules and regulations made there under or to the allottees as per the agreement for sale executed *inter se*.

A. Unit and project related details

2. The particulars of unit details, sale consideration, the amount paid by the complainants, date of proposed handing over the possession, delay period, if any, have been detailed in the following tabular form:

Sr. No.	Particulars	Details
1.	Name of the project	"Godrej Meridien-III"
2.	Location of the project	Sector-106, Gurugram.
3.	Project area	14.793 acres
4.	Nature of project	Group Housing Project
5.	RERA registered	Registered Vide registration no. 09 of 2020 Dated-10.02.2020
6.	DTCP License	License no. 18 of 2008 Dated-02.02.2008.
7.	Allotment letter	06.05.2022 (As on page no. 36 of reply)
8.	Unit no.	T5-1802, Floor17 th , Type-3BHK, Type-B, Tower No.-5 (As on page no. 38 of reply)
9.	Unit area	113.98 sq.mtr [Carpet Area] 24.02 sq.mtr [Exclusive Area] (As on page no. 38 of complaint)
10.	Agreement For Sale	28.07.2022

		(As on page no. 49 of reply)
11.	Possession clause	<i>The Promoter shall offer possession of the Unit along with Common Areas on or before 30.09.2025 (Completion Time Period") or such extended period as may be granted unless there is delay due to Force Majeure, Court orders, Government policy/guidelines, decisions affecting the regular development of the real estate project. The Force Majeure shall mean and include war, flood, fire, draught, cyclone, earthquake, epidemic pandemic or any other calamity caused by nature affecting regular development of project, civil commotion or act of God or any notice, order, rule, notification of the Government and / or other public competent authority Court affecting the regular development of said Project, beyond the control of the Promotor.</i>
12.	Due date of possession	30.09.2025 (as per possession clause)
13.	Payment Plan	Construction- linked
14.	Total sales consideration	Rs.1,99,08,542/- (As on page no. 71 of complaint)
15.	Amount paid by the complainant	Rs.19,90,855/- (As on page no. 92 of reply)
16.	Pre-termination letter	08.08.2022 (As on page 84 of complaint)
17.	Cancellation letter	24.08.2022 (As on page no.89 of complaint)
18.	Third-party rights created on	05.08.2024 (As on page no. 94 of reply)
19.	Occupation certificate	Not on record
20.	Offer of possession	Not offered

B. Facts of the complaint

3. The complainants have made the following submissions in the complaint:

- I. That in the month of March 2022, the respondent through their representative had approached the complainant and represented that the respondent company is developing a residential project namely 'Godrej Meridien' situated at Sector-106, Village Babupur, Gurugram for which necessary permission and approvals were already obtained by the respondent and the said project is also registered with the Haryana RERA, Gurugram having Registration No RC/REP/HARERA/GGM/393/125/2020/09 dated 10.02.2020. The representatives of the respondent also informed the due date of possession of the project as per allotment letter is 31.08.2025.
- II. That on 16.03.2022, the complainant believing upon the assurance of the representative applied for booking a 3BHK+Utility Type B bearing Unit No T5-1802 having total area 138 sqm against the total sale consideration Rs. 1,99,08,542.38/- including BSP, IFMS, EDC/IDC, Other charges, GST or any other taxes/charges as per applicable law in the abovementioned project of respondent. The complainant also transferred Rs 1,00,000/- as earnest money for booking of apartment in abovementioned project of respondent through NEFT.
- III. The complainant also transferred Rs 4,00,000/- for booking of said apartment in abovementioned project of respondent through NEFT on 17.03.2022.
- IV. That the complainant also transferred Rs 4,75,000/- against booking of said apartment in abovementioned project of respondent through

- NEFT. The complainant paid a total sum of Rs 9,75,000/- to the respondent as booking amount till 28.03.2022.
- V. That the application form/Agreement to Sale was executed between the complainant and respondent. The respondent issued Receipt No. P/C#22-03-1186629 & P/C#22-03-1186630 both dated 29.03.2022 for Rs 1,00,000/- & Rs 4,00,000/- respectively.
- VI. That respondent issued an allotment letter dated 06.05.2022 to the complainant for the allotted residential apartment/unit bearing no. T5-1802, on the 17th floor having carpet area of 113.98 square meter, exclusive area of 24.02 square meter, total area 138 square meter in Godrej Meridien, Sector-106, Village Babupur, Gurugram, Haryana.
- VII. That the respondent further raised a demand of Rs. 10,37381.25/- to the complainant for the said booked residential apartment vide interest communication letter dated 22.06.2022.
- VIII. That the complainant transfer Rs 5,15,855/- through RTGS to the respondent against the said unit and the respondent also issued receipt No P/C#22-06-1284145 dated 30.06.2022 regarding the said payment. The complainant paid Rs 14,90,855 to the respondent against the said unit.
- IX. That the respondent further raised a demand of Rs. 5,25,032.62/- to the complainant for the said booked residential apartment vide interest communication letter dated 07.07.2022.
- X. That the complainant transfer Rs 1,00,000/- through NEFT to the respondent against the said unit and the respondent also issued receipt No P/C#22-07-1298826 dated 13.7.2022 regarding the said payment. The complainant paid Rs 15,90,855 to the respondent against the said unit.

- XI. That after receiving Rs 15,90,855 till 13.07.2022, the respondent company entered into Agreement for sale with the complainant for the said unit/apartment bearing no. T5-1802 on 17th floor in Tower 05 with 1 car parking with the complainant. The same was registered in the office of Sub Registrar, Gurugram on dated 28.07.2022. The respondent never supplied the copy of registered agreement with the complainant.
- XII. That pursuant to the said Agreement for sale dated 28.07.2022, in an absolutely clandestine and unauthorized manner, the respondent kept raising Demand of Rs. 4,28,175.04/- on the complainants even without commencing work on the Project and without obtaining the necessary approvals from the competent authorities only with a view to arm-twist the complainant and usurp the complainant's hard-earned money.
- XIII. That the terms and conditions mentioned in the agreement for sale dated 28.07.2022 are biased, one sided and in violation of the provisions of the RERA Act, 2016, amounting to unfair trade practice as the complainant was compelled to sign on dotted lines in view of one-sided standard form. It is a matter of record that the agreement for sale dated 28.07.2022 signed between complainant and respondent company is a standard form of contract which was signed by every other allottees wherein there was no option to the complainant but to sign on the dotted lines on a contract which was framed by the builder with no room for any negotiation whatsoever.
- XIV. That the complainant had applied for a home loan from bank, and was informed by the bank that documents namely agreement to sale, site plan, environmental clearance, NOC from Fire department/ Pollution Control Board/ Airport Authority besides undertaking, etc. would be

required in order to sanction the loan and accordingly, the complainant requested the respondent to provide the necessary documents to the bank. The respondent failed to provide the documents and loan was not sanctioned. However, the respondent issued the pre termination notice dated 08.08.2022 demanding Rs 24,19,754.04/-.

- XV. That the agreement for sale was executed on 28.07.2022 and within 10 days the pre termination was issued on 08.08.2022 by the respondent which is in itself is illegal. However, the complainant even after failed to get the loan from the bank, arranged the funds for payment through her sources and transferred Rs 4,00,000/- through NEFT as payment to the respondent. against the said unit. The respondent accepted the said amount and assured the complainant that termination letter will not be issued. Further, the respondent also issued receipt No P/C#22-08-1328568 dated 10.08.2022 regarding the said payment.
- XVI. That the respondent, even after getting Rs 4,00,00/- from the complainant, issued the termination notice dated 24.08.2022 despite assuring the complainant her unit will not be cancelled. It is pertinent to mention here that in the alleged termination notice dated 24.08.2022, the respondent alleged the total amount paid by complainant is Rs 15,90,855/-. However, the complainant paid 19,90,855/- to the respondent which shows that the alleged termination notice is defective and have no legal sanctity.
- XVII. That complainant again visited the office of the respondent after receiving the termination letter and made the payment the payment of Rs. 19,90,854/- vide cheque No 028329 which was accepted by the respondent and issued the receipt for the same.

- XVIII. That respondent accepted the balance payment till 31.08.2022 which implied that if after the cancellation, the respondent is accepting the payment, the alleged cancellation and alleged termination stands revoked and the unit of the complainant was restored. The cheque could not be encashed because the respondent presented the said cheque before its date.
- XIX. That complainant many times requested the official of respondent to review the termination and restored the unit. After many requests, the official of the respondent asked the complainant to pay the Rs 10,00,000/- as part payment out of which Rs 1.6 Lakhs is be restoration charges as informed by official of the respondent.
- XX. That the complainant transfer Rs 1,00,000/- through NEFT to the respondent against the said unit. The same has been accepted the respondent without any protest or demur. The complainant also agreed to pay all remaining against the said unit along with interest and penalty.
- XXI. That the complainant requested to the respondent that they are ready to make the whole payment due to the respondent towards her unit but the respondent was adamant and did not inform the remaining payment. It is pertinent to mention here that the complainant visited the office of the respondent with the cheque to make payment on 26.09.2024 but the respondent refused to take any payment and did not hand over any accounts statement and was told that their unit is cancelled and the complainant is no more allottee of the said allotted unit. It is pertinent to mention here that after accepting the payment on 01.03.2023 no demand, pre cancellation or cancellation letter is issued by the respondent to the complainant.

- XXII. That the respondent did not follow the process for the cancellation of the unit and did not sent the cancellation notices to the complainant after 31.08.2022. That if the complainant would have received the demand letters as promised by the respondent, complainant would have made the payments. That the complainant is ready to make all the due payments as on date as well. It is pertinent to mention here that the complainant is ready to pay the amount due for their unit to the respondent but it is the respondent who is not responding to the emails and not telling the amount to be paid and evading the same on one pretext or the other. It clearly shows the intention of the respondent to usurp the hard-earned money of the complainant and not accepting the due payment which the complainant is ready to make.
- XXIII. That respondent has not been cooperating with complainant for no fault of her and despite the facts that she is ready to make the payment as through the emails sent to the respondent and making visits to the office of the respondent to make the payment. complainant always shown her willingness to make the payment for the unit and had no intentions to further delay the payment or miss any instalment. But the respondent was not paying any heed to the requests of the complainant.
- XXIV. That the complainant understands that the respondent company had no intention to offer possession of the unit in question to the complainant and has been duped of her hard-earned money in the garb of the investment in the respondent's project. The aforesaid arbitrary and unlawful act on respondent's part has resulted into extreme kind of financial hardship, mental distress, pain and agony to the complainant.

XXV. That the complainant kept painstakingly pursuing the respondent to restore allotment of the unit and to further make the payment of the unit due as on date but to no avail and the respondent.

XXVI. That no harm will be caused to the respondent in case the allotment of the unit of the complainant will be restored as the complainant is in dire need of the home. That the construction of the unit/project is underway and not completed and the due date of possession is 30.09.2025.

C. Relief sought by the complainant:

4. The complainant has sought following relief(s).

- I. That the respondents be directed to withdraw the termination notice dated 24.08.2022 regarding Unit No 1802, Tower No 5, Godrej Meridien, Sector-106, Village Babupur, Gurugram.
- II. The respondents be directed to restore the Unit No 1802, Tower No 5, Godrej Meridien, Sector-106, Village Babupur, Gurugram in favour of complaints as well as allotment letter dated 06.05.2022 issued to the complainant & registered agreement for sale dated 28.07.2022.
- III. The respondents be directed to handover the executed copy of the registered agreement for sale executed between the complainant & respondent regarding unit no 1802, Tower No 5, Godrej Meridien, Sector-106, Village Babupur, Gurugram;
- IV. That the respondents be directed to provide all the requisite documents sought by the Bank for Loan sanction.
- V. That the cost of present litigation amounting to Rs. 1,00,000/- (Rupees One Lakh Only) along with costs of the present complainants may kindly be awarded in favour of the complainants and against the respondent.

5. On the date of hearing, the authority explained to the respondent/promoter about the contraventions as alleged to have been committed in relation to section 11(4) (a) of the Act to plead guilty or not to plead guilty.

D. Reply by the respondent

6. The respondent has contested the complaint vide its reply dated 11.09.2025 on the following grounds: -

- i. that the complainant has failed to discharge her obligations under the ATL, wherein she was required to make the payment as per the Opted Payment Plan selected by her. After making part payment, the complainant failed to make payment in terms of the opted payment plan which eventually led to termination of the allotment in question on 24.08.2022 itself. As on date the unit in question is **terminated** for non-payment of agreed consideration by the complainant. That after having failed to comply with the opted payment plan, the complainant has filed the present complaint in order to abuse the process and mislead the Authority into granting reinstatement of the unit. It is submitted that all the transactions were with the free consent of the complainant on agreed terms of the application form and agreement for sale, which the complainant has executed only after carefully reading and satisfying herself with the terms and conditions mentioned therein. Thus, the reliefs claimed are totally false, frivolous and non-maintainable.
- ii. That the respondent seeks to state the following true and correct facts before raising objections to the present complaint. The complainant approached the respondent for booking of a unit in the project. After completely satisfying herself with the description of the project, the

- complainant vide application form dated 29.03.2022 applied for the allotment of a residential unit bearing No. T5 – 1802 on 17th Floor in the project for a total sale consideration of Rs. 1,99,08,542.38/- in favour of the complainant. In the view of the same, the complainant paid application money.
- iii. That pursuant to the receipt of application money, the Respondent vide the allotment letter (**"Allotment Letter"**) dated 16.05.2022 allotted a unit in favour of the complainant.
- iv. That subsequently, the respondent in terms of the opted payment plan raised 2nd milestone i.e., *"Within 15 days from Booking"* for an amount of Rs. 10,17,475.52/-. However, the complainant ignored the aforesaid demand raised by the respondent and failed to come forward and fulfil her contractual obligation to make timely payment.
- v. That the respondent being a customer centric organisation, working in the interest of the complainant sent interest letter dated 22.06.2022 and a reminder letter dated 07.07.2022, thereby requesting the complainant to come forward and clear the outstanding payable amount. However, despite repeated communications, the complainant failed to act promptly and after an inordinate delay of 87 days, made only a partial payment towards the demand of Rs. 6,15,855/-.
- vi. That upon receipt of the aforesaid amount, the respondent called upon the complainant to execute the agreement for sale. In pursuance of the same, the AFS was executed on 28.07.2022 between the parties.
- vii. The agreed payment plan (Schedule VI of the AFS) is reproduced herein-under:

Milestone	Base Value	Remaining Charges
Application Money (AM) (Forms part of the Booking Amount)	5%	EDC/IDC (5%) IFMS (5%) OTHER CHARGES (5%)

Within 15 Days from Booking	5%	EDC/IDC (5%) IFMS (5%) OTHER CHARGES (5%)
Within 30 Days from Booking	10%	EDC/IDC (10%) IFMS (10%) OTHER CHARGES (10%)
On Completion of 10 th Floor	20%	EDC/IDC (20%) IFMS (20%) OTHER CHARGES (20%)
On Completion of Super Structure	20%	EDC/IDC (20%) IFMS (20%) OTHER CHARGES (20%)
Completion of Flat's Internal Plaster	20%	EDC/IDC (20%) IFMS (20%) OTHER CHARGES (20%)
On Application of OC	15%	EDC/IDC (15%) IFMS (15%) OTHER CHARGES (15%)
On Intimation of Possession	5%	EDC/IDC (5%) IFMS (5%) OTHER CHARGES (5%)

- viii. That thereafter, the respondent again sent a reminder letter dated 02.08.2022 requesting the complainant to pay the remaining due payable amount. However, the complainant ignored the aforesaid reminder letter and did not come forward to fulfil her contractual obligation.
- ix. That the respondent was undertaking the development of the project with utmost diligence and efficiency, demonstrating the respondent's commitment to timely delivery. In the view of the same, the respondent in terms of the opted payment plan raised a demand letter dated 05.08.2022 for an amount of Rs. 19,90,854/-. However, despite the contractual obligation and the demonstrated progress at site, the complainant wilfully ignored the aforesaid demand. Disregarding both the pace of construction and the financial requirements essential for the continued development of the project, the complainant failed to

make the payment, leaving a balance of Rs. 23,72,120/- (excluding interest on delayed payments) due and payable.

- x. It is relevant to point out that since no payment was forthcoming from the complainant, the respondent was constrained to issue a pre-termination letter dated 08.08.2022 informing the complainant that in case of failure to make the payment in terms of the opted payment plan, the respondent will proceed to terminate the allotment and forfeit the booking amount. Thereafter, the complainant paid a partial amount of Rs. 4,00,000/-, leaving a balance of Rs. 19,72,122/- (excluding interest on delayed payments).
- xi. That the complainant was already lagging behind in fulfilling her contractual obligations in terms of the opted payment plan, for which the respondent had issued multiple demand/interest letters. However, the complainant ignored the aforesaid invoice and demand/interest letters.
- xii. That thereafter, since no payment was forthcoming from the complainant, the respondent lawfully terminated allotment of the unit vide termination letter dated 24.08.2022 as per the agreed terms of the ATL as well as the procedure established under the RERA Act. Further, the interest accrued so far on the complainant due to non-payment of the amount agreed as per the opted payment plan is Rs. 28,900/- (excluding taxes) and the respondent reserves its right to claim the same from the complainant.
- xiii. That after the termination of the allotment of the complainant, third party right has been created and the unit has been allotted to Mrs. Darshana Batra vide allotment letter dated 05.08.2024.

xiv. That the respondent being a customer centric organisation already acted best in the interest of the complainant by providing various opportunities to make the timely payment before the termination of the allotment.

5. All other averments made in the complaint were denied in toto.

6. Copies of all the relevant documents have been filed and placed on the record. Their authenticity is not in dispute. Hence, the complaint can be decided on the basis of these undisputed documents and submissions made by the parties.

E. Jurisdiction of the authority

6. The authority observes that it has territorial as well as subject matter jurisdiction to adjudicate the present complaint for the reasons given below.

E.I Territorial jurisdiction

7. As per notification no. 1/92/2017-1TCP dated 14.12.2017 issued by Town and Country Planning Department, Haryana the jurisdiction of Haryana Real Estate Regulatory Authority, Gurugram shall be entire Gurugram district for all purposes. In the present case, the project in question is situated within the planning area of Gurugram district. Therefore, this authority has complete territorial jurisdiction to deal with the present complaint.

E.II Subject-matter jurisdiction

8. Section 11(4)(a) of the Act, 2016 provides that the promoter shall be responsible to the allottees as per agreement for sale. Section 11(4)(a) is reproduced as hereunder:

Section 11.....

(4) The promoter shall-

(a) be responsible for all obligations, responsibilities and functions under the provisions of this Act or the rules and regulations made

thereunder or to the allottees as per the agreement for sale, or to the association of allottees, as the case may be, till the conveyance of all the apartments, plots or buildings, as the case may be, to the allottees, or the common areas to the association of allottees or the competent authority, as the case may be;

Section 34-Functions of the Authority:

34(f) of the Act provides to ensure compliance of the obligations cast upon the promoters, the allottees and the real estate agents under this Act and the rules and regulations made thereunder.

9. So, in view of the provisions of the Act quoted above, the authority has complete jurisdiction to decide the complaint regarding non-compliance of obligations by the promoter leaving aside compensation which is to be decided by the adjudicating officer if pursued by the complainants at a later stage.

F. Findings on the relief sought by the complainant.

- F.I That the respondents be directed to withdraw the termination notice dated 24.08.2022 regarding Unit No 1802, Tower No 5, Godrej Meridien, Sector-106, Village Babupur, Gurugram.**
- F.II That the respondents be directed to restore the Unit No 1802, Tower No 5, Godrej Meridien, Sector-106, Village Babupur, Gurugram in favour of complainants as well as allotment letter dated 06.05.2022 issued to the Complainant & registered Agreement for sale dated 28.07.2022.**
- F.III That the respondents be directed to handover the executed copy of the registered Agreement for Sale executed between the complainant & respondent regarding Unit No 1802, Tower No 5, Godrej Meridien, Sector-106, Village Babupur, Gurugram.**
- F.IV That the respondents be directed to provide all the requisite documents sought by the Bank for Loan sanction.**
- F.V That the cost of present litigation amounting to Rs. 1,00,000/- (Rupees One Lakh Only) along with costs of the present complainants may kindly be awarded in favour of the complainants and against the respondent.**

10. The complainant booked unit no. T5-1802, Floor 17th, Type-3BHK, Type-B, Tower No.-5 pursuant to an allotment letter dated 06.05.2022 and paid a total amount of Rs.19,90,855/-, which is approximately 10% of the total sale consideration of Rs.1,99,08,542/-. The complainant states the she had applied for a home loan from bank and was informed by the bank that documents namely agreement to sell, site plan, environment

clearance etc would be required in order to sanction the loan and accordingly, the complainant requested the respondent to provide the necessary documents but respondent failed to do so and loan was not sanctioned. The complainant further states that even after failed to get the loan from the bank, the complainant arranged the funds for payment and transferred Rs. 4,00,000/- through NEFT against the said unit. The respondent accepted the said amount and assured the complainant that termination letter will not be issued. Even after the assurance, the respondent issued a pre-cancellation letter dated 08.08.2022 and subsequently a final cancellation letter dated 24.08.2022, cancelling the allotment. The complainant contends that the cancellation is arbitrary, illegal, and contrary to the provisions of RERA, and that the promoter has wrongfully forfeited the amount paid.

11. On the contrary, the respondent states that the complainant defaulted in making further payments despite repeated reminders and a pre-cancellation notice dated 08.08.2022. Consequently, the allotment of unit no. T5-1802, Floor 17th, Type-3BHK, Type-B, Tower No.-5 of the "Godrej Meridien-III" project was cancelled on 24.08.2022. the respondent further states that after the termination of the allotment, third party rights have been created.
12. On consideration of documents available on record and submissions made by both the parties, the authority is of the view that on the basis of provisions of allotment, the complainant had paid a sum of Rs. 19,90,855/- against the total sale consideration of Rs. 1,99,08,542/- which constitutes less than 10% of the total amount. As per the allotment letter and payment plan, the complainant was required to pay 15% of the amount within 30 days of booking. This was not complied

with, as the complainant made only a 10% payment. While discussing earlier it has been held that the complainant was in default in making timely payments leading to cancellation of the allotted unit by the respondent as per the term and conditions of allotment. Now, the issue for consideration arises as to whether the complainant is entitled for refund of the illegal deduction of earnest amount from the respondent. It is observed that the respondent has raised various reminders letters to the complainant and as per section 19 (6) & (7) of Act of 2016, the allottees were under an obligation to make timely payment as per payment plan towards consideration of the allotted unit. Therefore, the cancellation of the allotted unit by the respondent is valid in the eyes of law. As the complainant had paid a sum of Rs. 19,90,855/- against the total sale consideration of Rs. 1,99,08,542/- which constitutes less than 10% of the total amount. As per regulation, 11(5) of 2018 prescribed under the Haryana Real Estate Regulatory Authority Gurugram (Forfeiture of earnest money by the builder) the builder can deduct the earnest money which is 10% of the slae consideration.

13. Keeping in view the aforesaid factual and legal provisions, the respondent can deduct the amount paid by the complainant against the allotted unit as the as it is both the earnest money and 10% of the consideration amount. So, the same was liable to be forfeited in terms Regulations 11(5) of 2018. However, the amount paid by the complainant i.e., 19,90,855/- against the total sale consideration of Rs. 1,99,08,542/- which constitutes less than 10% of the sale consideration. Thus, no direction to this effect.

H. Directions of the Authority:

14. Hence, in view of the findings recorded by the authority on the aforesaid issues, no case of refund of the paid-up amount with interest is made out. Hence, the complainant is dismissed being devoid of merits.
15. Complaint stands disposed of.
16. File be consigned to the registry.



Phool Singh Saini
Member



Arun Kumar
Chairman

Haryana Real Estate Regulatory Authority, Gurugram

Dated: 27.01.2026