

<b>PROCEEDINGS OF THE DAY</b>		<b>90</b>
Day and Date	Friday and 13.02.2026	
Complaint No.	MA NO. 826/2025 in CR/4041/2024 Case titled as Savitri Devi VS Sunrays Heights Private Limited	
Complainant	Savitri Devi	
Represented through	Shri Vijay Pratap Singh Advocate	
Respondent	Sunrays Heights Private Limited	
Respondent Represented through	None	
Last date of hearing	Application u/s 39 of the Act	
Proceeding Recorded by	Naresh Kumari and HR Mehta	
<b>Proceedings-cum-order</b>		
<p>An application under Section 39 of the Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Act, 2016 was filed by the complainant on 09.12.2025. The captioned case was decided in favour of the complainant and against the respondent vide order dated 08.08.2025.</p> <p>The complainant has pointed out an apparent error in the said order. It is submitted that at page no. 3 of the judgment dated 08.08.2025, under the heading "Unit/Project Related Details", at serial no. 3, the total amount paid by the complainant to the respondent has been incorrectly mentioned as ₹20,06,262/-, whereas the correct amount paid is ₹22,46,777/-.</p> <p>It is submitted that the said amount includes payment made pursuant to the demand letter dated 14.03.2022, including an amount of ₹3,33,166/- paid through NEFT on 22.03.2022, which was duly placed on record and also confirmed during the course of the proceedings.</p>		
<b>Serial no.</b>	<b>Relief prayed in application dated 09.12.2025</b>	<b>Order dated 08.08.2025</b>
<b>1.</b>	Direction to rectify the amount mentioned at para no. 3 of the order, wherein the figure	<b>Observation of the authority</b> Rs. 20,06,262/-      ₹22,46,777/-



HARERA  
GURUGRAM

HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY  
GURUGRAM

हरियाणा भू-संपदा विनियमन प्राधिकरण, गुरुग्राम  
MANO 826/2025 IN CR/4091/2024

New PWD Rest House, Civil Lines, Gurugram, Haryana तपशील, इन्स्यू. डी. विश्राम गृह, सिविल लाईन्स, गुरुग्राम, हरियाणा

₹20,06,262/- has been erroneously recorded; the correct amount paid by the complainant is ₹22,46,777/-

Upon perusal of the record, it is evident that the aforesaid error is clerical in nature and apparent on the face of the record, which is rectifiable under Section 39 of the Act, 2016.

Accordingly, the application is allowed, and the order dated 08.08.2025 is modified to the extent that the total amount paid by the complainant shall be read as ₹22,46,777/- in place of ₹20,06,262/-.

File be consigned to the registry.

  
Anur Kumar  
Chairman  
13.02.2026