

PROCEEDINGS OF THE DAY		76
Day and Date	Wednesday and 03.12.2025	
Complaint No.	MA NO. 662/2025 in CR/693/2024 Case titled as Harjit Singh Hooda VS VSR Infratech Private Limited	
Complainant	Harjit Singh Hooda	
Represented through	Ms. Himani, Advocate	
Respondent	VSR Infratech Private Limited	
Respondent Represented through	Ms. Shriya Takkar & Ms. Meenal Khanna, Advocates	
Last date of hearing	Application U/s 39 of the Act	
Proceeding Recorded by	H.R.Mehta & Kiran Chhabra	
Proceedings-cum-Order		
<p>The aforesaid complaint was disposed of vide order dated 30.07.2025 of the Authority wherein the respondent was directed to refund the paid-up amount after deducting 10% of the sale consideration as earnest money along with interest at the rate of 11.10% p.a. as prescribed under Rule 15 of The Haryana Real Estate (Regulation & Development) Rules, 2017 from the date of surrender i.e., from the date of application for amendment of relief is filed on 11.04.2025.</p> <p>Respondent has filed an application of rectification on 12.09.2025, vide said application for rectification of Order dated 30.07.2025, stating that in compliance of the order dated 13.11.2024 complainant had deposited an amount of Rs.1,15,577/- with respondent towards IFMS and administrative charges an amount of Rs.3,38,848/- with the concerned statutory authority towards property tax, stamp duty and registration charges. Thus, the total amount deposited by the complainant with respondent was Rs.55,92,927/- (Rs.54,77,350 + Rs.1,15,577/-) instead of Rs.59,76,775/- (Rs.54,77,350 + Rs.1,15,577/- + Rs.3,83,848/-).</p> <p>Arguments heard.</p>		



HARERA
GURUGRAM

HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY
GURUGRAM

हरियाणा भू-संपदा विनियामक प्राधिकरण, गुरुग्राम

MA No. 662/2025

New PWD Rest House, Civil Lines, Gurugram, Haryana

नया पी.डब्ल्यू.डी. विश्राम गृह, सिविल लाईंस, गुरुग्राम, हरियाणा

So far as the stamp duty and registration charges are concerned, the same were paid to the relevant authority by the complainant directly and the complainant may seek refund of the same in terms of the relevant rules framed by the government.

Regarding the property tax deposited by the complainant, the respondent has no objection for including the same in the refundable amount provided the complainant comes forward to get the property ID changed from his name to the name of the Company.

The matter stands disposed of accordingly.

File be consigned to the registry.

Ashok Sangwan
Ashok Sangwan
Member
03.12.2025