

Sonika
Vs.
M/s Tulip Infratech Pvt. Ltd.
Appeal No.290 of 2019

Present: Shri Anil Bidhan, Advocate, Ld. counsel for the appellant.

Shri Sudesh Ranjan Singh, Advocate, Ld. counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for the respondent has filed *Vakalatnama* on behalf of the respondent-company. Ld. counsel for both the parties have stated that some amicable settlement has taken place between the parties.

Ld. counsel for the respondent stated that it has been agreed that the amount deposited by the appellant shall be adjusted towards the alternative property which shall be allotted to the appellant within two months from the date of this order.

Ld. counsel for the appellant stated that in view of the aforesaid settlement, the present appeal may be dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to the appellant to get revived the appeal in case the respondent does not fulfil its assurance.

Thus, the present appeal is hereby dismissed as withdrawn. The appellant shall be at liberty to move the requisite application for revivable of the appeal if the respondent-promoter does not fulfil the assurance given to the appellant within the stipulated period.

File be consigned to the record.

Justice Darshan Singh (Retd.)
Chairman,
Haryana Real Estate Appellate Tribunal,
Chandigarh
10.02.2020

Inderjeet Mehta
Member (Judicial)
10.02.2020

Anil Kumar Gupta
Member (Technical)
10.02.2020