



HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY PANCHKULA

Website: www.haryanarera.gov.in

COMPLAINT NO. 1988 of 2022

HRERA, Panchkula

...COMPLAINANT

VERSUS

Rangoli Buildtech Pvt. Ltd.

....RESPONDENT

CORAM: Parneet S Sachdev
Dr. Geeta Rathee Singh
Chander Shekhar

Chairman
Member
Member

Date of Hearing: 12.11.2025

Hearing: 11th

Present: - Adv. RM Dahiya on behalf of Respondent.

ORDER (Parneet S Sachdev - Chairman)

This suo-motu complaint was registered against the respondent for not uploading quarterly progress reports of project registered vide Registration No. HRERA-PKL-SNP-268-2021 dated 12.10.2021 valid upto 31.03.2022.

2. Thereafter, since the respondents had already uploaded the online quarterly progress reports upto 31.12.2022 on 27.05.2023. Therefore, the penalty upto

2

27.05.2023 amounting to Rs. 69,000/- was to be deposited by the respondent in the registry of the Authority before the next date of hearing.

2. Thereafter, the matter was taken up by the Authority on 11.12.2024, wherein neither anyone appeared on behalf of respondent nor any reply was filed. Therefore, the Managing Director/one of the Directors of the respondent company was directed to appear personally on the next date of hearing and explain their stand. Further, penalty of ₹69,000/- along with interest at the rate of SBI's highest marginal cost of lending rate (MCLR) + 2% as per Rule 15 of the RERA Rules, 2017 be also deposited before the next date of hearing i.e., 23.04.2025. The matter was then adjourned to 30.07.2025 but the same could not be taken up due to "no work day" observed in all Courts of Punjab, Haryana and Chandigarh due to sudden demise of Member, Bar Council of Punjab and Haryana.

2. On 30.07.2025, Adv. Sudeep Misra appearing on behalf of respondent sought 30 days' time to deposit the above penalty. He further requested that amount of Interest till today may be communicated to him. Upon hearing the averments made by the counsel, Authority informed that interest till today works out to ₹ 6,079/- which should be deposited alongwith the penalty of ₹69,000/- and cost of ₹1 lac for non-appearance of Director before the next date of hearing. It was further clarified that the interest shall accrue till the said payment of ₹69,000/- is made by the respondent.

3. On the last date of hearing i.e., 08.10.2025, counsel appearing on behalf of respondent informed that they have already deposited ₹69,000/- on 24.05.2024 vide reference no. 1716532151. However, on examination of office records, no such payment could be detected. Therefore, the respondent was directed to submit payment receipt alongwith the interest and cost of ₹1 lac for non-appearance of Director before the next date of hearing i.e., 12.11.2025.

4. Today, Adv. RM Dahiya appearing on behalf of respondent informed that they have filed online receipt dated 24.05.2024 in the registry of the Authority on 09.10.2025 and requested that since the penalty had already been deposited on 24.05.2024 therefore, interest thereon and the cost of ₹1 lac for non-appearance of Director may not be imposed. Acceding to the request of the counsel, Authority is of the view that since the penalty was already paid by the respondent on 24.05.2024 and the Authority was unaware of the fact that the said has been paid by the respondent therefore, there was not occasion on 11.12.2024 to impose interest and on 30.07.2025 to impose cost of ₹1 lac for non-appearance of Director. In view of the above, the Authority is of the view that these interim orders were considered to have been passed. Since QPRs have already been uploaded and penalty of ₹69,000/- has been paid therefore, the show cause notice issued against the respondent is hereby discharged. **Disposed of.** File be consigned to record room after issuance of orders.


.....
Chander Shekhar
Member


.....
Dr. Geeta Rathee Singh
Member


.....
Parneet S Sachdev
Chairman