



## **HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY PANCHKULA**

Website: [www.haryanarera.gov.in](http://www.haryanarera.gov.in)

### **1. COMPLAINT NO. 869 OF 2025**

Anshul Bindlish

....COMPLAINANT

VERSUS

Raheja Developers Ltd.

....RESPONDENT

### **2. COMPLAINT NO. 870 OF 2025**

Varun Gupta

....COMPLAINANT

VERSUS

Raheja Developers Ltd.

....RESPONDENT

**Date of Hearing:** 20.01.2026

**Hearing:** 2nd

**Present:** - Adv. Sanjeev Gupta, Learned counsel for the Complainants through VC (in both complaints)  
None for the Respondent

### **ORDER(DR. GEETA RATHEE SINGH- MEMBER)**

1. Today Adv. Manika, appeared on behalf of the respondent and submitted that insolvency proceedings qua the respondent company i.e Raheja Developers Ltd. were initiated before the National Company Law Tribunal vide order dated 21.08.2025 passed in C.P No. 284 of 2025 titled “ Shravan Minocha and ors Vs Raheja Developers Ltd.”. As per order Mr. Brijesh Singh

A handwritten signature in blue ink, which appears to read "Geeta Rathee".

Bhadauriya has been appointed as an Interim Resolution Professional (IRP) for initiation of CIRP against the respondent in present complaint and moratorium in terms of Section 14 of the Code has also been declared vide said order. Relevant para of said order are reproduced below for reference:

*“ 20. The applicant in Part-III of the application has proposed the name of Mr. Brijesh Singh Bhadauriya as Interim Resolution Professional, having Registration Number - IBBI/IPA-002/N01045/2020-2021/13385 having email id: bsb@bsbandassociates.in. Accordingly, Mr. Brijesh Singh Bhadauriya is appointed as an Interim Resolution Professional (IRP) for initiation of CIRP for Corporate Debtor. The consent of the proposed interim resolution profession in Form-2 is taken on record. The IRP so appointed shall file a valid AFA and disclosure about non-initiation of any disciplinary proceedings against him, within three (3) days of pronouncement of this order.*

*21. We also declare moratorium in terms of Section 14 of the Code. The necessary consequences of imposing the moratorium flows from the provisions of Section 14 (1) (a), (b), (c) & (d) of the Code.*

22.....

23.....

24.....

25....

26....

27....

28.....

*29. We further clarify that since the Corporate Debtor's project "Raheja Shilas (Low Rise)" is already undergoing CIRP pursuant to admission in separate proceedings, the present application, upon being allowed, shall result in initiation of CIRP against the Corporate Debtor in respect of all its projects, excluding the said project "Raheja Shilas (Low Rise)". Accordingly, all directions issued by this Adjudicating Authority in the present matter shall be confined to the Corporate Debtor as a whole, save and except the project "Raheja Shilas (Low Rise)"*



She further submitted that the matter qua the insolvency proceedings against respondent respondent company is now before the Hon'ble National company Law Appellate Tribunal vide I.A. No. 4560 of 2025 in Comp. App. (AT) (Ins) No. 2168 of 2024 and the moratorium against the respondent is still in force, no stay has been granted by the Appellate Tribunal.

Upon perusal of record it is revealed that no vakalatnama/power of attorney has been placed on record in the name of Adv Manika on behalf of the answering respondent. Hence, the presence of Adv. Manika is not being marked.

2. In view of the moratorium, learned counsel for the complainants was enquired whether the complainants, in respective complaints, wish to continue the present complaint or wish to file a claim before the National Company Law Appellate Tribunal. Learned counsel for the complainants submitted that, since moratorium is in force, the complainants wish to file a claim before the National Company Law Appellate Tribunal. He prayed that he may be allowed to withdraw the present complaint(s) with a liberty to file a fresh complaint(s) for any remaining claim/dispute.

3. Request of the learned counsel for the complainants is accepted. Complainants are allowed to withdraw the captioned complaint(s) with a liberty to file fresh complaint(s) as per law.



4. Cases are disposed of as withdrawn without getting into merits. Files be consigned to the record room after uploading of this order on the website of the Authority.

.....  
DR. GEETA RATHEE SINGH  
[MEMBER]

Rathee

