HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY PANCHKULA

Website: www.haryanarera.gov.in

COMPLAINT NO. 1602 OF 2023

Umang Khasa

....COMPLAINANT

VERSUS

M/s Omaxe Ltd.

....RESPONDENT

Date of Hearing: 20.01.2026

Hearing: 9th

Present: - None for the Complainant

Mr. Arjun Sharma, Learned Counsel for the Respondent through VC.

ORDER(DR. GEETA RATHEE SINGH- MEMBER)

1. Today is the 9th hearing. As per office record, Adv. Yogesh K. Puri was the counsel for the complainant prosecuting the captioned complaint. However, during the course of hearing dated 17.09.2024, Adv. Yogesh k. Puri, ld. counsel for complainant appeared and stated that he is withdrawing his vakalatnama in the captioned complaint and shall not be representing the complainant in future. The complainant was granted an opportunity to represent itself in person or through a counsel else the complaint shall be dismissed in default.

2. Case was then adjourned to 04.02.2025. None appeared on behalf of the complainant on said date. The case was next listed for hearing on 27.05.2025. On said date, Adv. Amrit Sandhu, appeared for complainant and stated that he would file his vakalatnama in the Authority, yet the same was not filed. Then on 09.09.2025, again none appeared on behalf of the complainant and the case was adjourned to 20.01.2026.

3. Today, i.e on 20.01.2026, Adv. Amrit Sandhu, again appeared for complainant and stated that he would file his vakalatnama in the Authority today during the course of the day. At the end of the day, the record of the registry was perused however, no vakalatnama has been filed in the name of Adv. Amrit Sandhu.

4. A bare perusal of record reveals that the complainant in this case has failed to prosecute his case through self/ counsel since more than a year, despite availing multiple opportunities. Even Adv. Amrit Sandhu has twice appeared before the Authority but has failed to file even his vakalatnama. Complainant has failed to proactively prosecute the captioned complaint. In these circumstances, it seems futile to continue the present complaint in view of serious default on the part of the complainant. Therefore, the captioned complaint is dismissed in default on account of non prosecution.

Rattree

5. **Case is disposed of**. File be consigned to record room after uploading of the order on the website of the Authority.

.....

DR. GEETA RATHEE SINGH
[MEMBER]