

BEFORE THE HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY, GURUGRAM

 Complaint no.
 :
 5084 of 2019
 5084 of 2019

1. Mr. Nimit Nanda R/o W-98, Block-W, G.K. II, New Delhi- 110048.

Complainant

Versus

M/s Sepset Properties Pvt. Ltd. Regd. office: Room No-205, Welcome Plaza, S-551, School Block – II, Shakarpur, Delhi-110092

Respondent

Member

Member

Corporate Office: 11th floor, Paras Twin Towers, Tower-B, Golf Course Road, Sector 54, Gurugram-122002, Haryana

CORAM:

Shri Samir Kumar Shri Subhash Chander Kush

APPEARANCE:

Shri Manish Yadav Shri Jasdeep S. Dhillon Advocate for the complainant Advocate for the respondent ORDER

The present complaint has been filed by the complainant/allottee under section 31 of the Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Act, 2016 (in short, the Act) read with rule 28 of the Haryana Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Rules, 2017 (in short, the Rules) for violation of section 11(4)(a) of the Act wherein it is inter alia prescribed that the promoter shall be responsible for all obligations,

Page 1 of 7



responsibilities and functions to the allottee as per the agreement for sale executed inter se them.

2. The particulars of the project, the details of sale consideration, the amount paid by the complainant, date of proposed handing over the possession, delay period, if any, have been detailed in the following tabular form:

S.No.	Heads	Information
1.	Project name and location	"Paras Dews", Sector 106, Dwarka expressway, Gurugram
2.	Project area	13.762 acres
3.	Nature of the project	Residential group housing project
4.	DTCP license no. and validity status	61 of 2012 dated 13.06.2012 valid upto 12.06.2020
5.	Name of licensee	M/s Sepset Properties Pvt. Ltd.
6.	RERA Registered/ not registered	Registered vide no. 118 of 2017 dated 28.08.2017
7.	RERA registration valid up to	31.07.2021
8.	Unit no.	02, 20 th floor, tower-D [Page 20 of complaint]
9.	Unit measuring (super area)	2275 sq. ft.
	Enhancement in area	2355 sq.ft. [page 56 of complaint]
10.	Date of execution of apartment buyer agreement	23.04.2013 [Page 17 of complaint]
11.	Payment plan	Construction linked payment plan [Page 50 of complaint]

Page **2** of **7**



12.	Total consideration of the subject unit	Rs. 1,41,54,250/- (excluding taxes)[Page 50 of complaint]
13.	Total amount paid by the complainant	Rs. 1,35,68,144/- [page 55 of complaint]
14.	Due date of delivery of possession as per clause 3.1 – 42 months + 6 months' grace period from the date of execution of agreement or date of obtaining all licenses or approvals for commencement of construction, whichever is later EC:- 06.09.2013 (annexed in complaint no. 1622/2019) [Page 29 of complaint]	(the due date has been calculated from the date of
15.	Date of offer of possession	24.01.2019 [Page 53 of the complaint]
16.	Delay in handing over possession till date of offer of possession i.e. 24.01.2019	1 year 4 months and 18 days
17.	Status of the project	OC received on 15.01.2019 (taken from similar case file i.e. 1622/2019)
18.	Occupation certificate received on	15.01.2019
19.	Specific reliefs sought	i. Direct the respondent to pay the delayed possession charges as per the prescribed rate to the complainant.

As per clause 3.1 of the builder buyer agreement dated i.e.
 23.04.2013, the possession was to be handed over within a period of 42 months from the date of execution of apartment

Page **3** of **7**



buyer agreement or date of obtaining all licenses or approvals for commencement of construction, whichever is later plus 6 months grace period. However, the date of commencement of construction has not been given by either of the parties. The environment clearance of subject project was granted on 06.09.2013. Accordingly, the due date of possession comes out to be 06.09.2017. Clause 3.1 of the builder buyer agreement is reproduced below:

"3. Possession:

- 4. The possession of the subject apartment has been offered by the respondent to the complainant on 24.01.2019. The complainant seeks delay interest as per section 18 of the Act. Hence, this complaint for the reliefs as stated above.
- 5. On the date of hearing, the Authority explained to the respondent/promoter about the contravention as alleged to have been committed in relation to section 11(4)(a) of the Act to plead guilty or not to plead guilty. Also, the respondent has failed to file any reply despite service of notice and being represented through counsel.

Page **4** of **7**



- 6. Copies of all the relevant documents have been filed and placed on the record. Their authenticity is not in dispute. Hence, the complaint can be decided on the basis of these undisputed documents and submission made by the parties.
- 7. The Authority on the basis of information, explanation, other submissions made and the documents filed by the parties is of considered view that there is no need of further hearing in the complaint.
- 8. The Authority is of the view that the Act is to protect the rights of the stakeholders i.e. the promoter, allottee and the real estate agent as provided under the Act and also to balance their interest as per its provisions. The Authority is empowered to not only monitor the projects but also to ensure their timely compliance and in case where the projects are held up or stopped to take steps so that these are completed in time and interests of allottees are protected.
- 9. On consideration of the circumstances, the evidence and other record and submissions made by the parties based on the findings of the authority regarding contravention as per provisions of rule 28(2)(a), the Authority is satisfied that the respondent is in contravention of the provisions of the Act. By virtue of clause 3.1 of the builder buyer agreement executed

Page 5 of 7



between the parties on 23.04.2013, possession of the booked unit was to be delivered within a period of 42 months plus 6 months' grace period from the date of execution of agreement date of obtaining all licenses or approvals for or commencement of construction, whichever is later. However, the date of commencement of construction has not been given by either of the parties. The environment clearance of subject project granted on 06.09.2013. Accordingly, the due date of possession comes out to be 06.09.2017. Accordingly, it is the failure of the promoter to fulfil his obligations, responsibilities as per the builder buyer agreement dated 23.04.2013 to hand over the possession within the stipulated period. Therefore, the non-compliance of the mandate contained in section 11(4)(a) of the Act on the part of the respondent is established. As such the complainant are entitled for delayed possession charges as per provisions of section 18(1) of the Act read with rule 15 of the Rules.

- 10. Hence, the Authority hereby pass this order and issue the following directions under section 34(f) of the Act:
 - The respondent is directed to pay the interest at the prescribed rate i.e. 10.20% per annum for every month of delay on the amount paid by the complainant from due date of possession i.e. 06.09.2017 till the offer of

Page 6 of 7



possession i.e. 24.01.2019 within 90 days from the date of this order;

- ii. The complainant is directed to pay outstanding dues, if any, after adjustment of interest for the delayed period.
- iii. The respondent shall not charge anything from the complainant which is not part of the builder buyer agreement.
- 11. Complaint stands disposed of.
- 12. File be consigned to registry.

(Samir Kumar)

(Subhash Chander Kush) Member

Member Member Haryana Real Estate Regulatory Authority, Gurugram

Dated: 23.01.2020

Judgment uploaded on 13.02.2020

Page 7 of 7