



BEFORE THE HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY, GURUGRAM

Complaint no.: Date of First hearing: Date of decision :		2067 of 2019 24.09.2019 23.01.2020
Mr. Sajjan Kumar R/o:- Flat no. 204, 2 nd Floor, Tov		
Orris Carnation Residency, Sect Gurugram (Haryana) – 122004.		Complainant
Versus		
M/s Orris Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd		
Office at:- ORRIS HQ, J-10/5, DL MG Road, Gurugram (Haryana)	Respondent	
CORAM Shri Samir Kumar Shri Subhash Chander Kush		Member Member

APPEARANCE

Sh. Pradeep Bhatia Ms. Charu Rustagi

Advocate for the complainant Advocate for the respondent

ORDER

1. The present complaint dated 28.05.2019 has been filed by the complainants/allottees under section 31 of the Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Act, 2016 (in short, the Act) read with rule 28 of the Haryana Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Rules, 2017 (in short, the Rules) for violation of section 11(4)(a) of the Act wherein it is inter alia prescribed that the promoter shall be responsible for all obligations, responsibilities and functions to the allottee as per the agreement for sale executed inter se them.



2. The particulars of the project, the details of sale consideration, the amount paid by the complainant, date of proposed handing over the possession, delay period, if any, have been detailed in the following tabular form:

S.No.	Heads	Details	
1.	Project name and	Carnation Residency, Sector 85,	
	location	Gurugram	
2.	Project area	25.018 acres	
3.	Nature of project	Group Housing Colony	
4.	RERA registered/ not	Not Registered	
	registered		
5.	License No. & validity	39 of 2009 dated 24.07.2009 valid	
	status 📃	up to 23.07.2019	
7.	Name of licensee	BE OFFICE AUTOMATION	
	1.31	PRODUCTS PVT LTD and 9 others	
8.	Unit no., Tower no.	204, 2 nd floor, tower 3D	
9.	Super area	1350 sq. ft.	
10.	Date of execution of	01.09.2010	
	agreement	(CDAN/	
11.	Payment plan	Construction linked payment plan	
12.	Total sale	46,07,050.50/-	
	consideration	(as per statement of accounts dated	
		09.02.2016 at pg. no. 80 of the	
		complaint.)	
13.	Total amount paid by	Rs.46,13,050/-	
	the complainant	(Pg. 75 of the complaint)	



14.	Due date of delivery	01.03.2014	
	of possession as per		
	agreement	(Note – No documents pertaining to	
	(Clause 10.1 - 36	sanction of building or	
	months plus 6 months	commencement of construction has	
	grace period from the	been annexed, so the due date of	
	date of execution of	delivery of possession has been	
	agreement or sanction	calculated from the date of	
	of building plan or	agreement)	
	commencement of		
	construction		
	whichever is later)		
15.	Offer of possession	06.10.2015	
	12	(Annexure-10, pg. 71)	
	12.57	1.5	
16.	Period of delay in	1 year 7 months 5 days	
	handing over	REGU	
	possession	DFDA	
17.	Specific relief sought	• To direct the respondent to	
	GUR	execute the maintenance	
	THEOREM TO A	agreement with the	
		complainant along with	
		appointed Maintenance	
		Agency;	
		To direct the respondent to pay	
		interest on delayed possession from	

Complaint No.2067 of 2019



the scheduled date of possession to the actual date of possession.

3. As per clause 10.1 of the space buyer agreement, the possession was to be delivered within 36 months plus grace period of 6 months from the date of execution of apartment buyer agreement by the company or sanction of plans or commencement of construction whichever is later. The due date of delivery of possession is calculated from the date of agreement i.e. 01.09.2010 which comes out to be 01.03.2014. Clause 10.1 of the buyer agreement is reproduced below:

"10. POSSESSION

10.1 Schedule for the possession of the said Apartment

"The company based on its present plans and estimates and subject to all just exceptions, contemplates to complete the construction of the said building/ said unit within the period of 36 months plus grace period of 6 months from the date of execution of the apartment buyer agreement by the company or sanction of plans or commencement of construction whichever is later unless there shall be delay or there shall be failure due to reasons mentioned in clause 11.1,11.2,11.3 and clause 38 or due to failure of allottees to pay in time the price of the said unit along with all other charges and dues in accordance with the schedule of payments given in Annexure-1 as per the demands raised by the company from time to time or any failure on the part of the allottees to abide by any terms or conditions of this space buyer agreement."



- 4. On the date of hearing, the Authority explained to the respondent/promoter about the contravention as alleged to have been committed in relation to section 11(4) (a) of the Act to plead guilty or not to plead guilty.
- 5. The respondent submitted that there was no intentional delay in the construction on the part of the respondent. Delay was due to reasons detailed in the reply which were beyond its control.
- Copies of all the relevant documents have been filed and placed on the record. Their authenticity is not in dispute. Hence, the complaint can be decided on the basis of these undisputed documents.
- 7. The Authority on the basis of information, explanation, other submissions made and the documents filed by the parties is of considered view that there is no need of further hearing in the complaint.
- 8. Arguments heard.
- 9. The Authority is of the view that the Act is to protect the rights of the stake-holders i.e. the promoter, allottee and the real estate agent as provided under the Act and also to balance their interest as per its provisions. The Authority is empowered to not only monitor the projects but also to ensure their timely compliance and in case where the projects are held up or stopped to take steps so that these are completed in time and interests of allottees are protected.



- 10. On consideration of the circumstances, the evidence and other record submissions made by the complainant and based on the findings of the authority regarding contravention as per provisions of rule 28(2)(a), the Authority is satisfied that the respondent is in contravention of the provisions of the Act. By virtue of clause 10.1 of the apartment buyers agreement executed between the parties on 01.09.2010, possession of the booked unit was to be delivered within time by 01.03.2014. Accordingly, it is the failure of the respondent/promoter to fulfil his obligations, responsibilities as per the apartment buyers agreement dated 01.09.2010 to hand over the possession within the stipulated period. Accordingly, the non-compliance of the mandate contained in section 11(4) (a) read with section 18(1) of the Act on the part of the respondents is established. As such complainants are entitled to delayed possession charges at the prescribed rate of interest i.e. @ 10.20% p.a. w.e.f. 01.03.2014 till offer of possession i.e. 06.10.2015 of the booked unit as per the proviso to section 18(1)(a) of the Act read with rules 15 of the Rules.
- 11. Hence, the Authority hereby pass the following order and issue the following directions under section 34(f) of the Act:
 - (i) The respondent is directed to pay interest at the prescribed rate of 10.20% p.a. for every month of delay from the due date of possession i.e. 01.03.2014 till the



offer of possession i.e. 06.10.2015 to the complainant within 90 days from the date of decision.

- (ii) The complainant is directed to pay outstanding dues, if any, after adjustment of interest for the delayed period.
- 12. Complaint stands disposed of.
- 13. File be consigned to registry.

(Samir Kumar) (Subhash Chander Kush) Member Member Haryana Real Estate Regulatory Authority, Gurugram Date 23.01.2020

Judgment uploaded on 13.02.2020

HARERA