

<b>PROCEEDINGS OF THE DAY</b>		<b>125</b>
Day and Date	Tuesday and 23.12.2025	
Complaint No.	MA NO. 756/2025 in CR/3151/2024 Case titled as Anil Sard VS Ramprastha Estate Private Limited & Ramprastha Developers Private Limited	
Complainant	Anil Sard	
Represented through	Shri Pankaj Chandola Advocate	
Respondent	Ramprastha Estate Private Limited & Ramprastha Developers Private Limited	
Respondent Represented	None	
Last date of hearing	10.12.2025/Application for revival	
Proceeding Recorded by	Naresh Kumari and HR Mehta	

#### **Proceedings-cum-order**

The counsel for complainant has filed the present application seeking revival of the complaint and uploading of the final order as per proceedings dated 12.08.2025 stating that the captioned complaint was listed for final hearing before the Authority on 12.08.2025 along with connected complaint Nos. 3649 of 2024, 3645 of 2024 and 3599 of 2024. On 12.08.2025, after hearing the arguments in all the connected matters, the Authority had allowed the complaints including the captioned complaint in the open court and directed that the detail orders will follow. It is pertinent to mention here that detailed orders in complaint Nos. 3649 of 2024, 3645 of 2024 and 3599 of 2024 have been uploaded on the website of the Authority, however, no order in the captioned complaint No. 3151 of 2024 has been uploaded till date. That inadvertently, it is still in order reserved and now the same has been adjourned *sine die* on account of an Office Order dated 30.09.2025 of this Authority. It is pertinent and apparent to note here that the captioned complaint No. 3151 of 2024 was already heard on 12.08.2025 along with connected complaints i.e. prior to the office order of the Ld. Authority. Therefore, the order in the captioned complaint cannot be withhold as the



HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY  
GURUGRAM

हरियाणा भू-संपदा विनियामक प्राधिकरण, गुरुग्राम  
MANO 158/2025 IN C.R. 151/2024

New PWD Rest House, Civil Lines, Gurugram, Haryana

नया पी.डब्ल्यू.डी. विश्राम घृह, सिविल लाईंस, गुरुग्राम, हरियाणा

office order passed by the Authority does not have retrospective effect. Hence, the order in the captioned complaint deserves to be uploaded in the parity with the orders passed in the connected complaint Nos. 3649 of 2024, 3645 of 2024 and 3599 of 2024.

In view of the above, the application for revival of the complaint stands rejected. File be consigned to the registry.

  
P.S. Saini  
Member

  
Arun Kumar  
Chairman  
23.12.2025