



PROCEEDINGS OF THE DAY

82

Day and Date	Monday and 24.11.2025
Complaint No.	E/146/2023 Case titled as Sandeep Kumar Singh VS ALM Infotech City Private Limited
Complainant	Sandeep Kumar Singh
Represented through	Mr. Sandeep Kumar Yadav, Advocate
Respondent	ALM Infotech City Private Limited
Respondent Represented through	Ms Himani, Advocate
Proceeding Recorded by	Ashok Kumar and Manju Bala

Proceedings

Counsel for DH contends that an amount of Rs. 1,48,60,826/- is recoverable from the JD. On the other hand, counsel for JD insists for a short date so as to bring on record payment schedule to make the payment to DH. However, counsel for DH vehemently asserts that JD has not been making the payment for long and requests for issuance of recovery certificate as per the calculations already available on record.

Heard. Office is to issue recovery certificate to the District Collector, Gurugram for recovery of the remaining decretal amount in terms of section 40 (1) of Act of 2016. The section 40(1) of the Act, 2016 is reproduced below for ready reference: -

"40(1). If the promoter or allottee or real estate agent as the case may be, fails to pay any interest or penalty or compensation as imposed on him, by the Adjudicating Officer or the Regulatory Authority, or the Appellate Authority, as the case may be, under this Act or the Act or the rules and regulation made thereunder, it shall be recoverable from such promoter or allottee or real estate agent, in such manner as may be prescribed as an arrears of land revenue."

Disposed of accordingly. Mail along with scanned recovery certificate be sent to both the parties.

File be consigned to Registry. Same be not weeded out till further orders.


P.S. Saini
Member
24.11.2025