

## HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY PANCHKULA

Website: www.haryanarera.gov.in

## **Execution No. 1879 of 2022**

<u>In</u>

## **Complaint No. 1359 of 2022**

Savita

....DECREE HOLDER

**VERSUS** 

Shree Vardhman Developers Pvt. Ltd.

.....JUDGMENT DEBTOR

Date of Hearing: 13.10.2025

Hearing: 14th

Present: None for the decree holder.

Adv. Vishwajeet Kumar, counsel for the judgment debtor through VC.

## **ORDER**: (NADIM AKHTAR-MEMBER)

1. Present case was adjourned for **05.09.2025**. However, as per the observations made by the Hon'ble High Court in CWP No. 14937 of 2024 titled *M/s Vatika Ltd. versus Union of India and others*, in its order dated 24.04.2025, it has been directed that the execution petition be placed

had

before this Hon'ble Authority. Pursuant to the said observations and

directions, the present case has been adjourned from the Hon'ble

Adjudicating Officer and is now taken up before this Hon'ble Authority

for consideration today.

2. The case was fixed for appearance of decree holder to verify the factum

of settlement. However, none appeared on behalf of the decree holder,

today.

3. Learned counsel for judgment debtor submitted that the settlement

agreement dated 26.12.2024 has already been filed on record, vide dated

23.01.2025, annexed as Annexure- A.

4. The Authority observed that these are summary proceedings and the

decree holder has not appeared, in spite of notice being served

successfully. The settlement deed placed on record is duly signed by the

decree holder, and on perusal, the signature appears to be the same with

the one available in the petition.

5. In view of the observations made above, the present execution petition is

allowed to be <u>disposed of as settled</u> being fully satisfied.

Let, the file be consigned to the record room after uploading the order on the

website of the Authority.

NADIM AKHTAR [MEMBER]

2