HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY PANCHKULA

Website: www.haryanarera.gov.in

COMPLAINT NO. (Suo-Motu) 2813 of 2022

HRERA, Panchkula ...COMPLAINANT

VERSUS

TDI Infracorp (India) Limited ....RESPONDENT

CORAM:	**Parneet S Sachdev**	**Chairman**
	Nadim Akhtar	**Member**
	Dr. Geeta Rathee Singh	**Member**

Date of Hearing: 16.07.2025
Hearing: 10th
Present: None on behalf of respondent

ORDER (PARNEET S SACHDEV - CHAIRMAN)

Present suo-motu complaint was registered against the respondent promoter for neither completing the project within the timelines declared u/s 4(2)(l)(c) at the time of seeking registration nor applying for extension of registered project namely; "The Retreat " a residential plotted colony measuring 16.19 acres situated in Sector -89 Faridabad, Village Tikawali and Riwazpur, Faridabad which was

registered vide Registration No. 39 of 2017 dated 10.08.2017 valid upto 10.08.2019.The first extension was granted upto February 2021.

2. On 11.09.2024, the respondent was burdened with additional penalty of ₹2 lac in addition to already imposed penalty of ₹5 lac as he did not furnish the information relating to the status of the project on the date of expiry of registration

3. On 20.11.2024, cost of ₹1 lac was imposed for non-appearance of Director and not complying with the orders of the Authority. Copy of order was sent to the respondent on 14.01.2025 which was successfully delivered on 18.01.2025.

5. On 29.01.2025, a show cause notice was issued as to why the registration granted may not be revoked under Section 7(1) of the Act for noncompliance of the provisions of the Act.

6. On 09.04.2025, the Authority observed that neither any reply has been received nor penalty of ₹ 7 lacs deposited. The promoter has also not deposited the cost of ₹1 lac for non-appearance of the Managing Director. The promoter was granted last opportunity to show cause as to why registration of the project may not be revoked under Section-7(1) of the RERA Act, 2016.

7. Today, neither anyone appeared nor has any reply has been filed. Since, no reply to the show cause has been filed by the promoter, the Authority grants one last opportunity to comply with the orders of the Authority failing which proceedings to revoke the registration shall be initiated on the next date of hearing.

Authority also directs the promoter to deposit penalty of ₹7 lacs alongwith ₹1 lac cost imposed vide orders dated 20.11.2024.

The Office informed that orders of the Authority were not sent to the promoter, therefore, office is again directed to send a copy of this order via registered post and e-mail too.

8. **Adjourned to 29.10.2025.**

.....	.....	.....
Dr. Geeta Rathee Singh	**Nadim Akhtar**	**Parneet S Sachdev**
Member	**Member**	**Chairman**