



# HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY PANCHKULA

Website: www.haryanarera.gov.in

COMPLAINT NO. 73 OF 2025

Nidhi Varshney

....COMPLAINANT

VERSUS

1. Raheja Developers Pvt. Ltd
2. Naveen M Raheja
3. Sangeeta Kumar
4. Mohit Mehta

....RESPONDENTS

Date of Hearing: 11.11.2025

Hearing: 3rd

Present: - Ms. Medha Varshney, Learned counsel for the Complainant through VC.  
Adv Manika, Learned Counsel for respondent no.1 through VC .  
None for respondent no. 2, 3 and 4.

## ORDER(DR. GEETA RATHEE SINGH- MEMBER)

1. Captioned complaint was listed for hearing on 27.10.2025. However, due to the re-constitution of benches, complaint is taken up today for hearing
2. Today, none is present on behalf of any of the parties.
3. Adv. Manika, appeared on behalf of the respondent and submitted that insolvency proceedings qua the respondent company i.e Raheja Developers Ltd. have been initiated before the National Company Law Tribunal vide

*Geeta Rathee*

order dated 21.08.2025 passed in C.P No. 284 of 2025 titled “ Shravan Minocha and ors Vs Raheja Developers Ltd.”. As per order Mr. Brijesh Singh Bhadauriya has been appointed as an Interim Resolution Professional (IRP) for initiation of CIRP against the judgement debtor in present petition and moratorium in terms of Section 14 of the Code has also been declared vide said order. Relevant para of said order are reproduced below for reference:

*“ 20.The applicant in Part-III of the application has proposed the name of Mr. Brijesh Singh Bhadauriya as Interim Resolution Professional, having Registration Number - IBBI/IPA-002/N01045/2020-2021/13385 having email id: bsb@bsbandassociates.in. Accordingly, Mr. Brijesh Singh Bhadauriya is appointed as an Interim Resolution Professional (IRP) for initiation of CIRP for Corporate Debtor. The consent of the proposed interim resolution profession in Form-2 is taken on record. The IRP so appointed shall file a valid AFA and disclosure about non-initiation of any disciplinary proceedings against him, within three (3) days of pronouncement of this order.*

*21.We also declare moratorium in terms of Section 14 of the Code. The necessary consequences of imposing the moratorium flows from the provisions of Section 14 (1) (a), (b), (c) & (d) of the Code.*

22.....

23.....

24.....

25....

26....

27....

28.....



*29. We further clarify that since the Corporate Debtor's project "Raheja Shilas (Low Rise)" is already undergoing CIRP pursuant to admission in separate proceedings, the present application, upon being allowed, shall result in initiation of CIRP against the Corporate Debtor in respect of all its projects, excluding the said project "Raheja Shilas (Low Rise)". Accordingly, all directions issued by this Adjudicating Authority in the present matter shall be confined to the Corporate Debtor as a whole, save and except the project "Raheja Shilas (Low Rise)"*

4. In view of the moratorium, learned counsel for the complainant was enquired whether the complainant wishes to continue the present complaint or wish to file a claim before the National Company Law Tribunal. Learned counsel for the complainant submitted since moratorium is in force, the complainant wishes to file a claim before the National Company Law Tribunal. She prayed that she may be allowed to withdraw the present complaint with a liberty to file a fresh complaint for any remaining claim/dispute.
5. Request of the learned counsel for the complainant is accepted. Complainant is allowed to withdraw the present complaint with a liberty to file fresh complaint as per law.



6. Case is **disposed of** as withdrawn without getting into merits. File be  
consigned to record room after uploading of this order on the website of the  
Authority.

*Geeta Rathee*

.....  
**DR. GEETA RATHEE SINGH**  
**[MEMBER]**

