

HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY PANCHKULA

Website: www.haryanarera.gov.in

COMPLAINT NO. 1022 OF 2025

Lalitend Pradhan

....COMPLAINANT

VERSUS

Raheja Developers Ltd.

....RESPONDENT

Date of Hearing: 04.11.2025

Hearing:

1st

Present: -

Adv. Anshul Yadav, Learned Counsel for complainant

through VC

None for the Respondent

ORDER(DR. GEETA RATHEE SINGH- MEMBER)

- 1. As per office record, notice dated 05.08.2025 issued to the respondent for filing reply got successfully delivered on 07.08.2025.
- 2. Today, Adv. Sanjana Yadav appeared on behalf of respondent and submitted that insolvency proceedings qua the respondent company i.e Raheja Developers Ltd. have been initiated before the National Company Law Tribunal vide order dated 21.08.2025 passed in C.P No. 284 of 2025 titled "Shravan Minocha and ors Vs Raheja Developers Ltd.". As per order Mr. Brijesh Singh Bhadauriya has been appointed as an Interim Resolution Professional (IRP) for initiation of CIRP against the judgement debtor in

Page 1 of 3

present petition and moratorium in terms of Section 14 of the Code has also been declared vide said order. Relevant para of said order are reproduced below for reference:

> " 20. The applicant in Part-III of the application has proposed the name of Mr. Brijesh Singh Bhadauriya as Interim Resolution Professional, having Registration Number IBBI/IPA-002/N01045/2020-2021/13385 having email id: bsb@bsbandassociates.in. Accordingly, Mr. Brijesh Singh Bhadauriya is appointed as an Interim Resolution Professional (IRP) for initiation of CIRP for Corporate Debtor. The consent of the proposed interim resolution profession in Form-2 is taken on record. The IRP so appointed shall file a valid AFA and disclosure about non-initiation of any disciplinary proceedings against him, within three (3) days of pronouncement of this order.

21. We also declare moratorium in terms of Section 14 of the Code. The necessary consequences of imposing the moratorium flows from the provisions of Section 14 (1) (a), (b), (c) & (d) of the Code.

22.....

23.....

24.....

25....

26....

27....

28.....

29.We further clarify that since the Corporate Debtor's project "Raheja Shilas (Low Rise)" is already undergoing CIRP pursuant to admission in separate proceedings, the present application, upon being allowed, shall result in initiation of CIRP against the Corporate Debtor in respect of all its projects, excluding the said project "Raheja Shilas (Low Rise)". Accordingly, all directions issued by this Adjudicating Authority in the present matter shall be confined to the Corporate Debtor as a whole, save and except the project "Raheja Shilas (Low Rise)"

Page 2 of 3

Complaint No. 1022 of 2025

Upon perusal of record it is revealed that no vakalatnama has been placed on

record in the name of Adv Sanjana Yadav on behalf of the answering

respondent. Hence, the presence of Adv Sanjana Yadav is not being marked.

3. In view of the moratorium, learned counsel for the complainant was enquired

whether the complainant wishes to continue the present complaint or wish to

file a claim before the National Company Law Tribunal. Learned counsel for

the complainant submitted that since moratorium is in force, the complainant

will file a claim before the National Company Law Tribunal. He prayed that

he may be allowed to withdraw the present complaint with a liberty to file a

fresh complaint for any remaining claim/dispute.

4. Request of the learned counsel for the complainant is accepted. Complainant

is allowed to withdraw the present complaint with a liberty to file fresh

complaint as per law.

5. Case is disposed of as withdrawn without getting into merits. File be

consigned to record room after uploading of this order on the website of the

Authority.

DR. GEETA RATHEE SINGH [MEMBER]

Page 3 of 3