



## BEFORE THE HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY **AUTHORITY, GURUGRAM**

Complaint no.

1372 of 2019

First date of hearing:

17.12.2019

Date of decision

17.12.2019

Mr. Subhash Sahay And Ms. Madhur Sahay R/o: 1604 Tower 5 The Palms South City I Gurugram

Complainants

Versus

M/s Ansal Phalak Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd., Address: 206, B Wing, 2nd Floor, Naurang House, 21, Kasturba Gandhi Marg, New Delhi: 1110001.

Respondent

CORAM:

Shri Samir Kumar Shri Subhash Chander Kush

Member Member

APPEARANCE:

Shri Sanjeev Sharma Ms. Charu Sharma with AR for the respondent Siddharth Yadav advocate

Advocate for the complainant Advocate for the respondent

## ORDER


A complaint dated 11.04.2019 was filed under section 31 of 1. the Real Estate (Regulation and Development Act, 2016 read with rule 28 of the Haryana Real Estate (Regulation and



Development) Rules, 2017 (in short, the rules) by the complainants Mr. Subhash Sahay And Ms. Madhur Sahay against the promoter M/s Ansal Phalak Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd., on account of violation of clause 5.1 of the floor buyer agreement executed on 25.09.2015, in respect of apartment/unit bearing no. FF3032 with area measuring 1855 sq. ft. in the project "Versalia" at Sector 67-A, Gurugram for not handing over the possession by the due date which is an obligation on the promoter under section 11(4)(a) of the Act.

## 2. The particulars of the complaint are as under: -

| 1. | Name and location of the project | Versalia, Sector 67-A,<br>Gurugram                       |
|----|----------------------------------|--|
| 2. | Nature of project                | Integrated residential colony                            |
| 3. | Registered/Unregistered          | Registered 154 of 2017 dated 28.08.2017                  |
| 4. | RERA registration valid up to    | 31.08.2020   |
| 5. | DTCP license no.                 | 81 of 2013 dated<br>19.09.2013 valid up to<br>19.09.2019 |
| 6. | Date of agreement                | 25.09.2015   |
| 7. | Unit no.                         | FF3032   |
| 8. | Area of unit                     | 1855 sq. ft.   |





| 9.  | Total consideration as per payment plan annexure 2 of the complaint and MOU at page no. 43 of the complaint                                 | Rs. 14,862,623.4/-  |
|-----|---|---|
| 10. | Total amount paid by the complainant as per the MOU at page no. 43 of the complaint and as per the averments of the complainant             | Rs. 48,09,813/-   |
| 11. | Due date of possession as per clause 5.1 of the agreement: Within 36 months + grace period of 6 months from date of execution of agreement. | 25.03.2019  (Note: Possession not offered so far)   |
| 12. | Reliefs Sought  | <ol> <li>To direct the respondent to pay delay possession charges to the complainant.</li> <li>To direct the respondent company to handover possession of the apartment.</li> </ol> |

3. The details provided above have been checked on the basis of the records available in the case file which have been provided by the complainant and the respondent. A floor buyer agreement dated 25.09.2015 is available on record for the aforementioned apartment according to which the possession of the aforesaid unit was to be delivered by 25.03.2019. The



respondent has failed to fulfil its contractual obligation by neither delivering the possession within the stipulated period nor paying the compensation as per the terms of the agreement dated 25.09.2015. Hence this complaint.

- 4. The respondent has utterly failed in fulfilling their obligation of delivering the unit as per the floor buyer agreement and failed to offer the possession in terms of section 18 of the Act read with the Rules. Hence, this complaint for the aforementioned relief.
- 5. On the date of hearing the Authority explained to the respondent/promoter about the contravention as alleged to have been committed in relation to section 11(4)(a) of the Act to plead guilty or not to plead guilty.
- 6. Copies of all the relevant documents have been filed and placed on the record, authenticity of which is not in dispute.

  Hence, the complaint can be decided on the basis of these undisputed documents.
- 7. The Authority on the basis of information, explanation, other submissions made and the documents filed by both the parties



is of considered view that there is no need of further hearing in the complaint.

Arguments heard.

- As per clause 5.1. of the floor buyer agreement dated 25.09.2015 executed between the parties, the respondent was duty bound to deliver the possession of the allotted unit to the complainant within a period of 36 months plus six months as grace period which comes out to be 25.03.2019.
- The Act is to protect the rights of the stake-holders i.e. the promoter, allottee and the real estate agent as provided under the Act and also to balance their interest as per its provisions.

  The Authority is empowered to not only monitor the projects but also to ensure their timely compliance and in case where the projects are held up or stopped to take steps so that these are completed in time and interests of allottees are protected.
- On consideration of the circumstances, the evidence and other record and submissions made by both the complainants and respondent and based on the findings of the authority, the Authority is satisfied that the respondents are in contravention of the provisions of the Act. By virtue of clause




5.1 of the floor buyer agreement executed between the parties on 25.09.2015, possession of the booked unit was to be delivered within a period of 36 months with 6 months' grace period from the date of execution of the agreement. Therefore, the due date of handing over possession comes out to be 25.03.2019(calculated from the date of execution of the agreement). Accordingly, it is the failure of the promoters to fulfil their obligations, responsibilities as per the floor buyer agreement dated 25.09.2015 to hand over the possession within the stipulated period. Accordingly, the non-compliance of the mandate contained in section 11(4)(a) of the Act on the part of the respondents is established.

- As such the complainants are entitled for delayed possession charges w.e.f. 25.03.2019 till the date of offer of possession at the prescribed rate of interest i.e. 10.20.% p.a. as per provisions of section 18(1) of the Act read with rule 15 of the Rules.
- 8. The authority, exercising powers vested in it under section 37 of the Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Act, 2016 hereby issues the following directions to the respondent:



- i. The respondent is directed to pay delayed possession charges at prescribed rate of interest i.e. 10.20% per annum w.e.f. 25.03.2019 till the date of offer of possession as per the provisions of section 18(1) of the Real Estate (Regulation & Development) Act, 2016 read with rule 15 of the rules.
- ii. The arrears of interest accrued so far shall be paid to the complainant within 90 days from the date of this order and thereafter monthly payment of interest till offer of possession shall be paid before 10th of each subsequent month.
- iii. Interest on the due payments from the complainant shall be charged at the prescribed rate of interest i.e. 10.20% p.a by the promoter which is same as is being granted to the complainant in case of delayed possession.
- iv. Complainant is directed to pay outstanding dues, if any, after adjustment of interest for the delayed period.
- v. The promoter shall not charge anything from the complainant which is not part of the floor buyer agreement.





- 9. Complaint stands disposed off
- 10. Case file be consigned to the registry.

(Samir Kumar) Member

(Subhash Chander Kush) Member

Haryana Real Estate Regulatory Authority, Gurugram

Date: 17.12.2019

Judgement uploaded on 29.01.2020

