HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY PANCHKULA

Website: www.haryanarera.gov.in

COMPLAINT NO. 1940 of 2022

HRERA, Panchkula ...COMPLAINANT

VERSUS

Gold Souk Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. ....RESPONDENT

CORAM:	**Nadim Akhtar**	**Member**
	Dr. Geeta Rathee Singh	**Member**
	Chander Shekhar	**Member**

Date of Hearing: 03.09.2025

Hearing: 12th

Present: Adv. Anjanpreet Singh proxy for Adv. Shubhnit Hans for the respondent.

ORDER (Nadim Akhtar-Member)

This suo-motu complaint was registered against the respondent for not uploading quarterly progress reports of an Affordable Residential Plotted Colony registered vide Registration No. HRERA-PKIL-373-2017 dated 28.17.2017 valid upto 10.09.2021.

2. The matter was considered on 23.10.2024, wherein the counsel appearing on behalf of respondent requested to keep this matter along with another Suo Motu Complaint No. 1681-2022 against the same respondent so that Director could appear in both the cases on the same day. QPRs have still not been filed. On the request of counsel, the matter was adjourned with a direction to in Complaint No. 1940 of 2022 Managing Director/One of the Director to appear personally before the Authority on 08.01.2025. It was further made clear that penalty of ₹25,000/- per day be continued till the date quarterly progress reports are filed by respondent.

3. On the last date of hearing i.e., 08.01.2025, the Authority imposed a cost of ₹1 lac on the respondent for non-appearance of the Director.

4. On the last date of hearing i.e., 05.03.2025, QPRs of the project had not been filed by the respondent and Adv. Shubhnit Hans informed that he will not be able to assist the Authority since there are no instructions from the client, the Authority therefore directed the promoter to show cause as to why registration granted may not be revoked as per provisions of Section 7 of the Act. The respondent should file online QPRs and also deposit the penalties before the next date of hearing. The cumulative penalty till today amounts to ₹104.19 Lakhs which should be deposited in the registry of the Authority before the next date of hearing. Further, the penalty of ₹25,000/- per day shall continue till the date quarterly progress reports are filed by the promoter.

5. On the last date of hearing 14.05.2025, Adv. Anjanpreet Singh appeared on behalf of respondent and submitted that vide order dated 24.03.2025 passed by the Hon'ble NCLT, Mumbai Bench, a moratorium under Section 14 of the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code,2016 has been imposed. Further, he requested to adjourn the matter.

6. On request of counsel, matter adjourned to 03.09.2025. The counsel is directed to submit the latest status of the case one week before the next date of hearing.

7. Today, Adv. Anjanpreet Singh appeared on behalf of respondent and submitted that he has not received any instructions from the respondent regarding the latest status of moratorium under Section 14 of the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code,2016 before Hon'ble NCLT, Mumbai Bench. Therefore, the promoter is directed to show cause under Section 7 of the RERA Act, 2016 as to why the registration granted should not be revoked. The reply to above, be submitted at least one week before the next date of hearing.

8. Adjourned to 03.12.2025

Chander Shekhar Member	Dr. Geeta Rathee Singh Member	Nadim Akhtar Member