

HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY PANCHKULA

Website: www.haryanarera.gov.in

COMPLAINT NO. 975 OF 2023

Bimla Hooda

....COMPLAINANT

VERSUS

Ruhil Promoter Pvt. Ltd.

....RESPONDENT

Date of Hearing: 09.09.2025

Hearing:

8th

Present: -

Mr. Dixit Garg, Learned Counsel for the Complainant

through VC

Mr. Kamal Dahiya, Learned Counsel for the

Respondent through VC.

ORDER(DR. GEETA RATHEE SINGH- MEMBER)

- 1. Captioned complaint was listed for hearing on 26.08.2025. However, due to the re-constitution of benches, complaint is taken up today for hearing.
- 2. During the course of hearing dated 23.07.2024, learned counsel for the respondent had apprised the Authority that complainant in the captioned complaint has sold the unit in question to third party during pendency of the present complaint and has concealed this fact from the Authority.

Accordingly, Authority vide said order dated 23.07.2024 had directed the complainant to provide clarity with respect to the current status of the unit.

- 3. Thereafter, the complaint case was listed for hearing on 19.11.2024 and 25.03.2025, however, the complainant failed to comply with the directions issued by the Authority. On last hearing dated 25.03.2025, complainant was granted last opportunity to file an affidavit to the effect whether subject matter unit has been sold by complainant during pending of this complaint or not. No such affidavit has yet been filed.
- 4. Today, Mr. Dixit Garg, learned counsel for the complainant submitted that needs further time to comply with the directions of the Authority. In this regard it is observed that despite availing multiple opportunities, the complainant has failed to actively pursue the present complaint and to file relevant information about the current status of the unit in question for better assistance of the Authority. Even today, learned counsel for the complainant is seeking further adjournment to provide the same. This is a Authority of summary proceedings, ample opportunity has already been granted to the complainant for adjudication of complaint. Now, the Authority cannot proceed with the captioned complaint for lack of necessary information. Therefore, the captioned complaint is being disposed of without getting into merits, with a liberty to the complainant to file fresh complaint with better particulars.

5. Files be consigned to record room after uploading of the order on the website.

DR. GEETA RATHEE SINGH [MEMBER]