

HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY PANCHKULA

Website: www.haryanarera.gov.in

COMPLAINT NO. 1854 OF 2024

1. Vikas Singh

....COMPLAINANT(S)

2. Pooja

VERSUS

CHD Developers Ltd

....RESPONDENT

CORAM:

Dr. Geeta Rathee Singh

Member

Chander Shekhar

Member

Date of Hearing: 01.07.2025

Hearing:

3rd

Present:

None for Complainant

None for respondent.

ORDER:

1. Notice dated 29.11.2024 issued to the respondent for filing reply was returned undelivered on 05.12.2024. On hearing dated 28.01.2025, learned counsel for complainants had requested for service of dasti notice upon respondent. Learned counsel was directed to collect dasti notice from the office of the Authority. However, complainant failed to collect dasti notice

Page 1 of 3

Latine

from the office of the Authority. Thereafter, on last hearing dated 13.05.2025, complainant was granted last opportunity to collect dasti notice from the office of the Authority for service upon the respondent failing which the case would be dismissed in default on next date of hearing.

- 2. Today no one has appeared on behalf of the complainant. As per office record dasti notice has not been collected from the office of the Authority in the captioned complaint. It is observed that despite availing multiple opportunities, the complainants have failed to actively pursue the present complaint.
- 3. Also, respondent promoter in a separate complaint has intimated to the Authority that Corporate Insolvency Resolution Process had been initiated against the respondent company and moratorium has been declared on an application bearing no. CP (IB) No. 1775 (PB)/ 2018 titled as "Mr. Shailendra Kumar Aggarwal v. M/s CHD Developers Lid. and also another connected matter in CP-1081/PB/2020 Rajeev Kumar & Others v. CHD Developers Ltd., filed against the respondent company under section 7 of IBC, 2016.
- 4. Under section 14 of the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016 moratorium has been declared against the respondent company and a statutory bar has been imposed upon the present proceedings. Further, the complainants themselves have failed to appear before Authority since

Page 2 of 3

two hearings and failed to comply with the directions, therefore,

Authority deems fit to dismiss the present complaint for non prosecution

with a liberty to file fresh complaint as per law.

5. Case is <u>disposed of</u>. Files be consigned to record room after uploading order on the website of the Authority

CHANDER SHEKHAR [MEMBER]

DR. GEETA RATHEE SINGH [MEMBER]