

BEFORE THE HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY, GURUGRAM

Date of Order: 14.05.2025

Name of the Builder Project Name		M/s Signature Global (India) Private Limited			
		The Millennia at sector 37D, Gurgaon, Haryana			
Sr. No.	Case No.	Case title	Appearance		
1.	CR/1642/2024	Gaurav Monga Vs. M/s Signature Global (India) Pvt. Ltd.	Mr. Akash Godhvani (Advocate) Mr. Mintu Kumar (AR)		
2.	CR/733/2024	Vaibhav Luthra Vs. M/s Signature Global (India) Pvt. Ltd.	Mr. Akash Godhvani (Advocate) Mr. Mintu Kumar (AR)		
3.			Mr. Akash Godhvani (Advocate) Mr. Mintu Kumar (AR)		
4.	CR/821/2024	Sonali Jain Vs. M/s Signature Global (India) Pvt. Ltd.	Mr. Akash Godhvani (Advocate) Mr. Mintu Kumar (AR)		
5.	CR/831/2024	Suresh Kumar Vs. M/s Signature Global (India) Pvt. Ltd.	Mr. Akash Godhvani (Advocate) Mr. Mintu Kumar (AR)		
6.	CR/829/2024 Manjit Singh Vs. M/s Signature Global (India) Pvt. Ltd.		Mr. Saurabh Sachdeva (Advocate) Mr. Mintu Kumar (AR)		
7.	CR/1653/2024	Kiran Sharma Vs. M/s Signature Global (India) Pvt. Ltd.	Mr. Akash Godhvani (Advocate) Mr. Mintu Kumar (AR)		

Page 1 of 28



8.	CR/2105/2024	Shwetamber Priyadarshni Vs.	Mr. Akash Godhvani (Advocate)
		M/s Signature Global (India) Pvt. Ltd.	Mr. Mintu Kumar (AR)
9.	CR/2391/2024	Pushpa Golait and Vicky Keshav Golait Vs.	Ms. Kaveri Kaushik (Advocate)
		M/s Signature Global (India) Pvt. Ltd.	Mr. Mintu Kumar (AR)
10.	CR/2443/2024	Beena Choudhary Vs.	Ms. Kaveri Kaushik (Advocate)
		M/s Signature Global (India) Pvt. Ltd.	Mr. Mintu Kumar (AR)

CORAM:

Shri Ashok Sangwan

Member

ORDER

- 1. This order shall dispose of the aforesaid complaints titled above filed before this authority under Section 31 of the Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Act, 2016 (hereinafter referred as "the Act") read with Rule 28 of the Haryana Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Rules, 2017 (hereinafter referred as "the rules") for violation of Section 11(4)(a) of the Act wherein it is inter alia prescribed that the promoter shall be responsible for all its obligations, responsibilities and functions to the allottees as per the agreement for sale executed inter se between parties.
- 2. The core issues emanating from them are similar in nature and the complainant(s) in the above referred matters are allottees of the project, namely, "The Millennia" situated at Sector-37 D, Gurugram being developed by the same respondent/promoter i.e., "M/s Signature Global (India) Private Limited." The terms and conditions of the buyer's agreements and fulcrum of the issue involved in all these cases pertains to failure on the part of the promoter to deliver timely possession of the units in question seeking delay possession charges.



3. The details of the complaints, reply status, unit no., date of agreement, possession clause, due date of possession, total sale consideration, total paid amount, and relief sought are given in the table below:

Project Name and Location	"The Millennia" at sector 37D, Gurugram, Haryana		
Project area	9.7015625 acres		
DTCP License No. and validity	04 of 2017 dated 02.02.2017 Valid up to 01.02.2022		
HRERA Registered	Registered 03 of 2017 dated 20.06.2017		
Date of approval of building plans	08.06.2017		
Date of environment clearance	(taken from CR/5581/2023 of same project) 21.08.2017 (taken from CR/5581/2023 of same project)		
Possession Clause	5. Possession		
HAI	"5.1 Within 60 (sixty) days from the date of issuance of Occupation Certificate, the Developer shall offer the possession of the Said Flat to the Allottee(s). Subject to force majeure circumstances, receipt of Occupation Certificate and Allottee(s) having timely complied with all its obligations, formalities or documentation, as prescribed by the Developer in terms of the Agreement and not being in default under any part hereof including but not limited to the timely payment of instalments as per the Payment Plan, stamp duty and registration charges, the Developer shall offer possession of the Said Flat to the Allottee(s) within a period of 4 (four) years from the date of approval of building plans or grant of environment clearance, (hereinafter referred to as the "Commencement Date"), whichever is later."		
Due date of possession	(Emphasis supplied) 21.02.2022 (21.08.2021 + 6 months)		
	(Calculated from the date of environment clearance being later including grace period of 6 months in lieu of COVID-19)		
ccupation certificate	25.01.2023		

Page 3 of 28



Sr. No.	Complaint No., Case Title, and Date of filing of complaint	Unit no. & size	Date of execution of BBA	Total Sale Consideration / Total Amount paid by the complainant	Offer of possession (OOP) / Possession Certificate (PC)/ Conveyance Deed (CD)
1.	CR/1642/2024 Gaurav Monga Vs.	10-407, Tower-10, 4 th floor Carpet area	26.04.2018 (As per stamp paper annexed to BBA at page 29 of	TSC- Rs.24,24,330/- (as per BBA page 3B of complaint)	OOP - 23.03.2023 (page 193 of reply)
	Signature Global (India) Pvt. Ltd.	-596.126 sq. ft. Balcony	complaint)	AP-Rs.27,07,085/- (SOA dated 07.05.2025 at page 2 of application filed by respondent on	PC - 07.09.2023 (page 66 of complaint)
	DOF: 08.05.2024 Reply: 21.08.2024	area - 79.653 sq. ft. (page 27 of complaint)	9 B) 9	07.05.2025)	CD- 29.06.2023 (page 196 of reply)
2.	CR/733/2024	7-906, Tower-07, 9 ^{ct} floor	25.04.2018 (page 29 of complaint)	TSC- Rs.23,83,549/- (as per BBA page 38 of complaint)	OOP - 28.03.2023 (page 197 of reply)
	Vaibhav Luthra Vs. Signature Global (India) Pvt. Ltd.	Carpet area- 585.944 sq. ft. Balcony	trank (AP-Rs.26,73,377/- (SOA dated 07.05.2025 at page 2 of application filed by respondent on	PC - 12.02.2024 (Placed on record by respondent by way of e- mail)
	DOF: 11.03.2024 Reply: 01.07.2024	area- 79.545 sq. ft. (page 27 of complaint)		07.05.2025)	CD- 19.10.2023 (page 201 of reply)
3.	CR/798/2024 Amit Virmani and	3-1105, Tower-3, 11# floor	16.02.2018 (page 31 of complaint)	TSC-Rs.22,49,267/- (as per BBA page 39 of complaint)	OOP - 15.02.2023 (page 194 of reply)
	Himanshu Virmani Vs. Signature Global (India) Pvt. Ltd.	Carpet area -552 360 sq ft. Balcony	RE	AP-Rs.25,31,212/- (SOA dated 07.05.2025 at page 2 of application filed by respondent on 07.05.2025)	PC - 21.07.2023 (Placed on record by respondent by way of e- mail)
	DOF: 11.03.2024 Reply: 01.07.2024	area - 79.653 sq. ft. (page 28 of complaint)	UGI	(Variation 1	CD- 16.05.2023 (page 198 of reply)
l.	CR/821/2024 Sonali Jain	2-406, Tower-02, ^{ath} floor	04.12.2017 (page 30 of complaint)	TSC- Rs.23,83,549/- (as per BBA page 39 of complaint)	OOP - 15.02.2023 (page 194 of reply)
	Vs, Signature Global (India) Pvt. Ltd.	Carpet area -585.944 sq. ft.		AP- Rs.27,07,593/- (SOA dated 07.05.2025 at page 2 of application	PC - 12.06.2023 (Placed on record by respondent by way of e- mail)

Page 4 of 28



	DOF: 11.03.2024 Reply: 01.07.2024	Balcony area - 79.545 sq. ft. (page 27 of complaint)		filed by respondent on 07.05.2025)	CD- 27.04.2023 (page 198 of reply)
5.	CR/831/2024 Suresh Kumar Vs	6-117, Tower-06 Carpet area	13.11.2017 (page 30 of complaint)	TSC- Rs.24,24,330/- (as per BBA page 39 of complaint)	00P - 01.02.2023 (page 194 of reply)
	Signature Global (India) Pvt. Ltd.		- ET	AP-Rs.27,14,785/- (SOA dated 07.05.2025 at page 2 of application filed by respondent on 07.05.2025)	PC - 15.10.2023 (page 67 of complaint)
	DOF: 11.03.2024 Reply: 01.07.2024	complaint)			CD- 02.05.2023 (page 196 of reply)
6.	CR/829/2024 Manjit Singh	2003, Tower- 11, 20 th floor	15.11.2017 (page 30 of complaint)	TSC- Rs.23,83,548/- (as per BBA page 39 of complaint)	OOP - 28.03.2023 (Placed on record by respondent by way of e- mail)
	Vs. Signature Global (India) Pvt. Ltd. DOF: 11.03.2024 Reply: 01.07.2024	Carpet area -585.944 sq. ft. Balcony area - 79.545 sq. ft. (page 27 of complaint)	theater and	AP-Rs.26,74,696/- (SOA dated 07.05.2025 at page 2 of application filed by respondent on 07.05.2025)	PC - 01.12.2023 (page 72 of complaint) CD- 18.09.2023 (Placed on record by respondent by way of e-
7.	CR/1653/2024 Kiran Sharma	10-1907, Tower-10, 19th floor	27.06.2019 (As per stamp paper annexed to BBA at page	TSC- Rs.24,24,331/- (as per BBA page 38 of complaint)	mail) OOP - 18.03.2023 (page 193 of reply)
	Vs. Signature Global (India) Pvt. Ltd.	Carpet area -596.126 sq. ft. Balcony area - 79.653	29 of complaint)	AP: Rs.27,00,543/- (SOA dated 07.05.2025 at page 2 of application filed by respondent on 07.05.2025)	PC - 16.06.2023 (page 216 of reply) CD- 16.06.2023
	DOF: 08.05.2024 Reply: 21.08.2024	sq. ft. (page 28 of complaint)			(page 198 of reply)
8.	CR/2105/2024 Swetamber	8-604, Tower-8, 6 th floor	05.02.2018 (page 31 of complaint)	TSC- Rs.22,49,267/- (as per BBA page 40 of complaint)	OOP - 23.03.2023 (page 193 of reply)
	Priyadarshni Vs. Signature Global (India) Pvt. Ltd.	Carpet area -552.360 sq. ft.		AP- Rs.25,31,213/- (SOA dated 07.05.2025 at page 2 of application	PC - 16.09.2023 (page 66 of complaint)

Page 5 of 28

N



CR/2391/2024	(page 28 of complaint)			(page 198 of reply)
Pushpa Golait and	11-104, Tower-11, 1# floor	25.01.2018 (page 28 of complaint)	TSC- Rs.22,49,267/- (Conveyance deed dated 08.06.2023 at page no. 193 of reply)	OOP - 28.03.2023 (page 190 of reply)
Vicky Kesnav Golait Vs. Signature Global (India) Pvt. Ltd.	Vs. Signature Global		AP- Rs.22,49,267/- (Conveyance deed dated 08.06.2023 at page no. 200 of reply)	PC - 19.02.2024 (page 214 of reply)
DOF: 27.05.2024 Reply: 11.09.2024	sq. ft. (page 29 of complaint)			CD- 02.11.2023 (page 193 of reply)
CR/2443/2024 Beena Choudbary	2-904, Tower-2, 9th floor	26.11.2017 (page 28 of complaint)	TSC- Rs.22,49,267/- (Conveyance deed dated 08.06.2023 at page no. 193 of reply)	OOP - 23.03.2023 (page 193 of reply)
Vs. Signature Global (India) Pvt. Ltd.	Carpet area -552.360 sq. ft. Balcony	तत्वमेग प्रायत	AP- Rs.22,49,267/- [Conveyance deed dated 08.06.2023 at page no.	PC - 16.08.2023 (page 66 of complaint)
DOF: 28.05.2024 Reply: 11.09.2024	area - 79.653 sq. ft (page 30 of complaint)		200 of reply)	CD- 16.06.2023 (page 198 of reply)
rect the respondent to rect the respondent to rect the respondent riod of 5 years.	o pay delay p o refund the s not to charge	ossession ch skyful mainte e the amoun	arges till actual deliv enance charges of Rs. It of skyful mainten	ery of possession. 28,956 / ance charges for a
In the table referred vs:	above certain	abbreviation	s have been used. The	y are elaborated as
Date of fili Total sale Amount pa Offer of Po	consideration aid by the allot ossession			
	Vicky Keshav Golait Vs. Signature Global (India) Pvt. Ltd. DOF: 27.05.2024 Reply: 11.09.2024 CR/2443/2024 Beena Choudhary Vs. Signature Global (India) Pvt. Ltd. DOF: 28.05.2024 Reply: 11.09.2024 complainants in the rect the respondent to rect the respo	Vicky Keshav Golait Vs. Signature Global (India) Pvt. Ltd. DOF: 27.05.2024 Reply: 11.09.2024 CR/2443/2024 CR/2443/2024 CR/2443/2024 CR/2443/2024 CR/2443/2024 CR/2443/2024 CR/2443/2024 CR/2443/2024 CR/2443/2024 CR/2443/2024 CR/2443/2024 CR/2443/2024 CR/2443/2024 CR/2443/2024 CR/2443/2024 Carpet area -552.360 sq. ft. Balcony area - 79.653 sq. ft. (page 30 of complaint) complainants in the above comp rect the respondent to refund the s rect the respondent to refund the s refund to refund the s re	Vicky Keshav Golait Vs. Signature Global (India) Pvt. Ltd.Carpet area -552.360 sq. ft. Balcony area - 79.653 sq. ft. (page 29 of complaint)DOF: 27.05.2024 Reply: 11.09.20242-904, complaint)26.11.2017 (page 28 of complaint)DOF: 27.05.2024 Reply: 11.09.20242-904, complaint)26.11.2017 (page 28 of complaint)Beena Choudhary Vs. Signature Global (India) Pvt. Ltd.26.11.2017 (page 28 of complaint)DOF: 28.05.2024 Reply: 11.09.2024Carpet area -552.360 sq. ft.DOF: 28.05.2024 Reply: 11.09.2024Carpet area complaint)DOF: 28.05.2024 Reply: 11.09.2024Carpet area (page 30 of complaint)DOF: 28.05.2024 Reply: 11.09.2024Carpet area (page 30 of complaint)DOF: 28.05.2024 Reply: 11.09.2024Carpet area (page 30 of complaint)DOF: 28.05.2024 Reply: 11.09.2024Sq. ft. (page 30 of complaint)DOF: 28.05.2024 Reply: 11.09.2024Sq. ft. (page 30 of complaint)DOF: 28.05.2024 Reply: 11.09.2024Sq. ft. (page 30 of complaint)Doff: 28.05.2024 Reply: 11.09.2024To any delay possession charbon rect the respondent to refund the skyful mainter rect the respondent to refund the charges whick Rs.82,260/In the table referred above certain abbreviation: /s: reviationFull form Date of filing of complaint Total sale consideration Amount paid by the allottee/s Offer of Possession Possession Certificate	Vicky Keshav Golait Vs. Carpet area -552.360 sq. ft. AP- Rs.22,49,267/- (Conveyance deed dated 08.06.2023 at page no. 200 of reply) DOF: 27.05.2024 Reply: 11.09.2024 2-904, (page 29 of complaint) TSC- Rs.22,49,267/- (Conveyance deed dated 08.06.2023 at page no. 200 of reply) Beena Choudhary Vs. 2-904, (India) Pvt. Ltd. 26.11.2017 (page 28 of complaint) TSC- Rs.22,49,267/- (Conveyance deed dated 08.06.2023 at page no. 193 of reply) Beena Choudhary Vs. Carpet area -552.360 sq. ft. AP- Rs.22,49,267/- (Conveyance deed dated 08.06.2023 at page no. 193 of reply) DOF: 28.05.2024 Reply: 11.09.2024 Carpet area -552.360 sq. ft. AP- Rs.22,49,267/- (Conveyance deed dated 09.06.2023 at page no. 200 of reply) DOF: 28.05.2024 Reply: 11.09.2024 Sq.ft. (page 80 of complaint) AP- Rs.22,49,267/- (Conveyance deed dated 09.06.2023 at page no. 200 of reply) DOF: 28.05.2024 Reply: 11.09.2024 Sq.ft. (page 80 of complaint) AP- Rs.22,49,267/- (Conveyance deed dated 09.06.2023 at page no. 200 of reply) DOF: 28.05.2024 Reply: 11.09.2024 Sq.ft. (page 80 of complaint) AP- Rs.22,49,267/- (Conveyance deed dated 09.06.2023 at page no. 200 of reply) Torte respondent to pay delay possession charges till actual deliv rect the respondent to refund the skyful maintenance charges of Rs. rect the respondent to refund the charges which are not as per the Rs.82,260/ In the table referred above certain abbreviations have been used. The fs: reviation Full form Amount paid

4. The aforesaid complaints were filed by the complainant-allottee(s) against the promoter on account of violation of the builder buyer's agreement executed

Page 6 of 28



between the parties in respect of subject unit for not handing over the possession by the due date, seeking delayed possession charges.

- 5. It has been decided to treat the said complaints as an application for noncompliance of statutory obligations on the part of the promoter /respondent in terms of Section 34(f) of the Act which mandates the authority to ensure compliance of the obligations cast upon the promoters, the allottee(s) and the real estate agents under the Act, the rules and the regulations made thereunder.
- 6. The facts of all the complaints filed by the complainant-allottee(s) are similar. Out of the above-mentioned cases, the particulars of lead case CR/1642/2024 titled as "Gaurav Monga Vs. M/s Signature Global (India) Pvt. Ltd." are being taken into consideration for determining the rights of the allottee(s) qua the relief sought by them.

A. Project and unit related details.

7. The particulars of the project, the details of sale consideration, the amount paid by the complainant(s), date of proposed handing over the possession, delay period, if any, have been detailed in the following tabular form:

S.No.	Particulars	Details		
1.	Name of the project	"The Millennia, Sector 37 D Gurugram		
2.	Nature of the project	Affordable Group Housing		
3.	DTCP license no.	04 of 2017 dated 02.02.2017 valid upto 01.02.2022		
4.	RERA Registered/ not registered	Registered 03 of 2017 dated 20.06.2017		
5.	Unit no.	10-407, Tower 10, 4th floor (BBA at page 32 of complaint)		
6. Unit admeasuring area		596.126 Sq. Ft. (Carpet Area) 79.653 sq. ft. (Balcony Area) (Allotment letter at page 27 of complaint)		
7.	Allotment letter	01.11.2017		

CR/1642/2024 titled as "Gaurav Monga Vs. M/s Signature Global (India) Private Limited"

Page 7 of 28



-		(Page 27 of complaint)		
8.	Date of builder buyer agreement	 26.04.2018 (As per stamp paper annexed to BBA at page 29 of complaint) 5.1 Within 60 (sixty) days from the date of issuance of Occupancy Certificate, the Developer shall offer the possession of the Said Flat to the Allotee(s). Subject to Force Majeure circumstances, receipt of Occupancy Certificate and Allotee(s) having timely complied with all its obligations, formalities or documentation, as prescribed by Developer in terms of the Agreement and not being in default under any part hereof including but not limited to the timely payment of installments as per the Payment Plan, stamp duty and registration charges, the Developer shall offer possession of the Said Flat to the Allotee(s) within a period of 4 (four) years from the date of approval of building plans or grant of environment clearance, (hereinafter referred to as the "Commencement Date"), whichever is later. 		
	Possession clause			
9.	Date of building plan approval	(Emphasis supplied) 08.06.2017 (taken from another file of the same project)		
10.	Date of environmental clearance	21.08.2017 (taken from another file of the same project)		
11.	Due date of possession	21.02.2022 (Calculated from date of environment clearance and 6 months extension due to Covid 19)		
12.	Total sale consideration	Rs. 24,24,330/- (As per BBA at page 38 of complaint and as per SOA dated 07.05.2025 at page 2 of application filed by respondent on 07.05.2025)		
13.	Total amount paid by the complainant	Rs. 27,07,085/- (As per SOA dated 07.05.2025 at page 2 of application filed by respondent on 07.05.2025)		
14.	Occupation certificate	25.01.2023 (Page 218 of reply)		
15.	Offer of possession	23.03.2023 (Page 193 of reply)		
16.	Possession certificate	07.09.2023 (Page 66 of complaint)		
17.	Conveyance Deed	29.06.2023 (Page 196 of reply)		



B. Facts of the complaint.

- 8. The complainant has made following submissions in the complaint:
 - a) That in 2017, the respondent issued an advertisement announcing a residential group housing project called 'The Millenia' Sector 37D, Gurugram, Haryana in terms of the provisions of Affordable Group Housing Policy 2013 and thereby invited applications from prospective buyers for the purchase of allotments in the said project.
 - b) That the complainant was caught in the web of false promises of the agents of the respondent paid an initial amount of Rs.1,21,217/- to respondent. The payment was acknowledged by the respondent and complainant was allotted one unit in the said project.
 - c) That the complainant received an allotment letter for the unit bearing No. T10-407. The complainant executed the builder buyer agreement on the 26.04.2018.
 - d) That the complainant against the demand notices raised by the respondent have paid a total sum of Rs.24,24,330/- in favor of the respondent. In terms of Scheduled "D" of builder buyer agreement the complainant has made the payments as per the payment plan.
 - e) That the complainant has sent multiple e-mails communications and made calls during the time intimating the respondent for the possession of the said unit. The complainant did not receive any revert from the respondent and kept excusing the complainant that the same shall be dealt and settled at the time possession on individual basis.
 - f) That the respondent being very well aware of the guidelines laid in The Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Act, 2016 and the Haryana Real Estate (Regulation & Development) Rules, 2017, and the interest the complainants is entitled for as well as being aware of plethora of judgments issued by

Page 9 of 28



Authority has not given the complainants the interest that he is eligible for the delayed compensation based on the clause 6.2(ii) of the BBA.

- g) That the complainant contacted the respondent on several occasions and was regularly in touch with the respondent individually chasing the respondent for construction on very regular basis. The respondent was never able to give any satisfactory response to the complainants for delay in construction of the unit and was never definite about the delivery of the possession. The complainant kept pursuing the matter with the representatives of the respondent as to when they will deliver the project and why construction is going on at such a slow pace, but to no avail. Some or the other reason was being given in terms of delay on account of the novel corona virus and on the account of paucity of funds.
- h) That after losing all hope from the respondent and having shattered and scattered dreams of owning a Home and also losing considerable amount of money (as per the buyer's agreement dated 26.04.2018). The complainant was constrained to approach the Authority for redressal of his grievance.
- i) That the respondent is guilty of deficiency in service within the purview of provisions of the Act, 2016 and the provisions of Rules, 2017. The complainant has suffered on account of deficiency in service by the respondent and as such the respondent is fully liable to cure the deficiency as per the provisions of the Act, 2016 and the provisions of Rules, 2017.
- As per clause 6.1(i) of the builder buyer's agreements dated 26.04.2018 the possession of the said unit was supposed to be delivered by 20.08.2021. The actual habitable possession was given to complainant on 07.09.2023.
- k) That under clause 4.6 of the builder buyer's agreement, upon delay of payment by the allottees, the respondent can charge 15% simple interest per annum. On the other hand, as per clause 6.2(ii), the respondent is equally liable to pay Page 10 of 28



to complainant, interest at the rate of 15% per annum for every month of delay till the handing over of the possession of the said flat within 45 days of becoming due. Whereas respondent has deliberately indulged in misstatement, prevarications and innuendos and has not paid a single penny on account of delayed compensation.

- Accordingly, the complainant is entitled to get interest on the paid amount at the rate as prescribed per annum from due date of possession as per builder buyer agreement till the date of handing over of actual possession.
- m) That the respondent has issued final demand notice wherein the respondent has made various unnecessary demands which are not as per the builder buyer agreement and hence are baseless, unfounded, illegal and unwarranted including the advance maintenance charges.

C. Relief sought by the complainant

- 9. The complainant has sought the following relief(s):
 - I. Direct the respondent to pay delay possession charges.
 - II. Direct the respondent to refund the skyful maintenance charges of Rs.28,956/-
 - III. Direct the respondent not to charge the amount of skyful maintenance charges for a period of 5 years.
 - IV. Direct the respondent to refund the charges which are not as per the buyer's agreement of Rs.82,260/-.

10. On the date of hearing, the authority explained to the respondent/ promoter

about the contraventions as alleged to have been committed in relation to Section

11(4) (a) of the act to plead guilty or not to plead guilty.

D. Reply by the respondent.

- 11. The respondent has contested the complaint on the following grounds.
 - a) That the complainant had made detailed and elaborated enquiries with regard to the location of the project, sanctions accorded by the concerned statutory authorities, specifications of the project as well as capacity, competence and capability of the respondent to successfully undertake the conceptualization,

Page 11 of 28



promotion, construction, development and implementation of the project. Only after being fully satisfied in all respects, the complainants and other allottees proceed to submit their applications for obtaining allotment of apartments in the Affordable Group Housing Project. This has also been recorded in BBA dated 26.04.2018 at recital "L."

- b) That in case performance of any of the obligation or undertaking mentioned in BBA is prevented due to force majeure conditions in that case respondent neither responsible nor liable for not performing any of the obligations or undertakings mentioned in BBA at clause 19.2 executed on 26.04.2018.
- c) That it is specifically mentioned in clause 19.3 that if possession of the unit is delayed due to force majeure in that case the time period for offering possession shall stand extended automatically to the extent of the delay caused under the force majeure circumstances. The complainant cannot be made to rely on selected covenants/clauses of the buyer's agreement. The covenants incorporated in the agreement are to be cumulatively considered in their entirety to determine the rights and obligations of the parties.
- d) That the proposed period of delivery of physical possession was subject to force majeure circumstances, intervention of statutory Authorities, receipt of occupation certificate and allottee having complied with all obligations of allotment in a timely manner and further subject to completion of formalities/documentation as prescribed by the respondent and not being in default of any clause of the agreement.
- e) That as per the complainant, the respondent was supposed to offer the possession, of the apartment in question up to 20.08.2021. However, the said period would have been applicable provided no disturbance/hindrance had been caused either due to force majeure circumstances or on account of intervention by statutory Authorities etc.

Page 12 of 28



- f) That prior to the expiry of said period the deadly and contagious Covid-19 pandemic had struck. The same had resulted in unavoidable delay in delivery of physical possession of the apartment. In fact, Covid-19 pandemic was an admitted force majeure event which was beyond the power and control of the respondent.
- g) That almost the entire world had struggled in its grapple with the Coronavirus menace. The Novel Coronavirus had been declared as a pandemic by World Health Organization. On 14.03.2020 the Central Government had declared the pandemic as a "notified disaster" under the Disaster Management Act, 2005. The same had been recognized as a disaster threatening the country, leading to the invocation of The Disaster Management Act, 2005 for the first time on a national level. The 21-day national lockdown imposed by the Central Government to combat the spread of first wave of Covid-19.
- h) That in the first wave of Covid as many as 32 states and Union Territories had enforced lockdowns with some ordering a curfew as well. The lockdown meant that all rail and air services stood completely suspended.
- i) That in order to prevent the outbreak and spread of the Novel Coronavirus The Haryana Epidemic Disease, COVID-19 Regulations, 2020, had been brought into operation. The Department of Expenditure, Procurement Policy Division, Ministry of Finance had issued an Office Memorandum on 19th of February, 2020, in relation to the Government's 'Manual for Procurement of Goods, 2017', which serves as a guideline for procurement by the Government. The Office Memorandum effectively stated that the Covid-19 outbreak could be covered by a force majeure clause on the basis that it was a 'natural calamity'.
- j) That for all Real Estate Projects registered under Real Estate Regulation and Development Act, where completion date, revised completion date or Page 13 of 28



extended completion date was to expire on or after 15th of March, 2020, the period of validity for registration of such projects had been ordered to be extended by Haryana Real Estate Regulatory Authority vide order dated 27th of March, 2020. The Haryana Real Estate Regulatory Authority, Gurugram had issued order/direction dated 26th of May, 2020 whereby the Hon'ble Authority had been pleased to extend the registration and completion date of Real Estate Projects by 6 months, due to outbreak of Covid-19 (Corona Virus).

- k) However, even before the expiry of said extended period, it is very much in public domain and had also been widely reported that second wave of Covid-19 had also hit the country badly 'like a tsunami' and Haryana was no exception thereof. Copy of a news as published saying "Not A Wave, It's A Tsunami: Delhi High Court On Covid-19 Surge".
- 1) That thereafter, during the second wave of Covid also the Hon'ble Haryana Real Estate Regulatory Authority, Panchkula had issued order/direction dated 2nd of August 2021 wherein it was specifically observed that taking into reckoning the second wave of Covid 19 had decided to grant extension of 3 months from 1st of April 2021 to 30th of June 2021 considering the same as a force majeure event.
- m) That it was further specifically observed in the direction/order dated 02.08.2021 that the aforesaid period of 3 months would be treated as zero period and compliance of various provisions of Real Estate Regulation and Development Act and Rules and Regulations framed thereunder would stand extended without even there being a requirement of filing of formal application. It needs to be highlighted that Haryana Government had imposed lockdown for different periods even after January 2021 terming it as "Mahamari Alert/Surkshit Haryana (Epidemic Alert/Safe Haryana) resulting in virtual stoppage of all activity within the state of Haryana.

Page 14 of 28

al



- n) That, therefore, it is manifest that both the first wave and second wave of Covid had been recognized by this Hon'ble Authority and the Hon'ble Haryana Real Estate Regulatory Authority, Panchkula to be Force Majeure events being calamities caused by nature which had adversely affected regular development of real estate projects. All these facts have been mentioned hereinabove to highlight the devastating impact of Covid-19 on businesses all over the globe.
- o) Moreover, the Agreement of sale notified under the Haryana Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Rules, 2017 categorically excludes any delay due to "force majeure", Court orders, Government policy/ guidelines, decisions affecting the regular development of the real estate project. That in addition to the aforesaid period of 9 months, the following period also deserves to be excluded for the purpose of computation of period available to the Respondent to deliver physical possession of the apartment to the Complainants as permitted under the Haryana Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Rules, 2017.
- p) That the period of 293 days was consumed on account of circumstances beyond the power and control of the respondent owing to passing of orders by statutory authorities affecting the regular development of the real estate project. Since, the respondent was prevented for the reasons stated above from undertaking construction activity within the periods of time already indicated hereinbefore, the said period ought to be excluded, while computing the period availed by the Respondent for the purpose of raising construction and delivering possession.
- q) That it is also in public domain that the third wave of Covid-19 had also badly hit all the activities not only in Haryana but also in India and rest of the world.

W



- r) That under clause 4.6 of the builder buyer's agreement, upon delay of payment by the allottees, the respondent can charge 15 % simple interest per annum. As per clause 6.2 (ii), the respondent is equally liable to pay to complainant, interest at the rate of 15% per annum for every month of delay till the handing over of the possession of the said flat within 45 days of becoming due.
- s) That as per the Haryana Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Rules, 2017 Part V rule 15 the interest for which the complainants are eligible is the State Bank of India highest marginal cost of lending rate + 2%. A waiver of Rs.26,450/- was also provided to the complainant by the respondent.
- t) That as per para 21 i.e., 4 (v) Maintenance of colony after completion of project. A commercial component of 4% is being allowed in the project to enable the colonizer to maintain the colony free of cost for a period of five years from the date of grant of occupation certificate, after which the colony shall stand transferred to the association of apartment owners constituted under the Haryana Apartment Ownership Act 1983, for maintenance. However the charges charged by the respondent are of the basic amenities such as electricity charges and water charges which the complainants are liable to pay and as per the above mentioned clause the respondent is only liable maintain the common areas of the project free of cost for a period of five years from the date of grant of occupation certificate and not liable to provide the basic amenities free of cost for a period of five years from the date of grant of occupation certificate.
- u) That the respondent is providing the maintenance service as per the Section 3
 (3) (a) (iii) of the Act no.8 of 1975 and rule of 1976 and providing the facilities as per Affordable housing colonies.
- Further, the respondent was faced with certain other force majeure events including but not limited to non-availability of raw material due to various Page 16 of 28



orders of Hon'ble Punjab & Haryana High Court and National Green Tribunal thereby regulating the mining activities, brick kilns, regulation of the construction and development activities by the judicial authorities in NCR on account of the environmental conditions, restrictions on usage of water, etc.

w) That by signing the possession certificate, the complainant stood satisfied with respect to all the liabilities and obligations of the respondent. The relevant part of same is reiterated as under:

"I/We have received the vacant physical possession with locks and keys (3 sets of Keys) of the said allotted unit in accordance with the provisions of said Agreement after having done a complete, detailed and thorough inspection and have been fully satisfied with the quality of finishing, workmanship of the construction work, standard of the material used, amenities fixtures and fittings thereof and the project 1/we have independently verified the carpet area measurement of said allotted unit and confirm that said allotted unit is complete in accordance with the plans and specifications agreed in terms of Builder Buyer Agreement executed between me/us and the Company. 1/ We furthermore confirm that there is proper light provision and C.P. fittings etc. is in good working condition.

I/we have no claims whatsoever against the Company against the said allotted unit."

- x) That in light of the bona fide conduct of the respondent, the fact that no delay has been caused to the complainant, the peaceful possession of the unit having been offered to the complainant, non-existence of cause of action this complaint is bound to be dismissed.
- y) That the complainant has also sought the refund of maintenance charges and not to charge maintenance charges for a period of 5 years. The Affordable Group Housing Policy, 2013 was notified under Section 9A of the Haryana Development and Regulation of Urban Areas Act, 1975 (the "Act, 1975") thus, the meaning and scope of maintenance given under the Act, 1975 shall be applicable for the Policy.
- That as per affordable group housing policy Haryana and as per the clause 3
 (3) (iii) of the Haryana Development and Regulation of Urban Areas Act, 1975
 Page 17 of 28



the responsibility of the respondent for maintenance and upkeep are limited to roads, open space, public park and public health service for a period of five years from the date of issue of the completion certificate and not to basic amenities. The respondent has not charged anything which is adverse to the term and conditions mentioned in BBA.

- aa) That the respondent is duty bound to abide by the order of Authority however it is submitted that the skyfull maintenance charges charged by the respondent is against the basic amenities and the complainant is liable to pay the same as the same is charged as per BBA.
- 12. All other averments made in the complaint were denied in toto.
- 13. Copies of all the relevant documents have been filed and placed on the record. Their authenticity is not in dispute. Hence, the complaint can be decided on the basis of these undisputed documents and submission made by the parties.

E. Jurisdiction of the authority

14. The authority observes that it has territorial as well as subject matter jurisdiction to adjudicate the present complaint for the reasons given below.

E.I Territorial jurisdiction.

15. As per notification no. 1/92/2017-1TCP dated 14.12.2017 issued by Town and Country Planning Department, the jurisdiction of Real Estate Regulatory Authority, Gurugram shall be entire Gurugram District for all purpose with offices situated in Gurugram. In the present case, the project in question is situated within the planning area of Gurugram District. Therefore, this authority has complete territorial jurisdiction to deal with the present complaint.

E.II Subject matter jurisdiction.



16. Section 11(4)(a) of the Act, 2016 provides that the promoter shall be responsible

to the allottee as per agreement for sale. Section 11(4)(a) is reproduced as hereunder:

Section 11

(4) The promoter shall-

(a) be responsible for all obligations, responsibilities and functions under the provisions of this Act or the rules and regulations made thereunder or to the allottees as per the agreement for sale, or to the association of allottees, as the case may be, till the conveyance of all the apartments, plots or buildings, as the case may be, to the allottees, or the common areas to the association of allottees or the competent authority, as the case may be;

Section 34-Functions of the Authority:

34(f) of the Act provides to ensure compliance of the obligations cast upon the promoters, the allottees and the real estate agents under this Act and the rules and regulations made thereunder.

17. So, in view of the provisions of the Act quoted above, the authority has complete jurisdiction to decide the complaint regarding non-compliance of obligations by the promoter leaving aside compensation, which is to be decided by the adjudicating officer, if pursued by the complainant at a later stage.

F. Findings on the objections raised by the respondent

F.I Objection regarding delay due to force majeure circumstances.

- 18. The respondent-promoter raised a contention that the construction of the project was delayed due to force majeure conditions such as various orders passed by the Haryana State Pollution Control Board from 01.11.2018 to 10.11.2018, lockdown due to outbreak of Covid-19 pandemic which further led to shortage of labor, orders passed by National Green Tribunal and other statutory Authorities.
- 19. The Authority, after careful consideration, finds that in the present case, the project falls under the Affordable Housing Policy, 2013, which contains specific stipulations regarding the completion of the project. As per Clause 1(iv) of the said Policy:



"All such projects shall be required to be necessarily completed within 4 years from the approval of building plans or grant of environmental clearance, whichever is later. This date shall be referred to as the 'date of commencement of project' for the purpose of this policy. The licenses shall not be renewed beyond the said 4-year period from the date of commencement of project."

- 20. The respondent/promoter, having applied for the license under the Affordable Housing Policy, was fully aware of these terms and is bound by them. The Authority notes that the construction ban cited by the respondent, was of a short duration and is a recurring annual event, usually implemented by the National Green Tribunal (NGT) in November. These are known occurring events, and the respondent being a promoter, should have accounted for it during project planning. Hence, all the pleas advanced in this regard are devoid of merits. Further, the respondent has not demonstrated whether it extended any equivalent relief to the allottees during the period of the construction ban. If the respondent did not relax the payment schedules for the allottees, its plea for relief due to delays caused by the construction ban appears unjustified.
- 21. In accordance with the said policy the respondent was obligated to handover the possession of the allotted unit within a period of four years from the date of approval of building plan or from the date of grant of environment clearance, whichever is later. In the present case, the date of approval of the building plan is 08.06.2017 and environment clearance is 21.08.2017 as taken from the project details. The due date is calculated from the date of environment clearance being later, so, the due date of subject unit comes out to be 21.08.2021. Further *as per HARERA notification no. 9/3-2020 dated 26.05.2020, an extension of 6 months is granted for the projects having completion/due date on or after 25.03.2020.* The completion date of the aforesaid project in which the subject unit is being allotted to the complainant is 21.08.2021 i.e., after 25.03.2020. Therefore, an extension of 6 months is to be given over and above the due date for handing over Page 20 of 28



possession *in view of notification no. 9/3-2020 dated 26.05.2020*, on account of force majeure conditions due to the outbreak of Covid-19 pandemic. So, in such a case the due date for handing over of possession comes out to 21.02.2022. Granting any other additional relaxation would undermine the objectives of the said policy.

G. Findings on the relief sought by the complainant G.I Direct the respondent to pay delay possession charges.

22. In the present complaint, the complainant intend to continue with the project and is seeking delay possession charges at prescribed rate of interest on amount already paid by them as provided under the proviso to Section 18(1) of the Act which reads as under: -

> "Section 18: - Return of amount and compensation 18(1). If the promoter fails to complete or is unable to give possession of an apartment, plot, or building, —

> Provided that where an allottee does not intend to withdraw from the project, he shall be paid, by the promoter, interest for every month of delay, till the handing over of the possession, at such rate as may be prescribed."

Clause 5.1 of the buyer's agreement (in short, the agreement) dated 26.04.2018,

provides for handing over possession and the same is reproduced below:

5.1 "Within 60 (sixty) day from the date of issuance of occupancy certificate, the Developer shall offer the possession of the Said Flat to the Allottee(s). Subject to Force Majeure circumstances, receipt of Occupancy Certificate and Allottee(s) having timely complied with all its obligations, formalities or documentation, as prescribed by the Developer in terms of the Agreement and not being in default under any part hereof......."

(Emphasis Supplied)

24. At the outset, it is relevant to comment on the preset possession clause of the agreement wherein the possession has been subjected to all kinds of terms and conditions of this agreement, and the complainant not being in default under any provisions of this agreement and compliance with all provisions, formalities and

Page 21 of 28



documentation as prescribed by the promoter. The drafting of this clause and incorporation of such conditions is not only vague and uncertain but so heavily loaded in favor of the promoter and against the allottees that even a single default by him in fulfilling formalities and documentations etc. as prescribed by the promoter may make the possession clause irrelevant for the purpose of allottees and the commitment time period for handing over possession loses its meaning. The incorporation of such clause in the buyer's agreement by the promoter is just to evade the liability towards timely delivery of subject unit and to deprive the allottees of their right accruing after delay in possession. This is just to comment as to how the builder has misused his dominant position and drafted such onesided clause in the agreement and the allottees is left with no option but to sign on the dotted lines.

- 25. Due date of handing over possession: As per clause 5.1 of buyer's agreement, the respondent promoter has proposed to handover the possession of the subject unit within a period of four years from the date of approval of building plan or from the date of grant of environment clearance, whichever is later. As detailed hereinabove, the authority in view of notification no. 9/3-2020 dated 26.05.2020, on account of force majeure conditions due to outbreak of Covid-19 pandemic has allowed the grace period of 6 months to the promoter. Therefore, the due date of handing over possession comes out to be 21.02.2022,
- 26. Admissibility of delay possession charges at prescribed rate of interest: The complainant is seeking delay possession charges. However, proviso to Section 18 provides that where an allottee(s) does not intend to withdraw from the project, he shall be paid, by the promoter, interest for every month of delay, till the handing over of possession, at such rate as may be prescribed and it has been prescribed under rule 15 of the rules. Rule 15 has been reproduced as under:

Page 22 of 28



Rule 15. Prescribed rate of interest- [Proviso to section 12, section 18 and sub-section (4) and subsection (7) of section 19]

For the purpose of proviso to section 12; section 18; and subsections (4) and (7) of section 19, the "interest at the rate prescribed" shall be the State Bank of India highest marginal cost of lending rate +2%.

- 27. Consequently, as per website of the State Bank of India i.e., <u>https://sbi.co.in</u>, the marginal cost of lending rate (in short, MCLR) as on date i.e., 14.05.2025 is 9.10%. Accordingly, the prescribed rate of interest will be marginal cost of lending rate +2% i.e., 11.10%.
- 28. The definition of term 'interest' as defined under Section 2(za) of the Act provides that the rate of interest chargeable from the allottee by the promoter, in case of default, shall be equal to the rate of interest which the promoter shall be liable to pay the allottee, in case of default.
- 29. Therefore, interest on the delay payments from the complainants shall be charged at the prescribed rate i.e., 11.10% by the respondent/promoter which is the same as is being granted to them in case of delayed possession charges
- 30. On consideration of the documents available on record and submissions made regarding contravention of provisions of the Act, the authority is satisfied that the respondent is in contravention of the Section 11(4)(a) of the Act by not handing over possession by the due date as per the agreement. By virtue of clause 5.1 of the buyer's agreement executed between the parties, the possession of the subject apartment was to be delivered within a period of four years from the date of approval of building plan or from the date of grant of environment clearance, whichever is later. As such the due date of handing over of possession comes out to be 21.02.2022 including grace period of 6 months on account of COVID-19. However, no interest shall be charged from the complainants in case of delayed payment during this 6 months COVID-19 period from 25.03.2020 to 25.09.2020.



- 31. Section 19(10) of the Act obligates the allottee to take possession of the subject unit within 2 months from the date of receipt of occupation certificate. In the present complaint, the occupation certificate was granted by the competent authority on 25.01.2023. The respondent has offered the possession of the subject unit(s) to the respective complainant after obtaining occupation certificate from competent authority. Therefore, in the interest of natural justice, the complainant should be given 2 months' time from the date of offer of possession. This 2 months' reasonable time is being given to the complainant keeping in mind that even after intimation of possession practically they have to arrange a lot of logistics and requisite documents including but not limited to inspection of the completely finished unit but this is subject to the fact that the unit being handed over at the time of taking possession is in habitable condition. It is further clarified that the delay possession charges shall be payable from the date of offer of possession plus two months or actual handing over of possession, whichever is earlier.
- 32. Accordingly, it is the failure of the promoter to fulfil its obligations and responsibilities as per the apartment buyer's agreement to hand over the possession within the stipulated period. Accordingly, the non-compliance of the mandate contained in section 11(4)(a) read with proviso to section 18(1) of the Act on the part of the respondent is established. As such, the allottees shall be paid, by the promoter, interest for every month of delay from due date of possession i.e., 21.02.2022 till offer of possession plus two months or actual handing over of possession, whichever is earlier, at the prescribed rate i.e., 11.10 % p.a. as per proviso to Section 18(1) of the Act read with Rule 15 of the Rules, ibid.



33. The following table concludes the time period for which the complainant-allottee is entitled to delayed possession charges in terms of proviso to section 18(1) of the Act:

S.no.	Complaint no.	Due date of possession	Offer of possession	Actual Handover of Possession	Period for which the complainant is entitled to DPC
1.	CR/1642/2024	21.02.2022	23.03.2023	07.09.2023	W.e.f. 21.02.2022 till 23.05.2023
2.	CR/733/2024	21.02.2022	28.03.2023	12.02.2024	W.e.f. 21.02.2022 till 28.05.2023
3.	CR/798/2024	21.02.2022	15.02.2023	21.07.2023	W.e.f. 21.02.2022 till 15.04.2023
4.	CR/821/2024	21.02.2022	15.02.2023	12.06.2023	W.e.f. 21.02.2022 till 15.04.2023
5.	CR/831/2024	21.02.2022	01.02.2023	15.10.2023	W.e.f. 21.02.2022 till 01.04.2023
6.	CR/829/2024	21.02.2022	28.03.2023	01.12.2023	W.e.f. 21.02.2022 till 28.05.2023
7.	CR/1653/2024	21.02.2022	18.03.2023	16.06.2023	W.e.f. 21.02.2022 till 18.05.2023
8.	CR/2105/2024	21.02.2022	23.03.2023	16.08.2023	W.e.f. 21.02.2022 till 23.05.2023
9.	CR/2391/2024	21.02.2022	28.03.2023	19.02.2024	W.e.f. 21.02.2022 till 28.05.2023
10.	CR/2443/2024	21.02.2022	23.03.2023	16.08.2023	W.e.f. 21.02.2022 till 23.05.2023

G.II. Direct the respondent to refund the skyful maintenance charges of Rs.28,956/-

G.III Direct the respondent not to charge the amount of skyful maintenance charges for a period of 5 years.

- 34. The above-mentioned reliefs sought by the complainant are being taken together as the findings in one relief will definitely affect the result of the other relief and the same being interconnected.
- 35. The respondent in the present matter has raised invoice of skyful maintenance charges amounting to through maintenance agency i.e., "Skyfull Maintenance Services Pvt. Ltd." from the complainant at the time of offer of possession. The



authority observes that clause 4(v) of the policy, 2013 talks about maintenance of colony after completion of project which is reproduced as under:

"A commercial component of 4% is being allowed in the project to enable the coloniser to maintain the colony free-of-cost for a period of five years from the date of grant of occupation certificate, after which the colony shall stand transferred to the "association of apartment owners" constituted under the Haryana Apartment Ownership Act 1983, for maintenance. The coloniser shall not be allowed to retain the maintenance of the colony either directly or indirectly (through any of its agencies) after the end of the said five years period. Engaging any agency for such maintenance works shall be at the sole discretion and terms and conditions finalised by the "association of apartment owners" constituted under the Apartment Ownership Act 1983."

- 36. It is pertinent to mention here that the authority on 11.04.2022 requested DTCP, Haryana to give clarification with respect to the issue of maintenance. In response of the said letter sent by the Authority, an email dated 29.11.2022 has been received from DTCP intimating that the issue of free maintenance of the colony in terms of Section 4(v) of the Affordable Group Housing Policy, stands referred to the Government and clarification will be issued by DTCP as and when the approvals is received from the Government.
- 37. As per the clarification regarding maintenance charges to be levied on affordable group housing projects being given by DTCP, Haryana vide clarification no. PF-27A/2024/3676 dated 31.01.2024, it is very clearly mentioned that the utility charges (which includes electricity bill, water bill, property tax waste collection charges or any repair inside the individual flat etc.) can be charged from the allottees as per consumptions.
- 38. Accordingly, the respondent is obligated to charge the maintenance/use/utility charges from the complainant-allottee as per consumptions basis as has been clarified by the Directorate of town and Country Planning, Haryana vide clarification dated 31.01.2024. In case any amount charged extra from the complainant, same may be adjusted towards future maintenance.



G.IV Direct the respondent to refund the charges which are not as per the buyer's agreement of Rs.82,260/-.

39. Upon perusal of the documents, the Authority finds that the complainant has not submitted any specific documentary evidence or detailed pleadings to support their claim regarding payments made beyond the buyer's agreement executed between the parties. Nevertheless, if any amount has been charged by the respondent that is not part of the buyer's agreement, such amount shall be refunded to the complainant.

H.Directions of the authority.

- 40. Hence, the authority hereby passes this order and issues the following directions under section 37 of the Act to ensure compliance of obligations cast upon the promoter as per the function entrusted to the authority under section 34(f):
 - I. The respondent is directed to pay delayed possession charges at the prescribed rate of interest i.e., 11.10% p.a. for every month of delay on the amount paid by the complainant to the respondent from the due date of possession 21.02.2022 till offer of possession plus two months or actual handing over of possession, whichever is earlier, as per proviso to Section 18(1) of the Act read with Rule 15 of the Rules, ibid. The due date of possession and the date of entitlement are detailed in table given in para 33 of this order. The respondent is directed to pay arrears of interest accrued so far within 90 days from the date of order of this order as per Rule 16(2) of the Rules, ibid.
 - II. The complainant is directed to pay outstanding dues, if any, after adjustment of interest for the delayed period.
 - III. The respondent is directed to charge the maintenance/use/utility charges from the complainant-allottee as per consumptions basis as has been clarified by the Directorate of town and Country Planning, Haryana vide clarification dated 31.01.2024. In case any amount charged extra Page 27 of 28



from the complainant, same may be adjusted towards future maintenance.

- IV. The rate of interest chargeable from the allottee by the promoter, in case of default shall be charged at the prescribed rate i.e., 11.10% by the respondent/promoter which is the same rate of interest which the promoter shall be liable to pay the allottee, in case of default i.e., the delayed possession charges as per section 2(za) of the Act. Further no interest shall be charged from complainant-allottee for delay if any between 6 months Covid period from 01.03.2020 to 01.09.2020.
- V. The respondent shall not charge anything from the complainant which is not the part of the buyer's agreement and the provisions of Affordable Group Housing Policy of 2013.
- 41. This decision shall mutatis mutandis apply to cases mentioned in para 3 of this order.
- 42. The complaints stand disposed of. True certified copy of this order shall be placed in the case file of each matter.
- 43. Files be consigned to registry.

Dated: 14.05.2025

Ashok Sangwan (Member) Haryana Real Estate Regulatory Authority, Gurugram

Page 28 of 28