

PROCEEDINGS OF THE DAY
71

Day and Date	Tuesday and 13.05.2025
Complaint No.	MA NO. 229/2025 in CR/617/2020 Case titled as Harshvardhan Krishana Atray VS Vatika Limited
Complainant	Harshvardhan Krishana Atray
Represented through	Shri Ankur Bansal, Advocate
Respondent	Vatika Limited
Respondent Represented through	Shri Shivaditya Mukharji, Advocate
Last date of hearing	Application u/s 39 of the Act
Proceeding Recorded by	Naresh Kumari and HR Mehta

Proceedings-cum-order

The complaint herein was disposed of by the authority vide order dated 02.02.2022 whereby the respondent was directed to pay arrears of assured return to the complainants from October 2018 at the agreed rate i.e., ₹151.65/- per sq. ft. till the completion of the building and after completion of the building @ ₹130/- per sq. ft. on super area up to three years of the said unit put on lease whichever is earlier.

The present complainants have filed an application dated 21.03.2025 stating that the present complaint was filed against 2 respondents i.e., (a) Vatika One on One Pvt. Ltd. and (b) Vatika Ltd. During the execution proceedings it has come to the notice of the Complainant that Name of Respondent no.1 i.e., Vatika One on One Pvt. Ltd. was not reflected in the column of parties' details instead name of M/s Vatika Ltd has been repeated twice. It is pertinent to mention here that the final order was passed against both the respondent and the aforesaid error is typographical in nature, thus the present rectification application under section 39 of the Act is allowed.



HARERA
GURUGRAM

HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY
GURUGRAM

हरियाणा भू-संपदा विनियामक प्राधिकरण, गुरुग्राम

New PWD Rest House, Civil Lines, Gurugram, Haryana

नया पी. डब्ल्यू. डी. विश्राम गृह, सिविल लाईंस, गुरुग्राम, हरियाणा

The complainant is proposing the rectification as under:

S.No.	Matter sought to be rectified	Relevant page of detailed order dated 02.02.2022 showing error	Proposed rectification by the applicant-complainant with relevant page of paper book showing error
1.	Name of respondent no. in description of parties	"1. M/s Vatika Ltd." [Page 1 of order dated 02.02.2022]	1. M/s Vatika One On One Pvt. Ltd. [Description at page 1 of complaint]

The aforesaid errors were inadvertently made while preparing the detailed order dated 02.02.2022. It is observed that as per the 'Proforma B' generated by the complainant as well as the 'Memo of parties' filed by the complainant, reflects name of both the respondents i.e., (a) Vatika One on One Pvt. Ltd. and (b) Vatika Ltd. Thus, the aforesaid errors being typographical apparent from the record and clerical in nature, the rectification in detailed order dated 02.02.2022 as proposed is allowed under section 39 read with section 38(2) of the Act. This order be read with and in continuation of detailed order dated 02.02.2022.

Rectification application stands disposed of. File be consigned to registry

Ashok Sangwan
Member

V.1
Vijay Kumar Goyal
Member

Arun Kumar
Chairman
13.05.2025