



HARERA
GURUGRAM

HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY
GURUGRAM

हरियाणा भू-संपदा विनियामक प्राधिकरण, गुरुग्राम

New PWD Rest House, Civil Lines, Gurugram, Haryana

नया पी. डब्ल्यू. डी. विश्राम गृह, सिविल लाईंस, गुरुग्राम, हरियाणा

PROCEEDINGS OF THE DAY

63

Day and Date	Tuesday and 18.03.2025
Complaint No.	MA NO. 916/2024 in CR/4390/2021 Case titled as ANEETA SINGH VS ANSAL HOUSING AND CONSTRUCTION LIMITED
Complainant	ANEETA SINGH
Represented through	Shri S.M. Maheshwari Advocate
Respondent	ANSAL HOUSING AND CONSTRUCTION LIMITED
Respondent Represented	Ms. Sanya Arora Advocate
Last date of hearing	21.01.2025/ appl. u/s 39 of the Act
Proceeding Recorded by	Naresh Kumari and HR Mehta

Proceedings

The above-mentioned matters were heard and disposed of vide joint order dated 12.12.2023 wherein the Authority passed the following direction:

- The respondent is directed to refund the entire amount of ₹1,30,03,123/- paid by the complainants along with prescribed rate of interest @10.75% p.a. as prescribed under rule 15 of the rules from the date of each payment till the date of refund of the deposited amount.*

The respondent no. 2 has filed an application for rectification of order dated 12.12.2023 under section 39 of the Act, 2016 regarding the clarification w.r.t directions made by the authority against which respondent to refund the amount paid by the complainant.

The respondent no. 2 prayed to hold only respondent no. 1 accountable to refund the amount paid and to stay the execution proceedings against respondent no. 2.

Shri S.M. Maheshwari Advocate has appeared on behalf of the complainant and filed memo of appearance today.



HARERA
GURUGRAM

HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY
GURUGRAM

हरियाणा भू-संपदा विनियामक प्राधिकरण, गुरुग्राम

MANE 916/2024 IN CA 4390/2021

New PWD Rest House, Civil Lines, Gurugram, Haryana

नया पी. डब्ल्यू. डी. विश्राम गृह, सिविल लाईंस, गुरुग्राम, हरियाणा

It is observed that, at the present stage the respondent no. 2 does not have a locus to file an application under section 39 of the Act, 2016. Moreover, the said section pertains to rectification of an error apparent from record and does not provide for any 'clarification' as such. Further, unless otherwise specified or the specific wording of the order suggests a different intention, the term "respondent" without specifying a particular respondent would apply to all the named respondents in the case.

Ordered accordingly. The file be consigned to registry.

Ashok Sangwan
Member

Arun Kumar
Chairman
18.03.2025

Vijay Kumar Goyal
Member