HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY PANCHKULA

Website: www.haryanarera.gov.in

COMPLAINT NO. 1967 of 2022

HRERA, Panchkula ...COMPLAINANT

VERSUS

Pushprattan Developers Pvt Ltd. ....RESPONDENT

CORAM:	**Parneet S Sachdev**	**Chairman**
	Nadim Akhtar	**Member**
	Dr. Geeta Rathee Singh	**Member**

Date of Hearing: 12.03.2025

Hearing: 9th

Present: None.

ORDER (Parneet S Sachdev-Chairman)

This Suo-motu complaint was registered against the respondent for not uploading quarterly progress reports of project registered vide Registration No. HRERA-PKL- SNP-157-2019 dated 24.09.2019 valid upto 31.12.2021.

2. When the matter was considered on 17.07.2023, the respondent was directed to deposit the penalty amounting to Rs. 29,000/- in the registry of the Authority before the next date of hearing.

3. On 21.11.2023 neither anyone appeared nor any reply was filed. The Authority, therefore directed the promoter to show cause as to why penalty proceedings under Section 63 be not initiated for not complying with the orders of the Authority and not depositing the said penalty in the registry of the Authority.

4. On 07.02.2024 also, neither anyone appeared on behalf of the respondent nor has any reply been filed. Since the respondent promoter is not complying with the orders of the Authority, therefore, the Authority granted one last opportunity to the respondent to deposit the said amount of Rs 29,000/- in the registry of Authority failing which they would be liable to pay Interest on the said penalty. These orders were sent through registered post on 28.03.2024, which were returned undelivered.

5. Since the orders have been received back undelivered, Authority on 08.05.2024 directed the office to send a copy of the orders dated 07.02.2024 and 08.05.2024 to the respondents on the registered address indicated in the MCA website and through mail.

6. The said orders were sent on mail which were delivered on 22.07.2024 and through registered post on 22.07.2024, however, the item was returned unclaimed.

7. Besides above delivery through mail, penalty of Rs 29,000/- has still not been deposited by the respondents. The Authority on 07.08.2024 had directed the respondents to deposit the said penalty alongwith interest at the rate of SBI's highest marginal cost of lending rate (MCLR) + 2% as per Rule 15 of the RERA Rules, 2017 before the next date of hearing.

8. On the last date of hearing, i.e., 18.12.2025, neither anyone appeared nor any reply has been received, it was observed that the respondent has failed to comply with the orders of the Authority despite several opportunities granted to them. There is an intentional non-compliance of the provisions of the Act on the part of the respondent. Hence, the Managing Director/one of the Directors of the

respondent promoter was directed to appear personally on the next date of hearing. A copy of the orders dated 07.08.2024 and 18.12.2024 were sent to the respondent through mail which were delivered on 04.03.2025 and through registered post which was delivered on 06.03.2025.

9. Despite the service of orders, today also, neither anyone has appeared nor any reply has been filed. The Authority imposes cost of Rs 1 Lakh for non-appearance of the Director. The respondent is directed to deposit the penalty along with interest and the cost of Rs 1 lac before the next date of hearing.

10. Adjourned to **28.05.2025.**

Dr. Geeta Rathee Singh	Nadim Akhtar	Parneet S Sachdev
Member	Member	Chairman