



HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY PANCHKULA

Website: www.haryanarera.gov.in

COMPLAINT NO. 1717 OF 2022

Ms. Deepak Heritage through its proprietor

....COMPLAINANT

VERSUS

Dhingra Jardine Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.

....RESPONDENT

CORAM:

Parneet S Sachdev

Chairman

Nadim Akhtar

Member

Chander Shekhar

Member

Date of Hearing: 06.03.2025

Hearing: 9th

Present: None for complainant

None for respondent.

ORDER (PARNEET SINGH SACHDEV - CHAIRMAN)

1. On the last date of hearing, i.e. 21.11.2024, following order was passed:-

“As per previous order dated 01.08.2024, complainant was directed to file proper receipts of claimed paid amount. However, nothing has been filed by complainant till date. the reply.

*Today, none appeared on behalf of both the parties. Even complainant was not present in the last date of hearing. **Its been two hearings, complainant has not put in appearance towards his claim.***

Perusal of file reveals that complainant in his pleadings has claimed that he has paid a total amount of ₹27,26,250/-. However, complainant has annexed all the receipts in his complaint book except for an amount of ₹2,42,250/-. Complainant is again directed to file copies of the receipts of payments proving that an amount of ₹2,42,250/- has been made to the respondent, in the registry within next 3 weeks.

*Further, perusal of file reveals that, several matters with lead case no. 2617/2019 are pending for adjudication before the Authority pertaining to the same project, wherein different associations have come forward to complete the construction and development of the project. Authority enquired from the learned counsel for complainants whether complainants are also a part/member of these associations. To which, ld. counsel for complainants stated that he needs to seek instructions for the same. Accordingly, complainants are directed to confirm whether they are member/part of the association or not before the next date of hearing. **Last opportunity is granted to complainant to prosecute his case.***

Case is adjourned to 06.03.2025.”

2. As per office record, complainant has not filed any document till date.
3. Today, again no one has put in appearance on behalf of complainant to prosecute the case. No document/clarification has been filed in respect of paid amount. Without such clarity, it is difficult to verify the total amount paid, validate the claim of delay interest, and determine the exact amount upon which interest is to be allowed.
4. Given that the complainant has repeatedly failed to appear in hearings and have not provided necessary documentation to validate relief of the



complainant, the Authority concludes that the complainant is not serious about pursuing the case. Consequently, due to the complainant's lack of engagement and failure to prosecute the matter effectively, Authority decides to dispose of the captioned **complaint as dismissed** for non prosecution, leaving the complainant at liberty to file fresh complaint.

5. Hence, the complaint is accordingly **disposed of** in view of above terms.

File be consigned to the record room after uploading of the order on the website of the Authority.


CHANDER SHEKHAR
[MEMBER]


NADIM AKHTAR
[MEMBER]


PARNEET S SACHDEV
[CHAIRMAN]