



HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY PANCHKULA

Website: www.haryanarera.gov.in

COMPLAINT NO. (Suo-Motu) 2014 of 2023

HRERA, Panchkula

...COMPLAINANT

VERSUS

Mudra Finance Ltd.

....RESPONDENT

CORAM:

Parneet S Sachdev

Chairman

Nadim Akhtar

Member

Dr. Geeta Rathee Singh

Member

Chander Shekhar

Member

Date of Hearing: 26.03.2025

Hearing: 7th

Present: Sh. Ravinder Singh on behalf of Respondent.

ORDER (Parneet S Sachdev-Chairman)

The present Suo-Motu complaint was registered against the respondent promoter of project Tower no. 11 (situated in Vipul Gardens, Group Housing Colony situated in sector-1, Rewari registered vide registration no. HRERA-PKL-RWR-3-2018 dated 11.04.2018 valid upto 31.07.2019, first extension valid upto 31.07.2020 and continuation of registration for second year upto 31.01.2022) u/s 35 of the RERA Act, 2016 to show cause as to why penalty under section-63 of the Act may not be imposed for not submitting the information as conveyed in its

meeting held on 30.05.2023. A show-cause notice dated 05.09.2023 was issued to the promoter.

2. The promoter vide reply dated 22.09.2023 has submitted that issuance of Occupation Certificate is pending with the DTCP due to pending amended Environment clearance from the SEIAA since more than 6 years (copy of project proceedings before SEIAA are enclosed).

3. The promoter applied for extension on 24.01.2022 and had filed online QPRs upto June 2024.

4. The promoter vide reply dated 08.04.2024 have stated:

- i. That construction of the block no. 11 located in the said project had already been completed even before RERA registration however issuance of OC is pending with the DTCP due to inordinate delay in issuance of amended Environment Clearance from the SEIAA.
- ii. The promoter has given date wise submissions and concluded that during the pendency of the review application before SEIAA, MOEF vide office memorandum dated 08.01.2024 has stayed the operation of Office memorandum dated 07.07.2021 & 28.01.2022 in view of the orders passed by the Hon'ble SC in W.P. (C) no. 1394/2023 titled Vanashakti v. UOI wherein the operation of both the Office Memorandums were stayed. Due to this their review application with regard to EC is still pending before SEIAA, Haryana.
- iii. That there is no lack/omission on the part of the respondent to comply with the legal mandate and requests to not impose any penalty.

5. On 24.04.2024, the promoter was directed to deposit the penalty of Rs. 50,000/- in the registry of the Authority for not complying with the orders dated 30.05.2023.

6. The Authority directed the representative appearing on behalf of the respondent to submit the above stated penalty in the registry of the Authority today, only then their matter will be considered. Authority directed the office to place this matter with agenda proceedings.

7. Vide reply dated 27.01.2025 the promoter has deposited the penalty of Rs. 50,000/- vide DD no. 756 dated 14.01.2025 and requests the Authority to withdraw this suo motu complaint.



8. Since the extension application of the promoter is being considered in the agenda proceedings of the Authority separately, therefore, the Authority decides to dispose of this suo motu complaint.



Chander Shekhar
Member



Dr. Geeta Rathee Singh
Member



Nadim Akhtar
Member



Parneet S Sachdev
Chairman

