



HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY PANCHKULA

Website: www.haryanarera.gov.in

COMPLAINT NO. 1638 OF 2022

SND Vidya Pracharini Society

....COMPLAINANT

VERSUS

Piyush Colonizers Ltd. Through its Directors

1. Anil Goyal, Chairman
2. Amit Goyal, Managing Director
3. Ashok Kumar Bansal, Authorised Signatory

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: Parneet Singh Sachdev
Nadim Akhtar
Chander Shekhar

Chairman
Member
Member

Date of Hearing: 01.05.2025

Hearing: 10th

Present: - Mr. SP Rana, counsel for the complainant, in person.

None for the respondents.

ORDER (PARNEET S SACHDEV – CHAIRMAN)

1. Vide last order dated 19.12.2024, Authority directed as follows:

“In light of the above, Complainant is again directed to comply with the following before the next date of hearing as directed vide last order:

- i. *Proper proof of service of dasti notice, clearly indicating that it has been successfully served on the respondent must be filed.*
- ii. *Authorisation letter authorising Mr. Jagdev Dagar to file the complaint on behalf of S.N.D. Vidya Pracharini Society, must be submitted.*
- iii. *Agreement of sale deed dated 18.08.2012, must be placed on record.*
- iv. *Proper receipts of the claimed paid amounts, mentioning the dates and amounts clearly must be submitted.*

Case is adjourned to 01.05.2024. In case the complainant does not comply with the orders, no further opportunity will be provided"

2. It was specifically recorded in the last order that no further opportunity would be provided in case of non-compliance as this was the final opportunity.
3. Upon perusal of the record on the date fixed, it is evident that the complainant has failed to comply with the directions issued by this Authority. None of the above-listed documents have been filed yet, and no satisfactory explanation has been provided for such continued default.
4. It is further observed from the proceedings that the complainant was filed in 2022. Even the basic documents have not yet been filed by the complainant in this long period. 10 hearings have also elapsed. This continued inaction and disregard for the orders passed amounts to non-prosecution of the case and demonstrates a lack of due diligence on the part of the complainant in pursuing the matter.

5. Accordingly, the present complaint is hereby **dismissed for non-prosecution**. File be consigned to the record room.


CHANDER SHEKHAR
[MEMBER]


NADIM AKHTAR
[MEMBER]


PARNEET S SACHDEV
[CHAIRMAN]

